

Original Research Article

N, P and K uptake by crop and weed as influenced by nutrient levels and weed management in mustard

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted in *Rabi* season of 2018-19 and 2019-20 at Research farm of Bihar Agricultural College, Sabour to assess the impact of nutrient and weed management on N, P and K uptake by crop and weed in mustard. This experiment with 03 nutrient levels (N₁-soil test-based RDF, N₂-100 % RDF, N₃-125 % RDF) in main plot; 08 weed management (W₁-Weedy, W₂-HW, W₃-pendimethalin, W₄-pendimethalin fb quizalofop, W₅-pendimethalin fb clodinafop, W₆-oxyflourfen, W₇-oxyflourfen fb quizalofop, W₈-oxyflourfen fb clodinafop) in sub plots, laid in split plot design. Results indicated that in 2018-19, N uptake by crop was maximum in 125% RDF being superior over rest treatments. P and K uptake by crop was highest under 125% RDF being at par with N₁ and was superior over N₂. In 2018-19, hand weeding exhibited highest N, P and K uptake by crop over weedy. Maximum N, P and K uptake by crop was noted with W₄ being at par with rest treatments except W₃ and W₆. In 2019-20, N₃W₂ exhibited highest N uptake by crop. N₃W₄ registered highest N uptake by crop being at par with rest treatments except N₃W₃, N₃W₆ and N₃W₁. In 2019-20, N₃W₂ registered highest P uptake by crop being at par with N₃W₁ and N₃W₈. N₃W₄ exhibited highest P uptake by crop being at par with rest treatments except N₃W₃, N₃W₆ and N₃W₁. In 2019-20, N₃W₂ exhibited highest K uptake by crop being at par with N₃W₅, N₃W₈ and N₃W₄. N₃W₄ recorded highest K uptake by crop being at par with N₃W₈, N₃W₄ and N₃W₇. In 2018-19, N₃W₂ exhibited N uptake by weeds, zero value being lower than weedy. N₃W₄ exhibited the lowest N uptake by weeds being at par with N₃W₅ and N₃W₇. 125 % RDF exhibited the lowest P uptake by weeds being at par with N₁ and was inferior over N₂. W₄ registered the lowest P uptake by weeds being at par with rest treatments except W₂ and W₁. In 2018-19, N₃W₄ exhibited the lowest K uptake by weeds being at par with rest treatments except N₃W₂ and N₃W₁. In 2019-20, N₃W₂ exhibited N, P and K uptake by weeds, zero value being lower than N₃W₁. N₃W₄ exhibited the lowest N uptake by weeds being at par with N₃W₅ and N₃W₇ and was inferior to rest treatments. In 2019-20, N₃W₄ exhibited the lowest P uptake by weeds being at par with N₃W₅, N₃W₈ and N₃W₇ and was inferior to rest treatments. N₃W₄ exhibited the lowest K uptake by weeds being at par with rest treatments except N₃W₆ and N₃W₁.

Key words: Mustard, Nutrient levels, N P K uptake, Soil test based fertilizer, Weed control

INTRODUCTION

Indian mustard is an important winter (*rabi*) season oilseed crop of India. Edible oil produced from mustard does not meet the requirement of the growing population of the country. Mustard is a potential winter crop owing to its vast adaptable and suited to exploit residual amount of soil moisture (Mukherjee 2010). For To fulfill the gap between supply and demand, mustard productivity status at present scenario requires to be improved. Weeds being harmful or poisonous, injurious are constant source of trouble for successful growth and development of mustard. Weeds compete with crops for moisture, nutrients, light, space and create interference with normal growth of mustard (Upadhyay *et al.*, 2012).

Weed competition in mustard is extremely serious in early stage; because growth of the crop remains very slow during early winter season during the first 4-5 weeks after sowing stage. During

Comment [PS1]: The abstract is represented very poorly especially the results. It is very hard to understand the results from the abstract with the abbreviated form. The results in the abstract should be compact and at the last one conclusion line should be there. Simultaneously, the abstract is very large need to reduced.

Comment [PS2]: Abbreviation needs to elaborates once and then can be used throughout manuscript

Comment [PS3]: Abbreviation needs to elaborates once and then can be used throughout manuscript

Comment [PS4]: Similar to above comment.

Comment [PS5]: The introduction part is also very poorly written and need to be corrected whole introduction part with proper statements that linked to each other.

Comment [PS6]: You are moving from one statement to another without any connection.

Comment [PS7]: Is there any proper explanation of this or exact data from some researcher.

Comment [PS8]: You can't told this without giving any data from the previous researcher.

later stage it rapidly flourishes and become suppressing effect on the weeds. The critical period of crop-weed competition in mustard is 15-35 days and weeds cause alarming decline in production of the crop ranging from 15-30 % to a failure in crop yield (Shekhawat *et al.*, 2012) depending on nature and duration of crop-weed competition.

Comment [PS9]: The statements needs to be supported by the proper citations.

As this crop is grown in any type of soil with inappropriate management practice, weed flora is one of major causes of low productivity. Weeds create severe hurdle for mustard cultivation, reduced the soil moisture and fertility, act as alternate host for insect & diseases and pose a serious threat to the next crop. At present, at 25-30 DAS stage, one hand weeding is enough to control the weeds in early stage, but in case of scarcity of labour availability and huge wages, hand weeding become costlier and difficult. Therefore, it has made essential to find out the effective pre-, post-emergence herbicides and their combinations may control early flush of weeds. The combinations of herbicide are very effective tool in tackling menace, due to weed infestation and thereby, nutrient uptake by crop and weeds than a single herbicide approach (Upadhyay *et al.* 2013).

Comment [PS10]: This is right but need proper citation to support this.

Among agronomical options available for augmentation of crop production, fertilizer/nutrient scheduling is the most important production element and is considered as one of the most critical inputs in crop production profitability. In view of such problem issues, the present investigation was carried out to develop the suitable fertilizer and weed control technology for nutrient (N, P and K) uptake in mustard.

Comment [PS11]: You are throwing statement here and there. Every crucial statement should be supported by the proper citation which is missing in whole manuscript

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out in *rabi* season of 2018-19 and 2019-20 at Research Farm of Bihar Agricultural College, Sabour, Bhagalpur situated at latitude 25°15' 40" N and longitude 87°2' 42" E with an altitude of 37.46 meters above mean sea level with the objective to find out the influence of nutrient levels and weed management strategies on N, P and K uptake by crop and weed in mustard. The soil of experiment was sandy loam, pH 7.2, organic carbon 0.48 %, available N 123.47 kg ha⁻¹, available P 26.19 kg ha⁻¹ and K 168.51 kg ha⁻¹. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three nutrient levels *viz.*, N₁-soil test-based RDF (100:40:40:20:6.25 kg ha⁻¹ N P K S Zn), N₂-100 % RDF (80:40:40:20:5 kg ha⁻¹ N P K S Zn), N₃-125 % RDF (100:50:50:25:6.25 kg ha⁻¹ N P K S Zn) in main plot and eight weed management practices *viz.* W₁-Weedy, W₂-HW at 25 & 50 DAS, W₃-pendimethalin, W₄-pendimethalin *fb* quizalofop, W₅-pendimethalin *fb* clodinafop, W₆-oxyflourfen, W₇-oxyflourfen *fb* quizalofop, W₈-oxyflourfen *fb* clodinafop in subplots, replicated thrice.

Comment [PS12]: Write it as neutral pH, medium, low or high OC like wise for all parameters.

Comment [PS13]: The sources of nutrient need to be given

Comment [PS14]: Abbreviation needs to be given once and then can be used in the whole manuscript.

Comment [PS15]: The data on the climate is also missing.

To conduct the experiment, field preparation practices *viz.*, ploughing, harrowing and leveling were done as per recommended standard technique. Mustard seeds were sown in furrows having seed rate 5 kg ha⁻¹ on 22nd November, 2018 and on 20th November, 2019 and crop was harvested on 11th March, 2019 and 08th March, 2020, respectively. N, P, K, Zn and S doses was applied *viz.*, soil test based, 100 and 125 % RDF as basal and remaining N was top dressed into split doses. Weed management strategies *i.e.*, twice hand weeding at 25 and 50 DAS, pre-emergence alone and/ or with post emergence herbicide application were done treatment wise. Herbicides were applied through a manually operated knapsack sprayer with flat fan nozzle using 500 liter water ha⁻¹.

Two quadrates of 25 x 25 cm were placed randomly in each plot and weeds within the quadrates were removed and after drying in hot air oven (70 ± 10°C for 72 hrs), weed dry weight was recorded. Plant samples of seed and straw of mustard crop collected at harvesting were dried in hot air oven. Plant and weed samples were analyzed for uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash as per standard laboratory procedures (Jackson,1973). The uptake of nutrients was computed by multiplying the concentration of nutrient with grain yield, straw yield of mustard and dry matter of weed. The experimental data were analyzed statistically by applying analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique prescribed for the design to test the significance of treatment difference by F test and conclusions were drawn at 5% probability level (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

N, P and K uptake by mustard crop

In 2018-19, among nutrient levels, N uptake by mustard crop was found significantly maximum (65.07 kg ha^{-1}) under 125% RDF (100: 50: 50: 25: 6.25 kg N P K S Zn ha^{-1}) which was significantly superior over rest of the nutrient treatments. P uptake by mustard crop was significantly highest (10.72 kg ha^{-1}) under 125% RDF (100: 50: 50: 25: 6.25 kg N P K S Zn ha^{-1}) which was at par with soil test-based fertilizer application and was significantly superior over 100% RDF. Application of 125% RDF registered significantly highest K uptake (32.03 kg ha^{-1}) which was at par with soil test-based fertilizer application and was significantly superior over 100% RDF.

Different weed management treatments showed significant influence on uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium by mustard crop at harvest. Significantly the lowest uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium by the crop were noted under weedy plot. The highest uptake of N, P and K was recorded under hand weeding treatment. This might be due to better development of crop resulting from lesser crop-weed competition. Further, the higher content and higher crop yield under these treatments boosted the nutrient uptake. Similar results were reported by Patel (2000) in pigeonpea and Chauhan (2000) in chickpea.

Table 1: Effect of different treatments on N, P and K uptake (kg ha^{-1}) by mustard during 2018-19

Treatments	N uptake (kg ha^{-1})	P uptake (kg ha^{-1})	K uptake (kg ha^{-1})
Nutrient levels			
N ₁ - Soil test-based fertilizer application	59.23	9.94	28.88
N ₂ - 100% RDF (80: 40: 40: 20: 5 kg N P K S Zn ha^{-1})	55.53	9.27	27.31
N ₃ - 125% RDF (100: 50: 50: 25: 6.25 kg N P K S Zn ha^{-1})	65.07	10.72	32.03
SEm \pm	1.46	0.26	0.90
CD (P=0.05)	5.71	1.01	3.54
Weed management			
W ₁ - Weedy	36.42	5.85	17.20
W ₂ - Two hand weeding at 25 and 50 DAS	70.57	11.77	34.90
W ₃ - Pendimethalin 30 EC 1.0 kg a.i. ha^{-1} PE	58.34	9.64	28.25
W ₄ - Pendimethalin 30 EC 1.0 kg a.i. ha^{-1} PE fb Quizalofop 5 EC @ 60 g a.i. ha^{-1} PoE	65.69	10.97	32.76
W ₅ - Pendimethalin 30 EC 1.0 kg a.i. ha^{-1} PE fb Clodinafop 15 WP @ 60 g a.i. ha^{-1} PoE	64.74	10.93	31.91
W ₆ - Oxyflourfen 23.5 EC @ 150 g a.i. ha^{-1} PE	56.87	9.37	27.57
W ₇ - Oxyflourfen 23.5 EC @ 150 g a.i. ha^{-1} PE fb Quizalofop 5 EC @ 60 g a.i. ha^{-1} PoE	63.15	10.61	31.98
W ₈ -Oxyflourfen 23.5 EC @ 150 g a.i. ha^{-1} PE fb Clodinafop 15 WP @ 60 g a.i. ha^{-1} PoE	63.77	10.66	30.70
SEm \pm	1.73	0.30	0.86
CD (P=0.05)	4.95	0.87	2.46

In 2018-19, among weed management practices, hand weeding at 25 and 50 DAS exhibited significantly highest N, P and K uptake (70.57 , 11.77 and 34.90 kg ha^{-1}) by mustard crop which was found superior over weedy plot. Among herbicides, maximum N, P and K uptake (65.69 , 10.97 and 32.76 kg ha^{-1}) by mustard crop was recorded with W₄ (Pendimethalin 30 EC @ 1.0 kg a.i. ha^{-1} PE fb Quizalofop 5 EC @ 60 g a.i. ha^{-1} PoE) which was at par with rest of the herbicide treatments except W₃ and W₆.

The higher nutrients uptake was due to the suppression of weed growth that might have been the driving force behind higher dry matter and nutrient uptake in mustard under these

Comment [PS16]: The results are also written very poorly. You have enough data but the representation of the data is very poor. Whole manuscript need to be written again from the starting.

Comment [PS17]: Does you have any proper support for this statement.

Comment [PS18]: Table need to be collaborated

Comment [PS19]: What about the interaction ??

treatments. Such higher uptake might be attributed to higher seed yield under better weed management treatments. The results of higher nutrients uptake by crop confirmed the findings of Chander *et al.* (2013) and Mukherjee (2014) in mustard. Minimum nutrient uptake in mustard was noticed in weedy check that might be attributed to least seed yield (Singh *et al.*, 2015).

In 2019-20, hand weeding at 25 and 50 DAS with 125 % RDF (N₃W₂) exhibited significantly highest N uptake (82.77 kg ha⁻¹) by mustard crop which was significantly superior over all other herbicide treatments including weedy plot (N₃W₁). Application of Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ PE Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ PoE (N₃W₄) registered significantly highest N uptake (72.48 kg ha⁻¹) by mustard crop which was found at par with rest of the treatments except N₃W₃, N₃W₆ and N₃W₁.

The superiority of the treatments might be ascribed to the fact that these treatments controlled and suppressed weed growth and provided weed free environment to the crop for long time to utilize available/applied nutrients under reduced crop-weed competition (Kour *et al.*, 2014).

Table 2: Effect of different treatments on N uptake (kg ha⁻¹) by crop at harvest during 2019-20

Nutrient Levels	Weed management	W ₁ -Weedy	W ₂ -Two HW at 25 & 50 DAS	W ₃ -Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹	W ₄ -Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE + Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₅ - Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE + Clodinafop 60 a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₆ - Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹	W ₇ - Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ + Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₈ - Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ + Clodinafop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	MEAN
	N ₁ -Soil test 100:40:40:20:6.25 kg NPKSZn ha ⁻¹		35.98	71.87	60.94	63.90	63.09	59.60	63.44	62.09
N ₂ -100% RDF 80:40:40:20:5 kg NPKSZn ha ⁻¹		29.06	63.87	58.71	61.40	60.99	57.53	60.35	56.26	56.02
N ₃ -125% RDF 100:50:50:25:6.25 kg NPKSZn ha ⁻¹		38.31	82.77	61.82	72.48	72.16	56.88	69.70	71.84	65.74
MEAN		34.45	72.83	60.49	65.92	65.41	58.00	64.50	63.40	
		SEm (±)=1.02		SEm (±) = 1.39		SEm (±) = 2.41		SEm (±) = 2.47		
		CD (N)= 4.02		CD (W) = 3.96		CD (WxN) = 7.62		CD (NxW) = 7.83		

In 2019-20, hand weeding at 25 and 50 DAS with 125 % RDF (N₃W₂) registered significantly highest P uptake (13.36 kg ha⁻¹) by mustard crop which was found at par with N₃W₁ and N₃W₈ and was significantly superior over rest of the treatments including weedy plot (N₃W₁). Application of Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ PE Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ PoE (N₃W₄) exhibited significantly highest P uptake (12.22 kg ha⁻¹) by mustard crop which was found at par with rest of the treatments except N₃W₃, N₃W₆ and N₃W₁.

The increased availability of these nutrients in root zone coupled with increased metabolic activity at cellular level might increase nutrient uptake and their accumulation in vegetative plant parts. Increased uptake of N, P and K seems to be due to the fact that uptake of nutrient is a product of biomass accumulated by particular part and its nutrient content. Thus, positive impact of nutrient application on both these aspects ultimately led to higher accumulation of nutrients. These results are in line with the finding of Chaurasia *et al.* (2009) and Singh and Pal (2011).

In 2019-20, hand weeding at 25 and 50 DAS with 125 % RDF (N₃W₂) exhibited significantly highest K uptake (40.60 kg ha⁻¹) by mustard crop which was found at par with N₃W₅, N₃W₈ and N₃W₄ and was significantly superior over rest of the treatments including weedy plot (N₃W₁).

Table 3: Effect of different treatments on P uptake (kg ha⁻¹) by crop at harvest during 2019-20

Nutrient Levels	Weed management	W ₁ -Weedy	W ₂ -Two HW at 25 & 50 DAS	W ₃ -Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹	W ₄ -Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE + Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₅ -Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE + Clodinafop 60 a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₆ -Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹	W ₇ -Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ + Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₈ -Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ + Clodinafop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	MEAN
N ₁ -Soil test 100:40:40:20:6.25 kg NPKSZn ha ⁻¹		5.91	12.04	10.13	10.62	10.48	9.99	10.59	10.48	10.03
N ₂ -100% RDF 80:40:40:20:5 kg NPKSZn ha ⁻¹		4.71	10.55	9.94	10.22	9.97	9.86	10.16	9.48	9.36
N ₃ -125% RDF 100:50:50:25:6.25 kg NPKSZn ha ⁻¹		6.21	13.36	10.59	12.22	12.12	8.80	11.49	12.02	10.85
MEAN		5.61	11.98	10.22	11.02	10.86	9.55	10.75	10.66	
		SEm (±)=0.18		SEm (±) = 0.28		SEm (±) = 0.48		SEm (±) = 0.48		
		CD (N) =0.71		CD (W) = 0.79		CD (WxN) = 1.50		CD (NxW) = 1.51		

Table 4: Effect of different treatments on K uptake (kg ha⁻¹) by crop at harvest during 2019-20

Nutrient Levels	Weed management	W ₁ -Weedy	W ₂ -Two HW at 25 & 50 DAS	W ₃ -Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹	W ₄ -Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE + Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₅ -Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE + Clodinafop 60 a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₆ -Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹	W ₇ -Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ + Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₈ -Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ + Clodinafop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	MEAN
N ₁ -Soil test 100:40:40:20:6.25 kg NPKSZn ha ⁻¹		17.56	34.92	30.04	32.15	30.90	29.35	31.75	30.46	29.64
N ₂ -100% RDF 80:40:40:20:5 kg NPKSZn ha ⁻¹		13.71	30.24	29.30	31.10	29.56	28.06	30.57	29.85	27.80
N ₃ -125% RDF 100:50:50:25:6.25 kg NPKSZn ha ⁻¹		18.96	40.60	31.30	35.46	37.80	25.98	35.01	36.78	32.74
MEAN		16.74	35.26	30.21	32.91	32.75	27.80	32.44	32.37	
		SEm (±)=0.76		SEm (±) = 0.89		SEm (±) = 1.55		SEm (±) = 1.63		
		CD (N) =2.97		CD (W) = 2.55		CD (WxN) = 5.00		CD (NxW) = 5.28		

Application of Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ PE Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ PoE (N₃W₄) recorded significantly highest K uptake (37.80 kg ha⁻¹) by mustard crop which was found at par with N₃W₈, N₃W₄ and N₃W₇. Application of 125% RDF with hand weeding twice registered more N, P and K uptake by the crop during both the years. These observations are in agreement with finding of Shekhawat *et al.* (2012) and Chaudhry *et al.* (2011).

N, P and K uptake by weeds

In 2018-19, hand weeding at 25 and 50 DAS with 125% RDF (N₃W₂) exhibited N uptake by weeds of zero value which was significantly lower than weedy plot with 125% RDF (N₃W₁). Application of Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ PE *fb* Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ PoE with 125% RDF (N₃W₄) exhibited the lowest N uptake (4.76 kg ha⁻¹) by weeds which was found at par with N₃W₅ and N₃W₇ and was significantly inferior to rest of the treatments.

In 2018-19, 125 % RDF (N₃) exhibited the lowest P uptake (1.99 kg ha⁻¹) by weeds which was found at par with N₁ and was significantly inferior over 100 % RDF (N₂). Application of Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ PE *fb* Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ PoE (W₄) registered significantly the lowest P uptake (2.07 kg ha⁻¹) by weeds which was found at par with rest of the herbicide treatments except hand weeding at 25 and 50 DAS (W₂) and weedy (W₁) treatment.

The effective control of broad-leaved weeds was done due to combined activity of pre- and post-emergence herbicides (Sharma *et al.*, 2007). Since uptake is a function of dry matter and content of the nutrients, it follows the trend of dry matter. Thus, nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium uptake by weeds was significantly affected under weed control treatments because of effective weed control, pendimethalin *fb* quizalofop and hand weeding twice remained at par resulted in significantly lower N, P and K removal by weeds. The lower uptake of N, P and K by weeds was due to their effective control by pre- and post-emergence herbicide activity (Nepalia and Jain, 2000).

Table 5: Effect of different treatments on N uptake (kg ha⁻¹) by weeds at 60 DAS during 2018-19

Nutrient Levels	Weed management	W ₁ - Weedy	W ₂ - Two HW at 25 & 50 DAS	W ₃ - Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹	W ₄ -Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE + Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₅ - Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE + Clodinafop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₆ - Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹	W ₇ - Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ + Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₈ - Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ + Clodinafop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	MEAN
	N ₁ -Soil test 100:40:40:20:6.25 kg NPKSZn ha ⁻¹		22.33	0.00	9.22	7.06	7.14	11.93	7.94	9.22
N ₂ -100% RDF 80:40:40:20:5 kg NPKSZn ha ⁻¹		23.37	0.00	10.62	9.74	9.82	14.66	10.63	10.95	11.22
N ₃ -125% RDF 100:50:50:25:6.25 kg NPKSZn ha ⁻¹		18.73	0.00	6.67	4.76	4.89	9.32	5.34	7.49	7.15
MEAN		21.48	0.00	8.84	7.19	7.28	11.97	7.97	9.22	
		SEm (±)=0.59		SEm (±) = 0.37		SEm (±) = 0.64		SEm (±) = 0.84		
		CD (N) =2.31		CD (W) = 1.06		CD (WxN) = 1.83		CD (NxW) = 2.84		

In 2018-19, application of Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ PE *fb* Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ PoE with 125% RDF (N₃W₄) exhibited the lowest K uptake (5.33 kg ha⁻¹) by weeds which was found at par with rest of the herbicide treatments except hand weeding at 25 and 50 DAS with 125% RDF (N₃W₂) and weedy plot with 125% RDF (N₃W₁) treatment.

N, P and K uptake by weeds varied significantly due to weed management practices. Weeds had lower N, P and K uptake than that of mustard crop. The highest N, P and K uptake by weeds was observed in weedy check and the lowest uptake by two hand weeding 20 and 40 DAS. Reduction in N, P and K uptake by weeds under two hand weeding might be due to lower density and dry weight of weeds which eventually led to higher uptake of these nutrients by mustard crop. The results of highest N, P and K uptake by weeds are in accordance with the findings of Kour *et al.* (2013) and Mukherjee (2014). This indirectly by reducing nutrient uptake by weeds due to lower weed density and dry matter, these treatments were the best in controlling weeds.

In 2019-20, hand weeding at 25 and 50 DAS with 125% RDF (N₃W₂) exhibited N uptake by weeds of zero value which was significantly lower than weedy plot with 125% RDF (N₃W₁). Application of Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ PE *fb* Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ PoE with 125% RDF (N₃W₄) exhibited the lowest N uptake (4.42 kg ha⁻¹) by weeds which was found at par with N₃W₅ and N₃W₇ and was significantly inferior to rest of the treatments.

Table 6: Effect of different treatments on P uptake (kg ha⁻¹) by weeds during 2018-19

Treatments	P uptake by weeds (kg ha ⁻¹)
Nutrient levels	
N ₁ - Soil test-based fertilizer application	2.51
N ₂ - 100% RDF (80: 40: 40: 20: 5 kg N P K S Zn)	3.22
N ₃ - 125% RDF (100: 50: 50: 25: 6.25 kg N P K S Zn)	1.99
SEM±	0.18
CD (P=0.05)	0.71
Weed management	
W ₁ - Weedy	6.47
W ₂ - Two hand weeding at 25 and 50 DAS	0.00
W ₃ - Pendimethalin 30 EC 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE	2.50
W ₄ - Pendimethalin 30 EC 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE <i>fb</i> Quizalofop 5 EC @ 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	2.07
W ₅ - Pendimethalin 30 EC 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE <i>fb</i> Clodinafop 15 WP @ 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	2.24
W ₆ - Oxyflourfen 23.5 EC @ 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE	2.54
W ₇ - Oxyflourfen 23.5 EC @ 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE <i>fb</i> Quizalofop 5 EC @ 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	2.42
W ₈ -Oxyflourfen 23.5 EC @ 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE <i>fb</i> Clodinafop 15 WP @ 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	2.32
SEM±	0.21
CD (P=0.05)	0.61

Mukherjee *et al.* (2014) conducted trial on the influence of weed and fertilizer management on nutrient uptake in mustard. All weed management treatments significantly reduced nutrient uptake by weeds. Minimum nutrient uptake by weeds was recorded under pendimethalin *fb* quizalofop being at par with hand weeding. These results corroborated with the findings of Punia *et al.* (2010) and Prusty *et al.* (2018).

Nutrient Levels	Weed management	W ₁ - Weedy	W ₂ - Two HW at 25 & 50 DAS	W ₃ - Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹	W ₄ -Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE + Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₅ - Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE + Clodinafop 60 a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₆ - Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹	W ₇ - Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ + Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₈ - Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ + Clodinafop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	MEAN
N ₁ -Soil test 100:40:40:20:6.25 kg NPKSZn ha ⁻¹		19.68	0.00	8.96	6.16	7.35	9.46	8.14	8.29	8.51
N ₂ -100% RDF 80:40:40:20:5 kg NPKSZn ha ⁻¹		20.66	0.00	11.04	10.16	10.67	11.13	11.14	12.28	10.88
N ₃ -125% RDF 100:50:50:25:6.25 kg NPKSZn ha ⁻¹		13.67	0.00	6.83	6.00	5.33	7.48	6.14	5.38	6.35

MEAN	18.00	0.00	8.94	7.44	7.78	9.36	8.48	8.65	
	SEm (\pm)=0.41		SEm (\pm) = 0.54		SEm (\pm) = 0.94		SEm (\pm) = 0.97		
	CD (N)=1.63		CD (W) = 1.55		CD (WxN) = 2.69		CD (NxW) = 2.97		

Table 7: Effect of different treatments on K uptake (kg ha^{-1}) by weeds at 60 DAS during 2018-19

Table 8: Effect of different treatments on N uptake (kg ha^{-1}) by weeds at 60 DAS during 2019-20

Nutrient Levels	Weed management	W ₁ -Weedy	W ₂ -Two HW at 25 & 50 DAS	W ₃ -Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹	W ₄ -Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE + Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₅ - Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE + Clodinafop 60 a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₆ - Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹	W ₇ - Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ + Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₈ - Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ + Clodinafop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	MEAN
	N₁-Soil test 100:40:40:20:6.25 kg NPKSZn ha⁻¹		25.04	0.00	11.92	9.74	9.82	14.66	10.63	11.61
N₂-100% RDF 80:40:40:20:5 kg NPKSZn ha⁻¹		22.33	0.00	9.22	7.06	7.14	11.93	7.94	9.22	9.36
N₃-125% RDF 100:50:50:25:6.25 kg NPKSZn ha⁻¹		19.73	0.00	6.67	4.42	4.56	9.32	5.34	6.66	7.09
MEAN		22.37	0.00	9.27	7.08	7.17	11.97	7.97	9.16	
		SEm (\pm)=0.78		SEm (\pm) =0.25		SEm (\pm) = 0.43		SEm (\pm) = 0.88		
		CD (N)=3.06		CD (W) = 0.71		CD (WxN) = 1.64		CD (NxW)= 3.33		

In 2019-20, hand weeding at 25 and 50 DAS with 125% RDF (N₃W₂) exhibited P uptake by weeds of zero value which was significantly lower than weedy plot with 125% RDF (N₃W₁). Application of Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ PE *fb* Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ PoE with 125% RDF (N₃W₄) exhibited the lowest P uptake (1.44 kg ha⁻¹) by weeds which was found at par with N₃W₅, N₃W₈ and N₃W₇ and was significantly inferior to rest of the treatments.

Table 9: Effect of different treatments on P uptake (kg ha^{-1}) by weeds at 60 DAS during 2019-20

Nutrient Levels	Weed management	W ₁ -Weedy	W ₂ -Two HW at 25 & 50 DAS	W ₃ -Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹	W ₄ -Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE + Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₅ - Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE + Clodinafop 60 a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₆ - Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹	W ₇ - Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ + Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₈ - Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ + Clodinafop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	MEAN
	N₁-Soil test 100:40:40:20:6.25 kg NPKSZn ha⁻¹		7.13	0.00	3.94	2.72	3.03	3.31	3.20	3.09
N₂-100% RDF 80:40:40:20:5 kg NPKSZn ha⁻¹		6.44	0.00	2.94	2.04	2.15	2.46	2.31	2.25	2.57
N₃-125% RDF 100:50:50:25:6.25 kg NPKSZn ha⁻¹		5.85	0.00	2.34	1.44	1.54	1.86	1.76	1.63	2.05

MEAN	6.47	0.00	3.08	2.07	2.24	2.54	2.42	2.32	
	SEm (\pm)=0.05		SEm (\pm) = 0.06		SEm (\pm) = 0.11		SEm (\pm) = 0.12		
	CD (N)=0.21		CD (W) = 0.18		CD (WxN) = 0.36		CD (NxW) = 0.38		

Table 10: Effect of different treatments on K uptake (kg ha^{-1}) by weeds at 60 DAS during 2019-20

Nutrient Levels	Weed management	W ₁ -Weedy	W ₂ -Two HW at 25 & 50 DAS	W ₃ -Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹	W ₄ -Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE + Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₅ - Pendi methalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha ⁻¹ PE + Clodinafop 60 a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₆ - Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹	W ₇ - Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ + Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	W ₈ - Oxyflourfen 150 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ + Clodinafop 60 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ PoE	MEAN
N ₁ -Soil test 100:40:40:20:6.25 kg NPKSZn ha ⁻¹		21.66	0.00	12.84	10.16	11.33	13.46	12.14	11.95	11.69
N ₂ -100% RDF 80:40:40:20:5 kg NPKSZn ha ⁻¹		23.35	0.00	8.96	6.16	7.35	9.46	8.14	7.96	8.92
N ₃ -125% RDF 100:50:50:25:6.25 kg NPKSZn ha ⁻¹		12.34	0.00	6.83	4.16	5.33	7.48	6.14	5.98	6.03
MEAN		19.12	0.00	9.54	6.83	8.00	10.13	8.81	8.63	
		SEm (\pm)=0.61		SEm (\pm)=0.47		SEm (\pm) = 0.82		SEm (\pm) = 0.98		
		CD(N) = 2.41		CD (W) = 1.35		CD (WxN) = 2.83		CD (NxW) = 3.39		

The highest removal of nutrients (N, P and K) by weeds were recorded under weedy plot, whereas the lowest nutrient depletion by weeds were recorded under hand weeding treatment and pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ PE fb quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ PoE. Similar results were reported by Patel (2000) in pigeonpea and Chauhan (2000) in chickpea.

In 2019-20, hand weeding at 25 and 50 DAS with 125% RDF (N₃W₂) exhibited the lowest K uptake by weeds of zero value being significantly lower than N₃W₁. Pendimethalin 1.0 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ PE fb Quizalofop 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ PoE with 125% RDF (N₃W₄) exhibited the lowest K uptake (4.16 kg ha⁻¹) by weeds which was found at par with rest of the treatments except N₃W₆ and N₃W₁. The removal of N, P and K by weeds were reduced significantly by herbicidal and manual weeding and it almost nil under hand weeding. These results conformed the findings of Kour *et al.* (2013) and Singh (2015).

CONCLUSION

Thus, it might be concluded that pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ PE fb Quizalofop @ 60 g a.i. ha⁻¹ PoE along with 125% RDF (100:50:50:25:6.25 kg NPKSZn ha⁻¹) enhanced N, P and K uptake by crop and weeds though hand weeding at 25 and 50 days of sowing along with 125% RDF (100:50:50:25:6.25 kg NPKSZn ha⁻¹) exhibited significant improvement in nutrient uptake by crop and weeds over weedy and herbicide treatments.

REFERENCES

- Chander N, Kumar S, Ramesh, Rana SS. Nutrient removal by weeds and crops as affected by herbicide combinations in soybean-wheat cropping system. *Ind. J. of Weed Sci.* 2013; 45: 99-105.
- Chaudhry SU, Hussain M, Iqbal J. Effect of different herbicides on weed control and yield of canola (*Brassica napus*). *J. of Agril. Res.* 2011; 49(4):483-490.

- Chauhan CN. Effect of spacing, weeds and phosphorus management on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, 2000; Gujarat Agricultural University, NAVSARI, GUJARAT (India).
- Chaurasia A, Singh SB, Namdeo KN. Integrated nutrient management in relation to yield and yield attributes and oil yield of Ethiopian mustard (*Brassica carinata*). *Crop Res.* 2009; 38(1/3):24-28.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research (2 ed.). John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1984; 680.
- Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis, 1973; Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi: 498 p.
- Kour R, Sharma BC, Kumar A, Kour P. Nutrient uptake by chickpea + mustard intercropping system as influenced by weed management. *Ind. J. of Weed Sci.* 2013; 45(3): 183-188.
- Kour R, Sharma BC, Kumar A, Nandan B, Kour P. Effect of weed management on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) + Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) intercropping system under irrigated conditions of Jammu region. *Ind. J. of Agron.* 2014; 59:242-246.
- Mukherjee D. Productivity, profitability and apparent nutrient balance under different crop sequence in mid-hill condition. *Ind. J. of Agril. Sci.* 2010; 80(5):420-22.
- Mukherjee D. Influence of weed and fertilizer management on yield and nutrient uptake in mustard. *Ind. J. of Weed Sci.* 2014; 46:251-255.
- Nepalia N, Jain GL. Effect of weed control and sulphur on yield of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) and their residual effect on summer green gram (*Phaseolus radiata*). *Ind. J. of Agron.* 2000; 45:483-488.
- Patel JP. Integrated weed management in *Rabi* pigeon pea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.). Millsp.] M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, 2000; Gujarat Agricultural University, NAVSARI, GUJARAT (India).
- Shekhawat K, Premi OP, Kandpal BK, Chauhan JS. Advances in agronomic management of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czernj. Cosson): an overview. *International J. of Agron.* 2012; 13:1-14.
- Singh SP, Pal MS. Effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity, quality, nutrient uptake and economics of mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Ind. J. of Agron.* 2011; 56(4):381-387.
- Singh SS. Effect of fertilizer application and weed control on the yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Ind. J. Agron.* 2015; 37(1):196-198.
- Singh NK, Desai BC, Rathore BK, Chaudhari SG. Bio-efficacy of herbicides on performance of mustard, *Brassica juncea* (L.) and Population Dynamics of Agriculturally Important Bacteria. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2015; India Sector B: *Biological Sciences*, Pp. 1-6
- Upadhyay VB, Bharti V, Rawat A. Bio-efficacy of post emergence herbicides in soybean. *Ind. J. of Weed Sci.* 2012; 44: 261-263.
- Upadhyay VB, Singh A, Rawat A. Efficacy of early post-emergence herbicides against associated weeds in soybean. *Ind. J. of Weed Sci.* 2013; 45:73-75.