

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_86137
Title of the Manuscript:	Prediction of gene action, Heterosis and Combining ability to identify superior GMS based hybrids in Asiatic Cotton (G. arboreum L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Below, I include general comments that must be addressed.</p> <p>It is necessary to look for the most up-to-date references, no more than 10 years old, this applies to the entire document.</p> <p>The discussion would be greatly enriched if information from similar works were included.</p> <p>Missing references in the introduction and discussion section to improve and enrich the work.</p> <p>The presentation of the results should be more specific and detailed</p> <p>There is no discussion, only the results found are repeated, therefore, it is necessary to point out the similarities or divergences with what has been reported in other published investigations, highlighting the cause-effect relationship derived from the analysis.</p> <p>It is necessary to write conclusions that are derived from the results related to the objectives and hypotheses of the work.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marcos Alfonso Lastiri Hernández
Department, University & Country	Instituto Politécnico Nacional-CIIDIR-IPN Unidad Michoacán, México