

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJPSS_88196
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of STCR-IPNS Based Nutrient application on Soil Health, Yield, Nutrient Content and Uptake of Mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.) in eastern plain zone of Uttar Pradesh, India
Type of the Item	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVIEW comments	<p>Theme:Regarding the subject, its originality should be reviewed, since there are other investigations (as mentioned throughout the study) on this subject.</p> <p>Summary:The research reveals a clear and measurable objective approach; Regarding the methodology used, a process with data that registers a significant result is noted.</p> <p>Keywords:The UNESCO thesaurus allows the location of the article through the use of a universal language of science. Biology – agriculture – nutrient - ...</p> <p>Introduction:The problem is detailed in a clear and correctly referenced manner with studies carried out on important elements for this study; Despite this, the problem shows that apparently it has not been resolved. It is necessary to state the hypothesis and the goal of the investigation.</p> <p>Materials and methods:The experiment has a controlled and randomized design; the selected sample is clearly described, the context or data of the place where the research is carried out is not identified, only the properties of the land, therefore, its description would be important; the different tests and measurements are found correctly; and the data is understandable as well as its analysis. I suggest reviewing the citation: The soil sample was analyzed for bulk density(Muthuaval et al., 1992),particle density(Muthuaval et al., 1992),% pore space(Muthuaval et al., 1992),tea</p> <p>Being the same reference, it can go to the end of the text.</p> <p>You can see in the work a scientific evidence with the results that indirectly approach the conclusions of the investigation.</p> <p>It is recommended in this section to mention future research that can be carried out based on the results found.</p> <p>Conclusions:What has been said above, if there are previous studies, the article ceases to be original, except for the determination that in the established place it is a place where this study was never carried out. In any case, the results respond to the stated objective.</p> <p>Bibliographic references:The references give theoretical support to the research, in this case they are acceptable.</p>	
Minor REVIEW comments	THE STUDY IS INTERESTING TO BE PUBLISHED, IF THE AUTHOR(S) MAKE THE SUGGESTIONS ESTABLISHED, THE ARTICLE MAY BE PUBLISHED.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> -	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jverson Santiago Quishpe Gaibor
Department, University & Country	Salesian Polytechnic University, Ecuador