

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_82091
Title of the Manuscript:	Semi-Detail Soil Survey and Land Suitability Evaluation for Sugarcane Production at Tungan Ahmadu District, Koko-Besse LGA Kebbi State Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>The title of this study needs to be changed because the land suitability evaluation is not complete. Or in any case it should be indicated that the evaluation of the land is preliminary.</b></p> <p><b>The evaluation of land suitability for sugarcane is not described at the end of the manuscript, so there are two options: eliminate what is related to the evaluation of land attitude, or complete with the pertinent information.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The title suggest is "Semi-Detail Soil Survey and preliminary Land Suitability Evaluation for Sugarcane Production at Tungan Ahmadu District, Koko-Besse LGA Kebbi State Nigeria"</p> <p>The abstract is related to the soil survey but the results from land suitability for sugarcane are absent.</p> <p>The Introduction section is very short.</p> <p>The author should add more basic information about the land suitability evaluation. For example, it is necessary to mention that the land suitability evaluation includes at least two perspectives: physical suitability and economic and social suitability.</p> <p>The author should review this statement because of information of the mismanagement of soil by the farmers in the study area is not presented here..... The farmers are the unique responsible?</p> <p>The main issue of this study is necessary to be stated at the end of the Introduction section.</p> <p>The vegetation described above is mostly perturbed?</p> <p>On what dates was the field work carried out?</p> <p>A reference for VSA is required.</p> <p>The information presented in this study is not sufficiently to support a land evaluation procedure for a selected crop, in this case for sugarcane.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Juan Pulido-Secundino</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Autónoma Chapingo, México</b>