

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_87700
Title of the Manuscript:	A review on Breeding in Ornamental crops for abiotic stress tolerance
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The characteristics of abiotic stress are listed and numbered without a little introduction, without any explanation, they are simply presented as in a sketch of a lesson. Same thing with the effects of drought stress. Some ideas are outlined and that's it. Such presentations do not play a role in a scientific article. These must be presented logically, concisely, but at the same time well explained, so that the reader, whether from the research field or not, can understand the aim of the research. Much of the information provided is general, not specific to ornamental species. In order to improve this paper and publish it in the scientific journal, I recommend supplementing it with information specific to ornamental plants and several concrete examples. Given the above, I recommend publishing the article only after the manuscript has been improved by the authors. I congratulate the authors for their topic and encourage them to do more to properly complete their manuscript with the information necessary for the article to be truly scientific.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Andreea Daniela Ona
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Cluj-Napoca, Romania