

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_80360
Title of the Manuscript:	RESIDUAL EFFECT OF VARIOUS TYPES VERMICOMPOSTS ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF GREENGRAM (<i>Vigna radiata</i>) IN RICE-PULSES CROPPING SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This paper unfolds results from a field experiment involving various vermicompost treatments imposed to rice-pulse cropping sequence. The treatments are well designed. However, I have noted the following shortcomings in the current version of the manuscript (Refer to attachment):</p> <p>Introduction-Include few more recent papers. Authors haven't done extensive review of literature. Objective/s- Rewrite for clarity. Materials and methods: Present treatment data in a table. References: Match the date and name of each researcher from that of text section.</p> <p>There are many grammatical errors in the paper. I suggest authors to go thoroughly and correct the same.</p> <p>If possible, I suggest to perform mean separation by LSD test and show these in the tables. Change one of the data into a figure/graph, preferably the data on seed and haulm yield. It will make your paper more attractive and easy to understand.</p> <p>Conclusion- Research results are not well concluded. It can be improved.</p> <p>The paper requires Compulsory REVISION.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manisha Negi
Department, University & Country	Dr YS Parmar University Of Horticulture And Forestry, India