

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_88408
Title of the Manuscript:	Balanced nutrition for Hybrid cotton using Liquid Fertilizer
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>The manuscript entitled "Balanced nutrition for hybrid cotton with Liquid Fertilizer"</b>, presents interesting results for the area, the data are ok, the statistics meet the parameters, so the theoretical foundation leaves something to be desired in the sense of scientific writing. Note that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In the title: provides an idea of what the manuscript is about.</li> <li>2. The objective deals with the parameters: growth, productivity and quality of hybrid cotton. Here it is noted that it is not aligned with the title. It should reinforce the title, with other words.</li> <li>3. Still in summary: the results: Fertigation and foliar LMN nutrition alleviated Mg deficiency by 52%. This was never mentioned. Why is the focus on Mg deficiency? if the title of the study is on fertilizer nutrition that was not mentioned in the objectives.</li> </ol> <p>In Keywords: Fertigation, foliar nutrition, Liquid Multinutrient, Hybrid Cotton, Magnesium Deficiency. for as many words as possible to search about this work.</p> <p>In the introduction: what should the art of your work in literature show, starts with cotton, so far ok, and in the next paragraph focuses on water management? Because? the focus of the work is not nutrition? read the keywords of your study, so you don't lose the focus of the discussion in the introduction.</p> <p>So, as for the data, okay, but the presentation and reasoning of these data when presented to the scientific communities, must be reviewed, in the sense of the writing, because the journal excels.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Claudia Cardoso dos Santos
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, Brazil