

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_86351
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Zinc and Spacing on Growth and Yield of Groundnut (<i>Arachis hypogea</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Accept with minor adjustments (no further analysis required). In the abstract or at the end of the introduction, the authors did not mention what the objective of the work would be. What needs improvement follows the guidelines in the text. In the abstract or at the end of the introduction, the authors did not mention what the objective of the work would be.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The article needs to undergo minor corrections, the theme proposal is very good, discussion and results well explained and with quotes from recent authors. Material and methods: it is well written in a clear and objective way showing and describing all the collection areas of the study. Results: Describes in an understandable and very objective way showing the data of each area of study of the research.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The work is well delimited, with a clear and objective writing, the authors approached the subject very well, I leave here my congratulations for the work. Discussion: It is clearly described, comparing the results with other authors and with articles that talk about the subject of the object of study, Accept with minor adjustments (no further analysis required).</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elizangela Pereira da Silva Sousa
Department, University & Country	Brasil