

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_80689
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of soil drenching and foliar application of biostimulants on physiological and quality parameters in curry leaf.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>*You indicated in your materials and methods section that the experiments were carried out in triplicates but some of your results did not show the results as mean and there is no standard deviation.</p> <p>* Your results should be statistically analysed and a section or sub-section of your manuscript should show details of the statistical analyses.</p> <p>* Attach standard deviation to all results and wherever the results are reported in the manuscript.</p> <p>* Always indicate the level of significance (e.g. $p < 0.05$) wherever you show comparison.</p> <p>*Indicate what SE, CD, etc. Stand for, under tables 2-4.</p> <p>* The study did not show under materials and method section, the methods used in measuring or analysing the parameters thereby making the work absolutely difficult to be replicated.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Apart from doing only a literature review on curry and biostimulants (in your introduction section), you should also write a justification of the study in your introduction section. How did you know that results are significantly different when there are no statistical analyses. 	
Optional/General comments	Publish only when all comments are taken care of and corrections made.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Francis U. Madu
Department, University & Country	Rhema University , Nigeria