

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_86543
Title of the Manuscript:	SUPPRESSING EFFECT OF CONOCARPUS LANCIFOLIUS AQUEOUS EXTRACT ON CEREAL GERMINATION PHYSIOLOGY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author does not adhere to the journal's Guidelines. The font size and style must be corrected. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].</p> <p>The author should provide more detail in the material and methods section.</p> <p>The author should improve the quality of the table where he presents his results. The table lacks the necessary information, for example it does not mention: Values in the same row followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P = 0.05 and does not make reference to the statistical test used for its analysis.</p> <p>Check again the way of placing the references. You are not using the journal rules for this section.</p> <p>The author should try to discuss the results section more extensively. It has good results that could easily be compared with similar studies by other authors.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Does not include the keywords section.	
Optional/General comments	In general, the document should be more detailed and better discussed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mónica Guadalupe Lozano
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Forest Research Agricultural and Livestock, Mexico