Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Plant & Soil Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJPSS_87253**
Title of the Manuscript:	**RESPONSE OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ON IRRIGATED WHEAT**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Should refer the materials which use vermiconpost, Azotobacter… in order to compare (the paper: Integrated nutrient management for improving, fertilizer use efficiency, soil biodiversity and productivity of wheat in irrigated rice wheat cropping system in indo-gangatic plains of India). Need to comment more with the comparision to the other studies on the world on using organic manures on growth and yield of wheat.	
Minor REVISION comments	T_1: 100% RDN through chemical fertilizer; How to use RDN? Note the text highlight colour words. Show the methods in measuring protein content, soil microbial count and soil properties after harvest of crop. In Part Economic, explaine in of treatment T_1 (100% RDN through chemical fertilizer) recorded higher net realization (Rs. 95907/ha) and BCR value (4.6) followed by application of treatment T_4 [75% RDN + 25% N through neem cake + *Jeevamrut* (500 lit/ha)] recorded net realization (Rs.82677/ha) and BCR value (2.76) → lower but should apply T4 treatment?, see again Fig.4. Explain Soil microbial count of **T_3** (75% RDN + 25% N through neem cake + NP consortium) was higher Soil microbial count of **T_4** (75% RDN + 25% N through neem cake + *Jeevamrut* (500 lit/ha) The author of part References were not showed in part Discussion such as: Patel, 2013, vice versa → Yadav, 2009.. See again the color of font.	
Optional/General comments	Consider after Major Changes and Minor Changes	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Trần Thị Ngọc Lan**
Department, University & Country	**Forest Science Institute of Central Highlands and South of Central Vietnam, Vietnam**