

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_87238
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Planting Methods and Weed Management Practices on Growth and Yield of Paddy (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author does not adhere to the journal's Guidelines. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].</p> <p>The author must change the introduction of his abstract.</p> <p>In that section, you should remove the word conclusion and improve the conclusion you report.</p> <p>I suggest to cite some related references in the introduce part, like Barah, B.C. 2009. Economic and Ecological Benefits of System of Rice Intensification (SRI) in Tamil Nadu. Agricultural Economics Research Review. 22:2. 209-214. https://ageconsearch.umn.edu/record/57397/</p> <p>More references should be included in the introduction section.</p> <p>The second section, the sub-section 2.1, the process should be introduced in detail, the research material should be shown in the paper.</p> <p>The second section, the sub-section 2.2, the process should be introduced in detail, the research material should be shown in the paper.</p> <p>Should include sub-section 2.3, for the statistical analysis part.</p> <p>Figure 1 does not have a title.</p> <p>The author presents tables in the results and discussion section; however, they are not indicated in the text of the results.</p> <p>In the results and discussion section, you should include more references, which are linked to your results. Not all sub-sections are discussed with other references.</p> <p>The author should rephrase the conclusion section. This section should not include results data. It should give a very punctual conclusion.</p> <p>Check again the way of placing the references. You are not using the journal rules for this section.</p> <p>Review this reference: Husain et al. (2003) OR Husain, A. M. M., Chowhan, G., Rahman, A. B. M. Z., Uddin, R. and Barua, P. (2004). Report on the system of rice intensification. PETRRA Technology Workshop, BRRRI Auditorium, Gazipur</p> <p>This reference is missing: (Horie et al., 2004) Jackson, 1973 Richard, 1954; Subbaiah and Asija, 1956, Olsen et al. 1954</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mónica Guadalupe Lozano Contreras
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Forest Research Agricultural and Livestock (INIFAP), Mexico