

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_86582
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional value of runner bean (<i>Phaseolus cocccinus</i> L.) grown in Chad
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The similarity check attached was 17 % indicating the manuscript is worth to publish.</p> <p>The abstract of the article was clearly written and readily understood.</p> <p>The introduction provides enough information with respect to the previous studies, the gap and the importance of the study.</p> <p>The method was appropriate to approach the problem solving.</p> <p>The results data was clearly explained, the collected data were thoroughly analyzed, well presented in the table.</p> <p>The author discusses the data comprehensively by referring to the results</p> <p>The conclusion meets the study purposes and constructed based on the results.</p> <p>Weaknesses: Follow closely the journal rules for manuscript format, references and citation. Reference writing must be in accordance with the journal guidelines Manuscript still contains of typo, spelling, and grammatical errors. All these mistakes must be corrected.</p> <p>Recommendation: I recommend accepting it with minor revision for publication. See the attached file.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Minor revision, read my comments in MS File	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Darwin Pangaribuan
Department, University & Country	Universitas Lampung, Indonesia