

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_79735
Title of the Manuscript:	Conjoint Application of INM Modules on Vegetative, Flowering and Seed Yield in French Marigold
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract statement may be consist of aside from the introductory statement of background, may have a brief summary statement of findings not detailed. May also include research methodology and tools/instrument. Brief statement of conclusion may also be included. It may be 250-300 words.</p> <p>Additional statement of the conclusion may be considered.</p> <p>The author may consider assistance from the linguistic for proof reading of the manuscript for Grammarly check.</p> <p>Statement of specific and general objective may be clearly stated.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Indentation at the start of every paragraph may be observed.</p> <p>May avoid/eliminate cutting of tables, instead may use a separate table.</p> <p>The author may maximize space on page, at figure 1 and figure 2. May place the figure at the center, enlarge the figure or change page lay out from landscape to portrait.</p> <p>Position of figures may be placed before or after the discussion of figures.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>References may only include those that are used in the research study.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nemia m. Galang
Department, University & Country	President Ramon Magsaysay State University, Philippines