

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_83163
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Sulphur Levels and Different Micronutrients on Yield and its Attributing traits of Garlic (<i>Allium sativum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1- In the materials and methods part, there is no any data of soil analysis was mentioned about the cultivated soil was carried out, What about the physical and chemical properties and the status of nutrient elements under study in these soil, Also, it was necessary to analyze the organic matter and its content of sulfur and micro-nutrients under study in order to understand the need of the soil and garlic crop for these Additions of study nutrient elements.</p> <p>2- In the part of the discussed results data must be clarified. What do these results represent from any treatment or growing season for each of the characteristic under study, and it is not sufficient to mention them once at the end of the paragraph and not only to mention that S₃(Sulphur -60 kg/ha).</p>	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is acceptable for publication after the corrections are made	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Magdy Shahin Mohamed Hussein
Department, University & Country	Al- Azhar University, Egypt