

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_83593
Title of the Manuscript:	An evaluation of technical efficiency and Garret ranking technique of paddy and wheat Growers
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title should indicate the place that the research was done Example: An evaluation of technical efficiency and Garret ranking technique of paddy and wheat Growers in India</p> <p>Main and specific objectives should be established</p> <p>Literature should capture work of others on: paddy and wheat production, small, medium or large scale farmers (whichever group the author studied), technical efficiency, Garret ranking technique and other relevant concepts on the subject</p> <p>Finding in a current work should not cite old authors, except used to support or debunk the result of others</p> <p>Objectives of the study not well defined</p> <p>Not enough literature.</p> <p>The author used the work of others in his or her result. Example: The average level of technical efficiency was found to be highest (88%)^[13] on the paddy farms as compared to wheat (72%)^[14] .</p> <p>Reference Sources:</p> <p>13. file:///C:/Users/DELL/Downloads/ICFAI.pdf 14. Gautam, Madhur , Nagarajan Hari K. and Pradhan Kailash C. (2012) Technical, economic and allocative efficiency and its determinants of Indian agricultural farmers using ARIS/REDS panel data.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Grammatical errors should be corrected as indicated in the review</p> <p>Reference No 13 should be corrected or removed</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Udeme James Usanga
Department, University & Country	NRCRI – Federal College of Agriculture, Nigeria