

## Original Research Article

# Appraisal of Soil Physical Properties and Preparation of Soil Variability Maps of Agricultural Research Station, Ummedganj-Kota

### ABSTRACT

**Aims:** The soils of various fields of Agricultural Research Station, Ummedganj-Kota were collected and analyzed for the physical properties viz. soil colour, texture, bulk density, particle density, porosity and water holding capacity. Soil maps prepared with the help of GIS software using geo-statistical analysis

**Study design:** Mention the design of the study here.

**Place and Duration of Study:** Agricultural Research Station, Ummedganj-Kota between 2019 and 2020

**Methodology:** Total 300 Geo-referenced surface (0-15 cm) soil samples collected. The bulk density of the soils ranged from 1.25 to 1.57 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> (mean value 1.43 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>), particle density ranged from 2.57 to 2.71 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> (mean value 2.68 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>) and the porosity of the soils ranged from 41.42 to 51.92% (mean value of 46.42%). Water holding capacity of the soils ranged from 35.11-52.46% (mean value of 44.31%). Soil colour of the different field soils were brown, dark brown, dark gray, very dark gray, and black.

**Results:** According to soil analysis data and soil variability maps field no. 14 was best in physical properties like BD, PD (1.37 and 2.62Mg m<sup>-3</sup>) and WHC (49.02%) as compare to other fields of ARS, Ummedganj, Kota.

**Conclusion:** According to soil analysis data and soil variability maps field no. 14 was best in physical properties like BD, PD (1.37 and 2.62Mg m<sup>-3</sup>) and WHC (49.02%) as compare to other field due to longed term organic farming practices applied.

Comment [NJ(dDSM1): This part is missing??

**Keywords:** Physical properties, soil colour, texture, bulk density, particle density, porosity and water holding capacity.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Soil is a component of the *lithosphere* and *biosphere* system, which is the source of infinite life, precious natural resource and non-renewable in short span of time. Soil is a three dimensional body with length, breadth and depth below the land surface. Soils are considered as the integral part of the landscape and their characteristics are largely governed by the landforms in which they are developed. It is the most important basic natural resource that determines the ultimate sustainability of any agricultural system. The soils of Rajasthan belong to five soil orders viz. Aridisol, Entisol, Alfisol, Inceptisol and Vertisol. According to soil survey manual, soil survey describes the characteristics of the soils in a given area, classifies the soils according to a standard system of classification, plots the boundaries of the soils on a map, and makes predictions about the behaviour

of soils. The different uses of the soils and how the response of management affects them are considered. The information collected in a soil survey helps in the improvement of land-use plans and evaluate and predicts the effects of land use on the environment.

**Comment [NJ(dDSM2):** Any strong statements should be backed up with a reference

**Comment [NJ(dDSM3):** Evaluating and predicting

Kota district comes under Agro-Climatic Zone Vth, i.e. 'Humid South-Eastern Plain'. Total area of the zone is 2.70 million hectares in south-eastern part of the Rajasthan, covers Sawai Madhopur, Karauli, Jhalawar, Baran, Kota and Bundi districts. The zone received around 700 to 1000 mm rainfall per year which is the highest among all agro-climatic zones of the state. The landscape is characterized by hills pediments and vast alluvial plain formed by the rivers Chambal, Parbati, Parwan, Kalisindh and their tributaries. No systematic study on the soil physical properties of ARS Kota was carried out.

**Comment [NJ(dDSM4):** receives

Therefore, the present research work was initiated to assess the soil physical properties of Agricultural Research Station Ummedganj, Kota. Geographic information system (GIS) is a powerful tool which helps to integrate many types of spatial information such as agro-climatic zone, land use, soil management, etc. to derive useful information (Adomado and Yoshida 2008) [1].

**Comment [NJ(dDSM5):** It would very interesting to point out on the relevant previous studies carried out in the study area and the associated shortcomings.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHOD

Agricultural Research Station, Ummedganj, Kota is situated in Agro-climatic zone V "Humid South Eastern Plain" which situated in south eastern part of Rajasthan. According to Agro-ecological region map brought out by National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning (NBSS & LUP, Nagpur), Kota falls in Agro-ecological region No 06. Agricultural Research Station, Ummedganj, Kota is located at the 75°25' N latitude 25°13' E longitude and an altitude of 258 m above mean-sea level. Research farm covers 107 ha, out of which 98 ha is under cultivation. The rest of the land is under roads, irrigation channels, bunds, buildings, tube wells and uncultivated waste. Major soils of the ARS farm were black cotton, which comes in classified as Vertisols soil order with clay loam texture having adequate drainage facility. Total A total of 300 Geo-referenced surface (0-15 cm) soil samples, representing the different fields were collected following standard methods from 18 fields plus one waterlogged area. The latitude and longitude of sampling sites were recorded with the help of Global Positioning System (GPS). Soil variability maps for various soil properties were produced using the latitude and longitude, the point shape files showing the location of the observation were generated by using ArcGIS 10.5. The other parameters like including range, mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation were calculated by classical statistical approaches. The soils of different fields were processed and analyzed for different physical properties as described in below Table 1.

**Comment [NJ(dDSM6):** I suggest to add the sub-section 2.1. Study site

**Comment [NJ(dDSM7):** Try to minimize redundant information

**Comment [NJ(dDSM8):** Could you please indicate the sampling design of this study. How many replications did you make?? ...etc.

**Table 1. Methods for analysis of soil physical properties**

S. No.	Soil Parameter	Method	Reference
1.	Soil Colour	Munsell soil colour chart	Munsell (1990) [12]
2.	Texture	Hydrometer method	Bouyoucos (1962) [6]

3.	Bulk density ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ )	Core sampler method	Richards (1954) [18]
4.	Particle density ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ )	Pycnometer / RD Bottle method	Richards (1954) [18]
5.	Water Holding capacity (%)	Keen Box Method	Keen and Reczkowaski (1921). [11]

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

~~Total~~ A total of 300 soil samples were analyzed for the physical properties ~~like including~~ soil colour, texture, bulk density, particle density, porosity and water holding capacity of the ARS fields, Kota. The analytical results and their discussion are presented as ~~under follow:-~~

#### 3.1. Soil Texture (Mechanical Analysis)

The composition of soil separates governs the physical characteristics of the soils. Mechanical analysis provides the percentage of sand, silt and clay fraction in soil and point out their textural class.

~~The data~~ Analyses revealed that the sand content in soils ranged from 20.30-27.30%, with mean value of 23.46% having standard deviation 2.13 and CV 9.03%. The silt particles ranged from 32.60-38.20% with a mean value 35.96% having standard deviation 1.61 and CV 4.47%, whereas, clay particles ranged from 35.91-45.88% with a mean value 40.57% having standard deviation 2.79 and CV 6.89% (Table 2). Among these three soil particles, clay and sand content showed the highest variability and minimum in case of silt. On the basis of soil texture analysis, it was found that most of the soils of ARS, Ummedganj farm were clay loam to clayey in nature.

It is evident from the spatial distribution Map 1, that in case of sand content, the highest area falls under the category I (20.30-21.71%), followed by category III (23.11-24.50%), category IV (24.51-25.90 %), category V (25.91-27.30%) and lowest area falls under the category II (21.71-23.10%). For silt content, the spatial distribution Map 2 showed that, the highest area falls under the category V (37.09-38.20%), followed by category IV (35.97-37.08%), category III (34.85-35.96%), category II (33.73-34.84%) and lowest area falls under the category IV (32.60-33.72%). In case of clay content, the spatial distribution Map 3 showed that, the highest area falls under the category II (37.91-39.90%), followed by category IV (41.90-43.89%), category V (43.90-45.88%), category I (35.91-37.90%) and lowest area falls under the category III (39.91-41.89%). Overall, clay content was maximum in amount among three soil separates, which was a basic feature of black cotton soils. Generally sand content was low as compared to silt and clay in all the sites. These soils were known to produce high clay content due to basaltic parent materials. The variation in soil texture might be due to the variation in topographic position, nature of parent material, in situ weathering of clay and age of the soils (Reddy et al., 2013) [17]. Similar findings for soil textural class were also observed in soils of Alirajpur MP by Rajendiran et al., (2018) and Barik et al., (2017) [4] in the soils of Puri district, Odisha. According to the USDA textural triangle, the soils of the study areas were clay loam to clayey in texture. Similar

Formatted: Space After: 12 pt

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [NJ(dDSM9)]: Please reframe these statements to clearly convey the intended messages.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

particle size distribution and textural classes were reported by Anitha et al., (2001) [3] in black soils of Gurjala mandal of Guntur district, Andhra Pradesh.

Formatted: Highlight

### 3.2. Water holding capacity

Water holding capacity is the ability of certain soils to physically hold water against the force of gravity. It does this by soil particles holding water molecules by the force of cohesion. WHC of the soils at Agricultural Research Station, Ummadganj, Kota ranges from 35.11-52.46% with a mean value of 44.31% . It is evident from the spatial distribution Map 4, that maximum area falls under the category III (42.06-45.52%) followed by category IV (45.53-48.99%), category II (38.59-42.05%), category V (49.00-52.46%) and minimum area under category I (35.11-38.58%). Soil texture plays an important role and directly influenced the water holding capacity of the soil. As the proportion of clay increases in the soil, the water holding capacity increases due to clay can bind or hold the water molecules more effectively. On the basis present investigation, the field number 14 have highest water holding capacity (49.02%) compare to other fields because organic farming practices applied in Field number 14 from some years. The results are in close agreement with the findings of Nath (2014) [14] in the soil of Sivasagar, Asam and Ravikumar and Somashekar (2013) [16] in the soils of Varahi River basin in the Udipi district of Western Karnataka.

**Table 2. Particle size distribution and WHC in soils of ARS, Ummadganj Kota**

Soil Separate	Sand %	Silt %	Clay %	WHC (%)
Range	20.30-27.30	32.60-38.20	35.91-45.88	35.11-52.46
Mean	23.46	35.96	40.57	44.31
S.D.	2.13	1.61	2.79	3.04
CV %	9.03	4.47	6.89	6.85

### 3.3. Bulk Density

Knowledge of soil bulk density is essential for better understanding to physical behaviour of soil and their management.

Bulk density is defined as the mass of solids per unit volume of the soil (Dexter 2004) [9]. High bulk density indicates compactness of the soil means higher the BD the compaction will be more in soil. The bulk density of the soils of Agricultural Research Station Ummadganj, Kota ranged from 1.25 to 1.57 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> with a mean value of 1.43 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, standard deviation 0.04 and coefficient of variation 3.00% (Table 3). It is evident from the spatial distribution Map 5, that maximum area for bulk density falls under the category III (1.379-1.442 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>) followed by category IV (1.443-1.506 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>); category V (1.507-1.570 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>) category I (1.250-1.314 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>) and minimum area under category II (1.315-1.378 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>). Bulk density influenced by the amount of organic matter, texture, mineral matter, depth and porosity of the soil. The soils have high bulk density when dry and low values when in a swollen stage due to moisture variations (Blake and Hartge, 1986) [5]. On the basis of present

investigation field number 14 showed lowest bulk density values (mean value  $1.37 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ ) compared to other fields and the possible explanation of this, because of organic farming practices performed in field number 14 from the year 2016. Similar findings recorded by, Chaudhari *et al.* (2013) [7] in the soils of Coimbatore, Ahad *et al.* (2015) [2] in the soil of Kupwara, Kashmir and Singh *et al.* (2014) [19] in the soils of Chambal Regions of Madhya Pradesh.

### 3.4. Particle density

The particle density is the true density of soil and it depends upon the accumulative densities of the individual inorganic (mineral matter) and organic constituents of the soil. Particle density is higher if large amounts of heavy minerals present in the soil. The presence of organic matter lowers the particle density. The particle density of the soils of Agricultural Research Station Ummadganj, Kota ranged from  $2.57$  to  $2.71 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  with a mean value of  $2.68 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  (Table 3). It is evident from the spatial distribution Map 6, that maximum area falls under the category IV ( $2.655$ - $2.682 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ ) followed by category V ( $2.683$ - $2.710 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ ); category III ( $2.627$ - $2.654 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ ) category II ( $2.599$ - $2.626 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ ) and minimum area under category I ( $2.570$ - $2.598 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ ). It is depending on the accumulative densities of the individual inorganic and organic constituents of the soil. The variation in composition of soil solids, such as an increase or decrease in soil mineral or organic matter significantly changed the PD of the soils. The results are in close agreement with the findings of Chaudhari *et al.* (2013) [7] in the soils of Coimbatore and Gupta *et al.* (2010) [10] in the soil of Sivaliks. On the basis of soil analysis data, the field number 14 have lowest particle density values ( $2.62 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ ) compared to other fields because of organic farming practices applied in field number 14.

### 3.5. Porosity

Total porosity can be calculated by using bulk density and particle density of soil (Hillel, 1982) [8]. It can be easily changed or modified with the tillage operations as well as addition of mineral or organic matter. The porosity in the soils of Agricultural Research Station Ummadganj, Kota ranged from  $41.42$  to  $51.92\%$  with a mean value of  $46.52\%$ . It is evident from the spatial distribution Map 7, that maximum area falls under the category III ( $45.63$ - $47.72\%$ ) followed by category IV ( $47.73$ - $49.82\%$ ), category II ( $43.53$ - $45.62\%$ ), category I ( $41.42$ - $43.52\%$ ) and minimum area under category V ( $49.83$ - $51.92\%$ ). Porosity of the soil increases with increment in the percentage of organic matter content in the soils because of well aggregate formation. Porosity depends upon the texture, structure, compactness and organic matter content of the soil. The result is in close agreement with finding of Naresh *et al.*, (2016) [13] in the sandy loam soils of subtropical climatic conditions of Western U.P. India and Ahad *et al.*, (2015) [2] in the soil of Kupwara Kashmir.

**Table 3. Status of bulk density, particle density and porosity in the soils of Agricultural Research Station, Ummadganj, Kota**

General statistics	BD ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ )	PD ( $\text{Mg m}^{-3}$ )	Porosity (%)
Range	1.25-1.57	2.57-2.71	41.42-51.92
Mean	1.43	2.68	46.52
Standard deviation	0.04	0.02	1.52


CV%	3.00	0.67	3.27
-----	------	------	------

### 3.6. Soil colour


Soil colour provides important information regarding soil conditions and some other properties of soils. For example, dark coloured soils absorb more solar radiation than the light coloured soils that causes dark soils warm up faster. On the basis of soil colour assessment, the soils of ARS, Ummadganj, Kota farm were brown, dark brown, dark gray, very dark gray and black in colour, among which highest area falls under very dark gray soil colour. The possible reason behind this may be due to presence of *titaniferus magnetite* ( $\text{Fe}^{+2}(\text{Fe}^{+3}\text{Ti})_2\text{O}_4$ ) mineral present in such type of Vertisols (Black cotton soil). The results are in conformity with the findings of Singh *et al.* (2014) [19] in the soils of Chambal region of Madhya Pradesh, Priyadarshini *et al.* (2017) [15] in soils of North-Eastern Coastal Plain Agroclimatic Zone of Odisha, and Barik *et al.* (2017) [4] in the soils of Puri district, Odisha.

**Table 4. Soil colour of different fields at Agricultural Research Station Ummadganj, Kota**



S. No.	Field No.	Colour notation	Colour
1	1	7.5YR 4/1	Dark gray
2	2	7.5YR 3/2	Dark Brown
3	3	7.5YR 4/2	Brown
4	5	7.5YR 4/3	Brown
5	12A	7.5YR 4/1	Dark gray
6	12B	7.5YR 3/1	Very dark gray
7	13	7.5YR 4/1	Dark gray
8	14	7.5YR 2.5/1	Black
9	15	7.5YR 3/1	Very dark gray
10	16	7.5YR 3/1	Very dark gray
11	17	7.5YR 4/1	Dark gray
12	18	7.5YR 4/1	Dark gray
13	19	7.5YR 2.5 / 1	Black
14	20	7.5YR 3/1	Very dark gray
15	21	7.5 YR 2.5/1	Black
16	22	7.5 YR 3/1	Very dark gray
17	23	7.5 YR 4/1	Dark gray
18	24	7.5 YR 3/1	Very dark gray
19	25	7.5 YR 3/1	Very dark gray




Map 1: Distribution of sand (%) in the soils of ARS Ummедganj, Kota




Map 2: Distribution of silt (%) in the soils of ARS Ummедganj, Kota




**Map 3: Distribution of clay (%) in the soils of ARS Ummedganj, Kota**




**Map 4: Distribution of WHC (%) in the soils of ARS Ummedganj, Kota**



**Map 5: Distribution of BD ( $Mg\ m^{-3}$ ) in the soils of ARS Ummedganj, Kota**



**Map 5: Distribution of PD ( $Mg\ m^{-3}$ ) in the soils of ARS Ummedganj, Kota**



**Map 7: Distribution of Porosity (%) in the soils of ARS Ummedganj, Kota**

**Map 8: Distribution of Colour in the soils of ARS Ummedganj, Kota**

Ummedganj, Kota were characterized as clay loam to clayey in texture. The BD ranged from 1.25 to 1.57 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> with a mean value of 1.43 Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, PD of the soils ranged from 2.57 to 2.71 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> with mean value of 2.68 Mg m<sup>-3</sup> and the porosity of the soils ranged from 41.42 to 51.92% with mean value of 46.42%. WHC of the soils ranged from 35.11-52.46% with mean value of 44.31% and soil colour varied from brown, dark brown, dark gray, very dark gray and black. According to soil analysis data and soil variability maps field no. 14 was best in physical properties like BD, PD (1.37 and 2.62Mg m<sup>-3</sup>) and WHC (49.02%) as compare to other fields of ARS, Ummedganj, Kota.

## REFERENCES

1. Adornado HA and Yoshida M. Crop suitability and soil fertility mapping using geographic information system (GIS). *Agricultural Information Research*.200; 17, 60-68.
2. Ahad T, Kanth TA and Nabi S. Soil bulk density as related to texture, organic matter content and porosity in kandi soils of district Kupwara (Kashmir Valley), India. *International Journal of Science Research*. 2015; 4(1): 198-200.
3. Anitha G, Rajendraprasad B and Ratnam M. Fertility status and Physico-chemical properties of soils at Konkani ORP site, Marturumandal, Prakasam district of Andhra Pradesh. *The Andhra Agricultural Journal*. 2001;48(1-2): 48-55.
4. Barik R, Saren S, Mishra A and Acharya BP. Soil fertility status of some villages in Astaranga block of Puri district of east and south-eastern coastal plain agroclimatic zone of Odisha. *Annals of Plant Soil Research*. 2017; 19(4): 408-412.
5. Blake GR and Hartge KH. "Methods of Soil Analysis." Part I. *Soil Science Society of America*, 1986, pp. 363-376, Madison, WI, USA.
6. Bouyoucos GJ. Hydrometer method improved for making particle analysis of soil. *Agronomy Journal* 1962;54, 464-465.
7. Chaudhari PR, Ahire DV, Chkravarty M and Maity S . Soil bulk density as related to soil texture, organic matter content and available total nutrients of Coimbatore soil. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*.2013; 3(2): 1-8.
8. D. Hillel, (1982), "Introduction to soil physics". Academic Press Limited, Oval Road, London, 1982, pp. 24-28. |
9. Dexter, A.R., 2004, Soil physical quatity – Part I. Theory, effects of soil texture, density, and organic matter, and effects on root growth. *Geoderma*, Vol. 120: 201–214.
10. Gupta RD, Arora S, Gupta GD and Sumberia NM. Soil physical variability in relation to soil erodibility under different land uses in foothills of Siwaliks in N-W India. *Tropical Ecology*.2010; 51(2): 183-197.
11. Keen BA and Raczkowski H. Relation between the clay content and certain physical properties of a soil. *Journal of Agriculture Science*.1921; 11, 441-449.
12. Munsell AH 1990. Munsell soil color charts, revised ed. *Macbeth Division of Kollmorgen Instruments, Baltimore, Maryland*.

**Comment [NJ(dDSM10):** This section needs to be reframed and improved in such way that reflects what do these findings suggest in relation to soil quality of the study area and what actions need to be done in the areas/fields with poor and/or good physical properties?? Etc...???

13. Naresh, R.K., Gupta, R.K., Jat, M.L., Sing, S.P., Dwivedi, A., Dhaliwal, S.S., Kumar, V., Kumar, L., Singh O., Singh, V., Kumar, A. and Rathore, R.S. (2016). Tillage, Irrigation Levels and Rice Straw Mulches Effects on Wheat Productivity, Soil Aggregates and Soil Organic Carbon Dynamics After Rice 86 in Sandy Loam Soils of Subtropical Climatic Conditions. *Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology*. 10(3): 1987-2002.
14. Nath TN. Soil texture and total organic matter content and its influences on soil water holding capacity of some selected tea growing soils in Sivsagar district of Assam, India. *International Journal of Development Research*. 2014;12(4): 1419-1429.
15. Priyadarshini P, Saren S, Mishra A and Acharya BP. Soil Fertility Status of Some Villages under North-Eastern Coastal Plain Agroclimatic Zone of Odisha. *Journal of the Indian society of Coastal Agricultural Research*.2017; 35(2): 42-47.
16. Ravikumar P and Somashekar RK. Evaluation of nutrient index using organic carbon, available P and available K concentrations as a measure of soil fertility in Varahi River basin, India. *Proceedings of the International Academy of Ecology and Environmental Sciences*. 2013;3(4): 330-343.
17. Reddy RVSK, Naidu VS, Reddy KS and Suneetha N. Delineation of nutrient status in maize growing soils of Chittur district in Andhra Pradesh. *The Andhra Agricultural Journal*.2013; 60(3): 614-617.
18. Richard LA 1954. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkaline soil: Agriculture hand book No. 60, United State Department of Agriculture, Washington DC, pp 102.
19. Singh YP, Raghubanshi BPS Tomar RS, Verma SK and Dubey SK. Soil fertility status and correlation of available macro and micronutrients in Chambal Region of Madhya Pradesh. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*.2014; 62(4): 369-375.