

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_86492
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of zinc levels and plant geometry on growth and yield of kharif finger millet (<i>Eleusine coracana</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract It should be concise and informative. Your abstract lacks two main components. The first one is the aim of the study. The abstract doesn't show the aim or objective of your research. The second important part is the conclusion. What is your final conclusion for the work you did?</p> <p>Introduction Include the following in your introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Botanical description of the crop • Climatic requirements • Production status (at world and country level) • Statement of problems • Objectives <p>Material and Method Include the following in this section</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Material used • Data to be collected and how they are measured • Data analysis method and type of software used <p>Results and Discussion</p> <p>3.1. Growth parameters</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have a separate section to make clearer as follows <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3.1.1. Plant height 3.1.2. Number of tillers per plant 3.1.3. Dry weight • The discussion is not compared with works of other scholars, sufficiently. Please, modify your discussions again by comparing with works of more authors. <p>3.2. Yield attributes: Do a separate section like section 3.1</p> <p>Conclusion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conclusion is not properly concluded. • Include summary of the results obtained 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The document is full of grammar and spelling errors. Hence, it needs to correct it to the correct language usage. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bewuket Gashaw
Department, University & Country	Wolkite University, Ethiopia