

Original Research Article

Conjoint Application of INM Modules on ~~Vegetative~~vegetative, ~~Flowering~~flowering and ~~Seed~~seed ~~Yield~~yield in French Marigold

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried out at Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Chatha, Jammu during 2018-19. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (~~RBD~~) and comprised of twenty-three treatments replicated thrice. Among the various treatments, maximum plant height (90.10 cm) and highest number of laterals (21.89) were recorded with treatment T₇ comprising of 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34). Lesser number of days taken to 50% flowering (70.22 days), number of flowers/plant (86.78), maximum flower diameter (6.20g), weight of flower (5.78 g), flower yield per plant (500 g), seed yield/plant (105.91 g), 1000 seed weight (11.67g) was recorded with treatment of 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + 1% foliar spray of MKP (T₉). Maximum plant spread (68.44 cm) was recorded with treatment comprising of 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers (T₆). However, minimum electrical conductivity of seed leachate (0.688 µmhos/cm/g) was recorded with 25 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers(T₂₀). The effects of treatments on flowering duration (days) and shoot: root ratio was found to be non-significant.

Keywords: ~~French marigold, INM, water soluble fertilizers~~fertilizers, biofertilizers, spent mushroom compost, flowering and seed production

1. INTRODUCTION

Marigold is one of the most popular and commercial loose flower crop of Jammu. Popularly known as the city of temples, Jammu region witnesses a huge demand of marigold flowers for garland making, offering in temples and other decorative purposes during various festive occasions. As a result, the production of flowers in Jammu alone cannot meet the ~~ever increasing~~ever-increasing demand and flowers worth lakhs need to be procured from ~~neighbouring~~neighboring states. Keeping in view the importance of crop and the present demand of quality flower, the investigations was carried out with the view to optimize a suitable Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) schedule for enhancing flower yield parameters in French marigold under Jammu subtropics. To fulfill the demand and rule out this limitation, it is necessary to increase its production through improved production technologies. Excessive use of chemical fertilizers following hit and trial methods by the farmers now days results in poor health of the soil, nutrient imbalances and ultimately poor fertilizer use efficiency. ~~Also~~Also, small hold farmers do not have access to chemical fertilizer because of high price of fertilizers, poor distribution and other socio-economic factors

Comment [FG1]: these words are already in the title

Comment [FG2]: I think it is convenient to change this expression for a more international one

involved. Therefore, modern nutrient management strategy aims towards the concept of sustainability ~~and eco-friendliness~~.

Urgent need of natural, low cost and eco-friendly sources of nutrient elements which not only fulfill the requirements of the crop but also sustain the health of the soil ~~is the need of the hour~~ ~~are needed~~. Under these circumstances, integrated soil fertility management practices involving judicious combination of organic sources and chemical fertilizers seems to be a feasible option on a commercial and profitable scale. Organic ~~sources~~, viz. spent mushroom compost and biofertilizers, hold a great promise as a source of macro as well as micro-nutrients and ~~have the ability to can~~ improve quality flower production on a sustainable basis. Spent mushroom compost (SMC) could be a suitable substitute for chemical fertilizers as environmentally friendly material in cultivation of ornamentals [1]. Further, foliar fertilization technique may also be a good alternative to the conventional soil application ~~in order to to~~ avoid the loss of fertilizers. Plant response to foliar applications of water soluble nutrients is a function of the amount of nutrients absorbed by the leaf tissues, the mobility of the nutrients within the plant and the phytotoxicity of the nutrients solution to the foliage. ~~Thus~~ ~~Thus~~, an ideal nutritional situation may be achieved through the promotion of plant growth by application of basal soil treatments in combination with foliar-applied nutrients.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at the experimental farm of the Division of Vegetable Science and Floriculture, FoA, SKUAST-Jammu during the year 2018-19. A basic study of the soil of the experimental field was conducted before starting the experiment. ~~The physio-chemical characteristics of soil taken revealed sandy loam textural class with Available N (232.54 kg/ha), Available P₂O₅ (27.71 kg/ha), Available K₂O (225.81 kg/ha), EC (0.35dS/m) and Organic carbon content of 0.38%.~~

Healthy seedlings were transplanted on 26/10/2018 in the experimental plots at a spacing of 40 cm x 40 cm thereby accommodating 21 seedlings per bed size of 2.80 m x 1.20 m. Transplanting was done during evening hours when the temperature was low ~~in order to to~~ avoid the transplanting shock. Light irrigation was given immediately after transplanting.

Biofertilizers viz. *Azotobacter chroococcum* and phosphorous solubilizing micro-organisms (*Bacillus polymyxa* + *Pseudomonas striata*) were applied by dipping the roots of marigold seedlings into a slurry of 200 g of the ~~inoculum~~ ~~inoculum~~ dissolved in one ~~liter~~ ~~liter~~ of 10 % sugar solution at the time of planting. Foliar spray of 1% MKP (Mono potassium phosphate; 00:52:34 water soluble fertilizer) was given twice during the experiment. First application was given at 30 days after transplanting (DAT) and second application at 60 days after transplanting (DAT).

One year old spent mushroom compost from which the crop of button mushroom has been harvested was procured from Mushroom Research and Training Centre, Division of Plant Pathology, SKUAST-Jammu. Spent mushroom compost before incorporation into the field was treated with 4% formalin and kept covered with polythene for 48 hours. After 48 hours the cover was ~~removed~~ ~~removed~~, and the spent mushroom compost was turned upside down frequently ~~so as~~ to release the fumes of formalin. Once the spent mushroom compost becomes free of formalin fumes, it was incorporated into the plots. Irrigations were given ~~as~~ ~~and when~~ ~~when~~ required during crop growth. Pinching was done after 35 days of transplanting. The experimental plots were kept clean by regular hand weeding. No insect pest and disease incidence ~~was~~ ~~were~~ observed during the experiment. ~~Data on various growth and flowering parameters were recorded~~ and statistically analyzed by applying the

Comment [FG3]: the abbreviations and chemical formulas must be clarified what they mean even if it is obvious, since it is the first time they appear

Comment [FG4]: Whats parameters were measured? And how?

technique of analysis of variance using Randomized Block Design [2]. The level of significance for t-test was kept at 5% (P=0.05)

2.1 Experimental Treatment Details and Notations

- T₁ = ~~Farmers~~Farmer's practice (no fertilizer)
T₂ = Control^a (100% RDF)
T₃ = 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²)
T₄ = 75 % RDF + Biofertilizers^b
T₅ = 75 % RDF + 1% foliar spray of -MKP^c -(00:52:34)
T₆ = 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers
T₇ = 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34)
T₈ = 75 % RDF + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34)
T₉ = 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34)
T₁₀ = 50 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²)
T₁₁ = 50 % RDF + Biofertilizers
T₁₂ = 50 % RDF + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34)
T₁₃ = 50 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers
T₁₄ = 50 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34)
T₁₅ = 50 % RDF + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34)
T₁₆ = 50 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34)
T₁₇ = 25 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²)
T₁₈ = 25 % RDF + Biofertilizers
T₁₉ = 25 % RDF + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34)
T₂₀ = 25 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers
T₂₁ = 25 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34)
T₂₂ = 25 % RDF + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34)
T₂₃ = 25 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34)

Control^a = Recommended fertilizer dose of marigold by Punjab Agriculture University (200 kg N, 100 kg P₂O₅ and 100 kg K₂O/ha), Biofertilizers: ^b = ~~—~~ *Azotobacter chroococcum* + phosphorous solubilizing micro-organisms root dip; MKP: ^c = ~~—~~ MKP (Mono potassium phosphate, 00:52:34 water soluble fertilizer)

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth Parameters

The effect of conjoint use of chemical fertilizers, biofertilizers, spent mushroom compost on various growth parameters of French marigold such as plant height, plant ~~spread~~spread, and numbers of ~~side-lateral~~shoots were studied. The findings revealed maximum plant height (90.10 cm) and number of laterals (21.89) with 75 % RDF + spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + 1% foliar spray of MKP (T₇). The maximum plant spread (68.44 cm) was recorded with the application of 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers (T₆) which was found to be at par with the treatment T₇. These results suggest that the combined application of inorganics, compost and foliar spray was superior over individual application for better plant growth. The increased plant height could also be due to better nutrient uptake, photosynthesis and source-sink relationship, besides excellent physiological and biochemical activities. Application of Spent mushroom compost also improves soil texture which might have augmented the plant growth. Singh *et al* also reported significant increase in

Comment [FG5]: What this means?

vegetative growth parameters with conjoint application of inorganic fertilizers supplemented with foliar application of water soluble fertilizer Sujala (19:19:19 NPK) in carnation cv. Master [3]. Kumawat *et al.* also reported significantly higher plant height with the application of 75% RDF+FYM along with inoculation of seedlings of marigold with *Azotobacter* and PSB [4]. Goutham *et al.* also reported the maximum number of branches and plant spread with the application of *Azotobacter*+ PSB + RDF in African marigold cv. Pusa Narangi Gaiinda [21]. Similar results of significant increase in vegetative growth parameters by integrated nutrient management have also been reported by other workers in china aster [5] and marigold [6]-[12].

3.2 Flowering and yield parameters

In the present investigation, time taken for 50 % flowering (70.22 days) was recorded earliest when the plants were supplied with 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m^2) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (T_9). However, different integrated nutrient management treatments did not significantly influence the flowering duration. The earliness of flowering due to the presence of biofertilizers especially inoculation with *Azotobacter* and PSB may be ascribed to easy uptake of nutrients and simultaneous transport of growth promoting substances like cytokinins to the axillary buds resulting in breakage of apical dominance. Ultimately, they resulted in better sink for faster mobilization of photosynthates and early transformation of plant parts from vegetative to reproductive phase. Dubey *et al.* reported that *Azospirillum* and PSB induce early flowering and increase flower diameter in gladiolus [13]. Nammidevi also reported early flower bud initiation and the minimum number of days taken for 50 % flowering in annual chrysanthemum when applied with *Azotobacter* + PSB + *Azospirillum* along with 80 % NPK [14]. They also reported lesser number of days to first flower opening in marigold with 60% RDF + vermicompost + *Azotobacter*+*Azospirillum* + PSB. These results are also in consonance with the findings of Chandrikapure *et al.* [15] in marigold, Narashima Raju and Haripriya [16] in crossandra, Gyathri *et al.* [17] in limonium and Kumar and Kumar [18] in marigold.

Application of 75% RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m^2) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (T_9) recorded the highest number of flowers per plant (86.78; Fig1), maximum flower diameter (6.20 cm), flower weight (5.78 g) and highest flower yield per plant (500 g; Fig 2). The maximum increase in flower yield parameters with the scheduled application of T_9 (75% RDF+ Spent mushroom compost + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP) might be ascribed to the fact that this treatment might have supplied requisite amounts of nutrients viz a viz. improved fertilizer use efficiency through timely applications of N, P and K that had led to increased photosynthetic rate and in turn resulted in production of more flowering in comparison to other treatments. In addition foliar application through MKP also promoted better uptake of nutrients and hence resulted in higher utilization and translocation. The efficacy of foliar fertilization is higher than that of soil fertilization because of the supply of required nutrient directly to the location of demand in the leaves and its relatively quick absorption. The effect is manifested in the production of significantly higher number of flowering shoots which in turn results in an increase in the flowering and yield parameters of commercial importance.

Table 1: Effect of various modules on vegetative, flowering and yield traits of French marigold

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Plant spread (cm)	No. of laterals	Days taken to 50% flowering	Flowering duration (days)	Flower diameter (cm)	Weight of flower (g)	EC of seed leachate ($\mu\text{mhos/cm/g}$)	Shoot:root ratio
T ₁	78.01	55.33	14.44	76.39	53.56	4.69	3.00	0.944	0.28
T ₂	88.10	63.33	19.77	71.00	54.78	6.17	4.89	0.868	0.25
T ₃	83.46	62.00	19.22	73.37	55.11	5.17	4.67	0.804	0.27
T ₄	87.89	63.67	19.66	73.22	54.22	5.15	4.67	0.812	0.26
T ₅	85.68	68.00	18.22	73.00	54.11	5.04	4.44	0.741	0.26
T ₆	86.66	68.44	19.66	72.89	55.56	5.42	3.56	0.699	0.27
T ₇	90.10	68.22	21.89	72.51	57.89	5.63	4.69	0.819	0.25
T ₈	88.32	64.45	20.00	72.05	54.67	6.03	5.41	0.735	0.26
T ₉	88.22	63.22	19.89	70.22	53.44	6.20	5.78	0.911	0.25
T ₁₀	88.00	65.78	19.77	73.66	55.44	6.06	4.11	0.838	0.26
T ₁₁	87.10	60.33	19.22	74.00	54.00	5.02	4.00	0.813	0.25
T ₁₂	87.22	66.55	20.00	74.11	56.56	5.22	3.56	0.73	0.27
T ₁₃	86.79	62.78	19.78	74.16	55.56	4.93	3.33	0.746	0.25

T ₁₄	85.57	62.45	18.33	74.44	54.11	4.97	4.11	0.776	0.26
T ₁₅	87.79	68.00	19.44	72.89	56.00	4.97	4.67	0.724	0.25
T ₁₆	87.45	61.66	19.11	72.80	55.56	4.92	4.44	0.775	0.22
T ₁₇	87.57	51.67	15.78	76.81	52.78	4.94	3.33	0.812	0.24
T ₁₈	78.68	51.78	15.89	75.41	55.67	4.96	3.33	0.754	0.27
T ₁₉	85.21	53.67	16.89	75.66	55.56	5.95	4.00	0.774	0.24
T ₂₀	86.79	55.78	17.00	75.78	54.33	5.98	4.33	0.688	0.25
T ₂₁	83.68	55.66	18.00	76.23	57.33	5.98	4.67	0.757	0.24
T ₂₂	86.21	55.56	18.33	74.44	54.56	5.74	4.66	0.789	0.26
T ₂₃	87.01	58.55	18.78	74.91	54.78	5.81	4.33	0.762	0.25
SE ± (m)	1.71	0.97	1.20	1.04	1.27	0.28	3.67	0.023	0.009
CV	3.42	2.73	11.17	2.45	3.99	9.23	30.38	5.023	6.341
CD_{0.05}	4.89	2.76	3.44	2.98	N.S	0.81	9.23	0.065	N.S

T₁ = Farmers practice (no fertilizer); T₂ = Control (Recommended dose of marigold by PAU (200 kg N, 100 kg P₂O₅ and 100 kg K₂O/ha); T₃ = 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²); T₄ = 75 % RDF + Biofertilizers; T₅ = 75 % RDF + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₆ = 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers; T₇ = 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₈ = 75 % RDF + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₉ = 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₁₀ = 50 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²); T₁₁ = 50 % RDF + Biofertilizers; T₁₂ = 50 % RDF + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₁₃ = 50 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers; T₁₄ = 50 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₁₅ = 50 % RDF + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₁₆ = 50 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₁₇ = 25 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²); T₁₈ = 25 % RDF + Biofertilizers; T₁₉ = 25 % RDF + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₂₀ = 25 %

RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers; T₂₁= 25 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₂₂= 25 % RDF + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34); T₂₃= 25 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34).).




Fig1. Effect of spent mushroom compost, biofertilizers and MKP on flower number




Fig2. Effect of spent mushroom compost, biofertilizers and MKP on Flower yield/plant (g)

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Enhancement in flowering attributes might also be evident from excellent physiological and biochemical activities due to conjoint application of chemical fertilizers, mushroom compost, bio fertilizers and foliar fertilization. An increase in weight might be attributed to stimulation of growth and photosynthesis and subsequent translocation of assimilates to sustain the growth of developing shoots.

Kumar and Kumar reported significant increase in flower yields of Purnima and Ajay cultivars of chrysanthemum with conjoint application of inorganic fertilizers, Vermicompost and Biofertilizers [18]. Singh *et al* [3] and Singh *et al.* [19] also reported improved flowering parameters with conjoint application of inorganic fertilizers supplemented with foliar application of water soluble fertilizer Sujala (19:19:19 NPK) in carnation cv. Master. Kumawat *et al.* reported significantly higher number of flowers/plant, weight of flower viz. a viz. yield per plant by conjoint application of 75 % RDF+ FYM+ *Azotobacter*+ PSB in African marigold [4]. Goutham *et al* also reported the maximum fresh weight of flower and highest number of flowers/plant with the application of RDF+ *Azotobacter*+ PSB in African marigold cv. Pusa Narangi Gaiinda [21]. These findings also get support from the previous work of various workers in tuberose [22]; in statice [17], and in African marigold [6], [23], [24] in chrysanthemum and in carnation [25].

3.3 Seed Yield Parameters

The maximum seed yield/plant (105.91 g; Fig 3) and 1000 seed weight (11.67 g; Fig 3) was recorded with the application of 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (T₉). This increase in seed yield might be due to better flower quality parameters with the same treatment. Seed production is directly influenced by proper plant growth and nutrient supply to the plants. Positive increment in seed yield by integrated use of nutrients may be due to the availability of micro and macro nutrients to the plants via. a viz., increase in hormonal activation within the plants. The combined and positive effect of recommended dose of fertilizers supplemented with various organic amendments on seed yield parameters in various ornamentals has been advocated by numerous workers. Bappitodu *et al* reported higher seed yield/plant and higher test weight with the application of 75% NPK+ *Azotobacter* + PSB + KMB + 3% HA in *Calendula officinalis* [26]. Jadhav *et al.* reported the maximum number of seeds/peduncle, weight of seeds/peduncle and 100 seed weight with the application of 75 % NPK + PSB+ *Azotobacter* and 75 % NPK + PSB+ *Azotobacter*+ recommended dose of FYM in marigold [8]. Koli *et al.* also reported the highest 1000 seed weight and seed yield/plot with the application of RDF+ FYM+ Arka microbial consortium+ VAM+ micronutrient foliar spray in marigold cv. Pusa Basanti Gaiinda [18].

3.4 Electrical conductivity of seed leachate and shoot: root ratio

Lowest electrical conductivity of seed leachate (0.688 µmhos/cm/g) was recorded with 25 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers(T₂₀) whereas highest electrical conductivity of seed leachate (0.911 µmhos/cm/g) was recorded with the treatment of 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (T₉). Bappitodu *et al* also reported lowest EC of seed leachate with the application of 75% NPK + *Azotobacter* + PSB + KMB + 3% HA in *Calendula officinalis* [26].

It is apparent from the data (Table 1) that different integrated nutrient management treatments do not significantly influence the shoot: root ratio on fresh weight basis. However, the highest shoot: root ratio on fresh weight basis (0.28) was recorded with farmers practice (T₁) and the lowest shoot: root ratio on fresh weight basis was recorded with 50 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost + biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (T₁₆). The

root/shoot ratio on fresh weight basis was derived to denote the ratio of water absorbing area (root) and the transpiration area (shoot) of a plant. Change in root: shoot ratio during a plant's life cycle is part of an intrinsic ontogeny, but growth rates of roots and shoots continually adjust to resource availability with photo assimilate. These results are in close conformity with the findings of Sujatha *et al.* [28] in gerbera.




Fig3. Effect of spent mushroom compost, biofertilizers and MKP on seed yield per plant (g) and 1000 seed weight (g)

4. CONCLUSION

From the present studies it is concluded that the best integrated nutrient management schedule for growth and flower production of French marigold is 75 % RDF + Spent mushroom compost (1 kg/m²) + Biofertilizers + 1% foliar spray of MKP (00:52:34) as it resulted in improvement for most of the parameters of economic importance.

REFERENCES

1. Afagh HV, Sadaatmand S, Riahi H and Nejad RAK Influence of spent mushroom compost as an organic fertilizer on nutrient, growth, yield and essential oil composition of German chamomile (*Matricaria Recutita* L.). *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*. 2019; 50(5): 538-548
2. Gomez KA and Gomez AA. *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research* (11nd ed.). John Wiley and Sons, Singapore. 1985
3. Singh A, Sharma BP, Gupta YC, Dilita BS and Laishram N Response of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus*) cv.Master to water soluble fertilizer sujala (19:19:19 NPK). *Indian Journal of Agriculture Sciences*. 2013; 83(12):1364-67
4. Kumawat K, Khanderwal S K, Choudary M R, Kumawat P K, Sharma G and Panwar P. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth flowering and yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2017; 6(8): 60-65
5. Chaitra R and Patil VS. Integrated nutrient management studies in China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* (L.) Nees). *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2007; 20(3): 689-690

6. Mittal R, Patel HC, Nayee DD and Sitapara HH. Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on flowering, yield and vase life of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Local under middle Gujarat Agroclimatic conditions. *Asian Sciences*. 2010; 5(1): 22-24
7. Thumar BV, Barad AV, Neelima P, and Bhosle N. Effect of integrated system of plant nutrition management on growth, yield and flower quality of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Narangi Gaiinda. *The Asian Journal of Horticulture*. 2013; 8(2): 466-469
8. Yadav KS, Pal AK, Singh AK, Yadav D and Mauriya SK. Influence of different bio-fertilizers and its consortium on growth, flowering and seed yield of Marigold. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience*; 2017. 5(6): 1660-1665
9. Kumar A and Kumar A. Effect of bio-fertilizers and nutrients on growth and flower yield of summer season african marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *Plant Archives*. 2017; 17(2): 1090-1092
10. Patel V, Patel GD, Desai KD, Desai M and Patel U. Integrated Nutrient Management in African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2017; 5(4): 1352-1354
11. Koli R, Jayanthi R and Kumari V. Effect of integrated nutrient management on flower yield and quality of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Basanti Gaiinda. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2018; 6(4): 2617-2620
12. Singanjude AR, Gajbhiye RP, Khobragade YR and Moon SS. Yield and quality of African marigold as influenced by biofertilizers and nitrogen levels. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2018; 6(5): 23-26
13. Dubey RK, Mishra RL, Raghava SPS, Jauhri KS, Singh SK and Kumar N. *In vitro* study of bio and chemical fertilizers on certain floral traits of gladiolus. **In:** Proceedings of Seminar on improving productivity, quality, post harvest management and trade in horticultural crops. 2004; 6-9 Nov. New Delhi
14. Nammidevi M, Badge S, Bhongle S A and Khiratkar S D. Effect of bio-inoculants with graded doses of NPK on flowering, yield attributes and economics of annual chrysanthemum. *Journal of Soils and Crops*. 2008; 18(1): 217-220
15. Chandrikapure KR, Sadawrite KT, Panchbhai DM and Shelke BD. Effect of bioinoculants and graded doses of nitrogen on growth and flower yield of marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *Orissa Journal of Horticulture*. 1999; 27 (2): 31-34
16. Narashima Raju S and Haripriya K. Integrated nutrient management in crossandra (*Crossandra infundibuliformis* L.) cv. Dindigul. *Local South Indian Horticulture*; 2001. 49: 181-184
17. Gayathri H N, Jayaprasad KV and Narayanaswamy P. Response of biofertilizers and their combined application with different levels of inorganic fertilizers in statice (*Limonium caspia*) *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*. 2004; 7(1):70-74
18. Kumar A and Kumar A. Effect of Biofertilizers and Nutrients on growth and flower yield of summer season African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) *Plant Archives*. 2016; 17(2): 1090-1092
19. Laishram N, Dhiman SR, Gupta YC, Bhardwaj SK and Singh A. Microbial dynamics and physicochemical properties of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora*) as influenced by integrated nutrient management. *Indian Journal of Agriculture Sciences*. 2013; 83(4):447-55
20. Singh A, Sharma BP, Dilta BS Laishram N, Gupta YC and Bhardwaj SK. Effect of fertilization on quality flower production and foliar nutrient content of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus*) cv. Master. *Bangladesh Journal of Botany*. 2015; 44 (1):133-137
21. Goutham B K, Parul P and Mamta B. Efficacy of Azotobacter and Phosphate solubilizing bacteria on vegetative and floral attributes of African marigold (*Tagetes*

- erecta L.) cv. Pusa Narangi Gaiinda under hilly regions of Uttarakhand. International Journal of Agriculture sciences. 2018; 10(4): 5212-5215
22. Swaminathan V, Ramaswamy N and Pallia OAA. Effect of Azospirillum, phosphobacteria and inorganic nutrients on the growth and yield of tuberose. South Indian Horticulture. 1999; 47(1-6):331-334
 23. Rolaniya M K, Khandelwal Jat PK and Choudhary A. Response of African marigold to NPK, biofertilizers and spacings. Journal of Applied and Natural Science. 2017; 9(1): 593-597
 24. Laishram N, Dhiman SR, Singh A and Gupta YC. Profitability of chrysanthemum cut flower production as influenced by integrated nutrient management under mid hill conditions of Himachal Pradesh. Journal of Ornamental Horticulture. 2016; 19 (3&4):125-131
 25. Singh A, Sharma BP, Dilta BS, Gupta YC, Laishram N and Baweja HS. Economic analysis of carnation cv. Master cut flower production as influenced by fertilizer schedules under naturally ventilated poyhouse. Bangladesh Journal of Botany. 2016; 45(1):25-31
 26. Bappitodu, Rana DK, Punetha P and Kumar P. Efficacy of Integrated nutrient management on seed yield and quality parameters of Calendula Officinalis. The Bioscan. 2016; 11(2): 1167-1169
 27. Jadhav PB, Alka S, Mangave BD, Patil NB, Patel D J, Dekhane S S and Kireeti A. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of African Marigold (Tagetes erecta L.) Cv. Pusa Basanti Gaiinda. Annals of Biological Research. 2014; 5(9):10-14
 28. Sujatha K, Gowda JVN and Khan MM. Effects of different fertigation levels on gerbera under low cost greenhouse. Journal of Ornamental Horticulture-New Series. 2002; 5(1): 54-59