

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_87045
Title of the Manuscript:	Germplasm Characterization for morphological traits in the potential futuristic crop Amaranthus (Amaranthus spp.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> In the introduction part, very old references are there so replace some of the references with a very new ones In the reference portion why, the author put numbers before bibliography, in theory, portion numbers are not there so follow the monotonous pattern of referencing The bibliography of Mahajan <i>et al.</i> (2000) and Wu <i>et al.</i> (2004) is not mentioned in the Reference portion. In the theory portion below two references are not mentioned <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Abbasi, FM., Sagar, MA., Akram, M. and Ashraf M, Agronomic and quality traits of some elite rice genotypes. <i>Biological Sciences-PJSIR</i>, 1995; 38 (9-10): 348-350. ✓ Sounigo O, Bekele F, Christopher G, Bidaisee Y and Umaharan, R. Comparison between diversity data obtained from morphological, biochemical, and molecular studies. <i>Cocoa Research Unit Annual Report, The University of the West Indies, St Augustine, Trinidad</i> 1997; 20-29. Provide the list of genotypes in material and methods In the result and discussion portion, the discussion part is very less so explore your result and support with some strong new references 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Check the bibliography of De Vries, 1980 Some grammatical mistakes are found in the whole paper so they should be checked properly Provide 5-6 more recent references supporting this research 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> In the theory portion some sentences are big, the author can break the sentences so they will be easily understandable. Rephrase some of the sentences. 	

Comment [vm1]: Break the sentence

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vikas Mangal
Department, University & Country	ICAR-CPRI, India