

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_85894 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of Sulphur and Boron on growth and yield of Greengram (Vigna radiata L.) |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spelling and grammatical errors should be removed. • Also, the abstract should be a little more precise. <p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Faulty sentence construction is abundant. • Homogeneity should be maintained. For example: sometimes it is written as 'grain', other times as 'seed'. • The word easily is often overused. Consider using a more specific synonym to improve the sharpness of your writing. • Introduce your paper in more detail, need some more review of literature that supports the MS. <p>Materials & Methods: It will be more detailed</p> <p>Reference and table</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Manuscript and table font is different • Test weight & stover yield data is missing in table 2, Harvest index data is not mentioned in MS. • Data is not mentioned according to days intervals in table 1 or 2. • According to a journal, references should be written in the same format and text. In addition, the reference should be rechecked; two connections are missing, and one reference name is mentioned wrong in MS. • The full form should be written appropriately to clarify MS and data, like DAS/ Kg/ha etc. • Caption or note should be mention in table 1 & 2 for (F test, SEm (±),CD (5%)) to clarify MS & Table. • More discussion is expected. <p>Overall remarks: According to journal needs, the manuscript's homogeneity should be maintained for line spacing, font size, text, etc.; spelling and grammatical errors should be removed throughout the text, like proper Punctuation, articles, connective words, etc.</p> <p>The manuscript needs minor correction before being entirely acceptable for publication.</p> | |
| Optional/General comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I have to go through with this review paper; everything is written well, some minor formatting is needed. After formatting the report, it is ready to be published • The manuscript needs standard formatting before being entirely acceptable for publication. | |

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Bhagyashree Keshewani |
| Department, University & Country | Prof. Rajendra Singh State University, India |