

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_81817
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of phosphorus management in lowland paddy cultivation under Hnahthial District of Mizoram, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Introduction</b> The purpose of the article is not sufficiently introduced. There is only one reference in the introduction, and it is over 20 years' old. Phosphorus deficiency is seen in old plantations throughout. The author must include other, more current references, in order to demonstrate the current scenario and the studies carried out over time, to achieve robustness in your article.</p> <p><b>Materials &amp; methods</b> In this topic, it is expected that all materials and methods used are described, and this is not the case. In the material and method, the author refers to table 1, which is found in the results. What is the textural class of the soil? you could put up a map indicating the location of the plantation and the places where the soil samples were taken. how was the distribution of the 5 samples taken at 17 ha?</p> <p><b>Result &amp; Discussion</b> As a result, only tables showing the increase in nutrients are presented. Which is obvious, due to fertilizer application. The discussion presented is poor. It does not make a comparison with the current literature, nor does it refer to the values presented in other articles.</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b> The conclusion presented does not add anything. Because everything that is presented is already of basic knowledge.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Inform in the text the number of samples collected. References are very old. Mainly in the introduction and in the discussions about the results found, there must be citations of current articles.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The article is too simple. It doesn't feature novelties nor is it robust. It needs several changes and additions to be accepted for publication.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Willames de Albuquerque Soares</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>physics of materials University of Pernambuco, Brazil</b>