

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_87242
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of city-compost on plant growth, fruit yield and quality of strawberry cv. Festival in Nagaland
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Author need(s) to rework the abstract to capture: Introduction, objective of work, methodology, results (with brief discussion), conclusion and future direction.</p> <p>The author(s) should, in the last paragraph of the introduction state the significance of the research.</p> <p>The Materials and Methods section should be enriched with images of the experimental set-up.</p> <p>The results can be better discussed with reference to more recent works. The author needs to do more than mere presentation of results.</p> <p>The reference materials used in the work are old. There is need for the author(s) to update the reference materials.</p> <p>The conclusion section of the works should be able to stand alone. It should be the summary of the findings of the research. So, the author(s) should revisit the section and provide readers with information about the future of the research.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Equations used should be numbered as suggested in the manuscript and adequate reference should be made to them in the text as suggested.</p> <p>Author(s) should be consistent in the use of "space" between parameters and their units (e.g. 25 kg and not 25kg)</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The work appears very important and great for food sufficiency. However, it is scanty. It will be better if the materials and methods and discussion sections can be enhanced to reflect the significance of the research.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rasaq Olawale Medupin
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Technology, Nigeria