

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJPSS_85894
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Sulfur and Boron on growth and yield of Greengram (Vigna radiata L.)
Type of the Item	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVIEW comments</p>	<p>Theme:The topic presented is of an experimental nature with an academic line in biotechnology.</p> <p>Summary:It is necessary to mention the method used in the investigation. This section should always have as a reference: Objective of the study, hypothesis, method used, brief conclusions.</p> <p>Keywords:It is recommended to work with UNESCO THESAUIROS for a higher academic position of the published article. Biotechnology, agronomy, chemical elements, society...</p> <p>Introduction:It is important to investigate the data of the author to be cited, especially in this type of academic work (Anónimo, 2019). The theoretical support is well directed; If possible, expand with more references would be the suggestion in order to give weight to the study proposal.</p> <p>Materials and methods:It is important to indicate the type of investigative method used: Qualitative, Quantitative... as well as the description of the techniques used. Participant observation, anecdotal record...</p> <p>Results and discussion:It is necessary in this section to start if this study is original or if there are already previous studies. On many occasions it is necessary to briefly describe the methodology used in this research. The data and findings found are important and well thought out. It is suggested to indicate the difficulties encountered in carrying out this investigation, if any. Finally, the possible works derived from this investigation can be indicated.</p> <p>Conclusions:The simple conclusion must respond to the objective (s) raised in the investigation. It would be important to propose at least three conclusive conclusions to the research carried out.</p> <p>Bibliographic references:References are always the support of an investigation; it is necessary that you have at least between 30 -50 references. However, it depends on the requirements of the journal to be published and you must always be attentive to that. Anonymous as it is not found many times in citations, you should review the Standard for this type of references APPA 7 ed. (Book-notes, thesis....</p>	

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVIEW comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jverson Santiago Quishpe Gaibor
Department, University & Country	Salesian Polytechnic University, Ecuador