

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_79980
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of zinc application strategies on growth and yield of soybean in Central India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: well explained but need to rewrite about treatment sistematically Introduction: well explained BUT needs to add more information on soybeans such as common harvesting age, or give background why some treatments were applied at 35 and/or 55 DAS? Methodology: ANOVA and critical difference Test were used, however the results were not explained well. The results Tables need to elaborate, inform whether there were any significant differences among treatments or not. .</p> <p>Method of analysis should be explained. At least should give reference to see how variable are determined (ex. How dry matter, plant hight etc. were determined, etc.)</p> <p>Results and Discussion Data presented in the Tables were not justified with standard deviation for each treatment replicated 3 times. The results presented in the tables need elaboration, need information whether there were any significant differences among treatments or not.</p> <p>Need deeper discussion</p> <p>Conclusion : good enough References : almost half of them are old publication (published more than 10 past years, need to update)</p> <p>Complete reviewed manuscript are attached</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Some typo	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript can be accepted after revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Siti Nurdjanah
Department, University & Country	University Of Lampung, Indonesia