

## Original Research Article

### EFFECT OF ZINC FORTIFICATION ON QUALITY, YIELD AND ECONOMICS OF SWEETCORN

#### Abstract:

A field experiment was performed at Agricultural College, Bapatla, ANGRAU, Guntur during *khari* season of 2020 to evaluate the efficacy of zinc nutrition on quality, yield and economics of sweetcorn. Experiment was carried out in Randomized Block Design and comprising of nine treatments with three replications. The results disclosed that application of recommended dose of fertilizers along with soil application of 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn EDTA + two foliar sprays of nano zinc @ 250 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS registered remarkably higher protein content (12.98%) and zinc content (34.59 ppm) in kernel which was considered to be superior over the remaining zinc management practices tried. Also, highest green cob (12,638 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), green fodder (19,674 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), stover yield (7,590 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and gross returns (₹ 1,93,360 ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded from the treatment with soil application of Zn EDTA @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + two foliar sprays of nano zinc @ 250 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS along with RDF over control. However, highest net returns (₹ 1,38,664 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and benefit cost ratio (2.74) was recorded with RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Soil) + Nano ZnO @ 250 ppm at 20 and 40 DAS (Foliar spray). The effectiveness of Zn EDTA compared to ZnSO<sub>4</sub> is responsible for the higher quality parameters and yield of sweetcorn but due to its higher cost, Net returns and B:C ratio are maximum for the plots treated with ZnSO<sub>4</sub> making it more economically viable.

**Key words:** Zn EDTA, Nano ZnO, sweetcorn, Zinc nutrition, Quality, Yield, Economics

#### INTRODUCTION:

Sweetcorn, which is grown worldwide as an annual field crop (Remison) [22] is basically an American crop and later introduced in India. It is a short duration crop (75-80 days) belonging to a family Poaceae. The prominent states of maize being grown are Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Karnataka, Punjab, Andhra Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh (Anon) [4]. Apart from staple food crop for humans, maize also accounts for a major poultry and animal feed and also has an immense industrial significance. At present, sweetcorn emerged as a multipurpose popular cereal crop with increasing demand among the public owing to its sweet kernels. It accounts for about 25-30% sugar content especially at milk stage which is more in comparison to 2-5% of sugar content in normal corn. In addition to its demand for fresh sweetcorn in hotels, it is also used as raw materials for various industrial products like dextrin, starch syrup and dextrose which ultimately enhanced sweetcorn significance both in local and global markets.

Micronutrients are important for plant growth and development and involved in various metabolic processes such as cell wall development, chlorophyll formation, photosynthesis, respiration, xylem permeability, water absorption, in the formation of primary

**Comment [1]:** Do not repeat words that already exist in the title. The "keywords" are intended to increase the indexing level of the article, hence the use of other terms.

**Comment [2]:** Reference indicated numerically. attention: "22" is PANSE; SUKHATME (1985). REMISON is 23.

**Comment [3]:** It is important to remember that its redistribution across Floema is low. Its greater or lesser translocation depends on its mobility in the aerial part of the plant

and secondary metabolites and nitrogen fixation and reduction (~~Adhikary et al.~~ [1; 30], ~~Vitti et al.~~ [30]. Intensive agriculture using current technologies, including the introduction of high-yielding varieties and the constant application of high-analysis fertilizers, has resulted in a deficit of micronutrients, notably zinc (~~Alloway~~) [2]. Zn deficiency is the most damaging to crop development and productivity of all micronutrients (~~Monreal et al.~~) [21]. Ultimately, it has progressed to an alarming level in intensively cultivated regions.

Zinc deficiency is a well-known issue in food crops, resulting in lower crop yields and nutritional quality. It is significant because of the impact it has on human health (~~Singh et al.~~) [27]. Zinc deficiency will be grew from 47 percent (in 1970) to 63 percent (in 2025) of the farmed regions in Indian soils owing to the ongoing loss in soil fertility (~~Arunachalam et al.~~) [7]. According to the World Health Organization's study on the risk factors responsible for the development of illnesses and diseases, zinc deficiency ranks 11th among the 20 most significant variables in the world and 5th among the 10 most important ones in developing nations (~~Anon~~) [5]. Maize's susceptibility to zinc deficiency in soil causes a condition known as "White bud" (~~El-Azab~~) [12], which manifests as white parallel bands between the midrib and leaf border. Zinc fertilization appears to be a promising method for overcoming this problem and meeting crop zinc demands, as it improves the zinc content of the kernel.

“Here!”

Normally, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> is the sole dependable source of zinc fertilizer, however several different sources of zinc fertilizer are now accessible. Soils with strong fixation and adsorption processes favour chelating compounds such as Ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) to augment the availability of zinc and other trace metals in the soil solution. Metal ions in chelated forms are inert and readily available to plants. Similarly, zinc oxide nanoparticles pave way as innovative fertilizer nutrients for crops since they increase productivity, nutrient usage efficiency, and confer resistance to biotic and abiotic stress, therefore enriching edible plant portions with zinc.

Appropriate Zn application methods are important for optimal absorption and use. Furthermore, it can be used as a foliar spray or as a soil treatment. Foliar spray, on the other hand, is acknowledged to be more effective due to its direct application to the leaves. Though zinc applied to the soil promotes grain yield, its presence in the kernel was only enhanced by foliar spraying of zinc fertilizer (~~Tariq et al.~~) [28]. As a result, it is advised to use a combination of soil and foliar zinc applications to boost both grain zinc content and yield (~~Bharti et al.~~) [10].

## Materials and Methods

The current experiment entitled “Studies on zinc management in sweetcorn” was carried out at Agricultural College Farm, Bapatla, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, during *kharif* season of 2020-21. Experiment was laid out in randomized block design (RBD) comprising of nine treatments and replicated thrice. The treatments of zinc application are T<sub>1</sub>: Control (RDF), T<sub>2</sub>: RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Soil application), T<sub>3</sub>: RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.5 % foliar spray at 20 & 40 DAS, T<sub>4</sub>: RDF + Zn EDTA @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Soil application), T<sub>5</sub>: RDF + Zn EDTA @ 0.5 % (Foliar spray) at 20 and 40 DAS, T<sub>6</sub>: RDF + Nano ZnO @ 250 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS (Foliar spray), T<sub>7</sub>: RDF + Nano ZnO @ 500 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS (Foliar spray), T<sub>8</sub>: RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Soil) + Nano ZnO @ 250 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS (Foliar spray) and T<sub>9</sub>: RDF + Zn EDTA @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Soil) + Nano ZnO @ 250 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS (Foliar spray).

Sugar-75, a robust and vigorously performing hybrid sweetcorn short duration variety (75-80 days) developed by Syngenta, was employed in the current experiment. The soil at the experimental location was sandy clay in texture and neutral in reaction. All the treatments received the recommended amount of 180:60:50 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. At the time of sowing, 1/3rd of Urea was given as a basal, 1/3rd at the knee high stage, and 1/3rd at tasseling. As a basal dosage, the entire dose of single super phosphate and potash muriate was applied. ZnSO<sub>4</sub> micronutrient

**Comment [4]:** Before presenting the following paragraph, I suggest addressing the factors that interfere with the acquisition of Zn in plants (chemical: pH; cationic exchange capacity; concentration of organic matter and the presence of soluble cations and anions - and, mineralogical: clay type and content, of Iron and Aluminum oxides and hydroxides).

**Comment [5]:** Also... Before approaching new technologies, comment on the modes of application (in the soil - localized or incorporated; foliar and seed) and their different results. This shows the difficulty of handling and the importance of the work in contributing to the subject.

**Comment [6]:** There are controversies because its translocation in the xylem is efficient (soil - root absorption) while its redistribution in the phloem is of low mobility (foliar application).

**Comment [7]:** In seeds there are also interesting results

**Comment [8]:** It is important to be presented in the review, even if briefly, what results are available regarding the application management and the forms used (oxide and sulfate) for Zn.

**Comment [9]:** mg/kg, right!?!? Putting the unit is better than leaving the relationship.

**Comment [10]:** It is extremely important to present the soil data (pH levels of nutrients, clay and sand content) and also to tell about the history of the area. Is there a residual effect? Soils with a pH around 5.5 can adsorb Zinc by Al, Fe and Mn hydroxides. Its precipitation with Zinc Hydroxide can also occur, making it unavailable to plants.

**Comment [11]:** Embedded and then surface (broadcast)? Please report the details. Manual application or using equipment?

**Comment [12]:** Once again there is a need for details. How was it applied? Was any equipment or machine used? What are the details of the adjustment? If manual, how did it proceed? What precautions were adopted?

was supplied as a basal and foliar application depending on the treatment. The weekly mean maximum and minimum temperature ranged from 25.2°C to 32.2°C during the crop growth period.

**Comment [13]:** Presenting a graph showing climate data during the experimental period is important and enriches the work. If the historical series is also included, it's even better!

#### Kernel Protein Content (%)

The seed samples collected at harvest were ground into fine powder and analyzed for assessing the different quality parameters. Kernel nitrogen content, estimated by micro-Kjeldhal method (Jackson) [13] was multiplied by the factor 6.25 and expressed as protein content (AOAC) [6] of the kernel.

$$\text{Protein content (\%)} = \text{Total N content (\%)} \times 6.25$$

#### Kernel Zinc Content (ppm)

Zinc content in kernel was estimated as per the method prescribed by Lindsay and Norvell [18] by using DTPA (Diethylene triamine penta acetate) solution with Atomic Absorption Spectrometer.

#### Yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Fresh green cobs from net plots were harvested, weighed and expressed as green cob yield. After picking of cobs, the plants were immediately cut to the base and the green fodder from net plot was weighed and expressed as green fodder yield. Those plants were retained in field for sun drying on the threshing floor till 15 % moisture level then the dry stover yield was recorded.

**Comment [14]:** How was this value confirmed?

#### Economics

The economics of treatments were determined using current market pricing. The input and output costs were compared treatment-wise and gross returns, net returns and the B:C ratio were determined. Data was subjected to statistical analysis as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme [22].

**Comment [15]:** Certainly someone in economics can ask for more details on price collection. Validating market behavior.

**Comment [16]:** Explain what kind of economic analysis was done.

$$\text{Gross return (\text{₹ ha}^{-1})} = \text{Yield in kg ha}^{-1} \times \text{price k}$$

$$\text{Net return (\text{₹ ha}^{-1})} = \text{Gross Return} - \text{Total Cost of cultivation}$$

$$B:C \text{ Ratio} = \frac{\text{Net return (\text{₹ ha}^{-1})}}{\text{Cost of cultivation (\text{₹ ha}^{-1})}}$$

### Results and Discussion:

#### Green Cob Yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Results pertaining to green cob yield of sweetcorn are given in Table 1. The data clearly reveals that integration of soil and foliar application of zinc showed a remarkable effect on cob yield of sweetcorn over application of zinc either as soil or foliar alone.

Among the various treatments tested, the soil application of Zn EDTA @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> +

foliar sprays of nano zinc @ 250 ppm at 20 and 40 DAS along with RDF (T<sub>9</sub>) produced significantly greater green cob yield (12,638 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and was significantly superior to rest of treatments. The next best treatments after T<sub>9</sub> were the plots that received with RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Soil)+ Nano ZnO @ 250 ppm at 20 and 40 DAS (Foliar spray) (T<sub>8</sub>) and RDF + Nano ZnO @ 500 ppm at 20 and 40 DAS (Foliar spray) (T<sub>7</sub>). The lowest green cob yield (8,009 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was noticed with control *i.e.* treatment received RDF alone.

**Comment [17]:** Highlighting the importance of Zinc for the corn crop.

Yield is the end result of growth factors, yield attributes, and physiological and morphological processes that occur throughout crop development (Mona) [20]. Application of zinc had a positive effect on plant metabolism, physiological processes, and plant development, as well as improved glucose translocation from source to sink, resulting in increased yield. Kumar and Bohra [17], Chand *et al.* [11], and Kumar and Salakinkop [16] all observed an increase in yield when Zn had been used.

The use of nano zinc oxide may have triggered enzymes in sweetcorn plants by integrating with chlorophyll formation and increased phytohormone synthesis, such as tryptophan. This increased production is the main location to store carbohydrates in plant as grains, which finally resulted in an increased number of seeds per plant as a source, and storage carbohydrates, and enhanced sweetcorn yield. Ashrafi *et al.* [8] and Satdev *et al.* [24] both reported a similar increment in yield from the use of nano zinc oxide particles.

**Comment [18]:** Tryptophan is an amino acid and not a hormone. Its role is... It is related to the metabolism of auxins, mainly to indole acetic acid, responsible for plant growth.

#### **Green Fodder Yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

Zinc fortification also showed a significant effect on green fodder yield of sweetcorn similar to green cob yield (Table 1.). Soil application of Zn EDTA @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + foliar sprays of nano zinc @ 250 ppm at 20 and 40 DAS along with RDF (T<sub>9</sub>), registered significantly the highest green fodder yield (19,674 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) superior over remaining treatments tried. T<sub>9</sub> stood statistically at par with treatments T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> (19,554 and 19,498 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Significantly the lowest green fodder yield (14,388 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was registered in control (T<sub>1</sub>) treatment.

Enhanced green fodder yield in Zn-applied plots might be ascribed to increased carbohydrate synthesis with zinc treatment resulting in higher green fodder production. Similar findings of considerably improved green fodder with zinc fertilization were observed by Kumar *et al.* [15], Mona [2015], Chand *et al.* [11] and Shakoore *et al.* [25].

#### **Stover Yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)**

The data regarding to effect of zinc fertilization on stover yield of sweetcorn clearly revealed that stover yield was significantly influenced by Zn treatments. Higher stover yield (7,590 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was registered with soil application of Zn EDTA @ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + foliar sprays

of nano zinc @ 250 ppm at 20 and 40 DAS along with RDF (T<sub>9</sub>) and was superior to rest of the treatments tried except with the T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>7</sub>. The lowest stover yield was noticed in control (5,004 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Treatments T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>6</sub> were also statistically comparable with one another.

Maize is a vigorously growing crop with a long stem and a large number of leaves. This vigorous development, which is associated with taller plants, a greater number of leaves, enhanced drymatter output, and higher green fodder production, might be the possible reason for the exceptionally higher stover yield (Amanullah *et al.*) [3]. It might also due to the foliar application of nano zinc oxide in the current study which can be ascribed to a significant increase in plant drymatter and enhanced stover yield. The current findings are consistent with the findings of Uma *et al.* [29].

#### **Kernel Protein Content (%)**

The protein content in sweetcorn was significantly influenced by treatments of zinc Application of recommended dose of fertilizers along with soil application of 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn EDTA + two foliar sprays of nano zinc @ 250 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS (T<sub>9</sub>), recorded higher kernel protein content (12.98%), which was superior over the remaining zinc management practices tried.

The increase in protein content with zinc soil application and foliar spray might be related to direct role of zinc in protein and amino acid synthesis, which aided sweetcorn to make optimal use of assimilated nutrients by the plants as and when necessary (Shekawat and Kumawat) [26]. In addition to that, nano zinc oxide might aided in improvement of the roots cation-exchange capacity, which therefore enhanced essential nutrient absorption by the roots, especially nitrogen, which is primarily responsible for higher protein content in sweetcorn grains. Furthermore, Zn is essential for the production of plant growth-promoting compounds such as Indole-3-Acetic acid, as well as carbohydrate and protein metabolism. Liu *et al.* [19, 24] and Satdev *et al.* [24] confirmed the current findings.

#### **Kernel Zinc Content (ppm)**

The effect of various treatments on kernel zinc concentration was investigated, and the findings are reported in Table 1. The results demonstrated that the zinc level in kernel changed considerably as a result of the treatments used during the experiment. T<sub>9</sub> treatment (RDF + 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn EDTA + foliar application of nano zinc @ 250 ppm at 20 and 40 DAS) was found to show the higher zinc contents in kernel (34.59 ppm) and it was closely followed by T<sub>8</sub> (33.67 ppm) and T<sub>7</sub> (33.50 ppm). On the other hand, the lowest kernel zinc content was observed in control treatment (20.33 ppm).

**Comment [19]:** But it has competitive relationships with Calcium, Phosphorus, Iron and Copper. That's why soil analysis is important.

The increased zinc concentration in kernel might be ascribed to the fact that zinc supplied through foliage is highly soluble and easily accessible to the plant, resulting in enhanced mobility of zinc throughout the plant, which further augmented zinc accumulation in kernel (Shakoor *et al.*) [25]. It may also be due to the presence of larger levels of Zn in soil solution as a result of the application of Zn EDTA, which promoted higher zinc absorption as compared to ZnSO<sub>4</sub> application. These findings are consistent with those of Karak *et al.* [14].

### **Economics**

The economics of sweetcorn production in terms of gross return, net return, and benefit cost ratio were computed and reported in Table 2 to evaluate the economic viability of various treatments under consideration. Significantly, the highest gross return (₹ 1,93,360 ha<sup>-1</sup>) was registered in T<sub>9</sub> treatment treated with soil application of Zn EDTA @ 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + two foliar sprays of nano zinc @ 250 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS which was statistically comparable with T<sub>8</sub> (₹ 1,89,246 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>7</sub> (₹ 1,83,944 ha<sup>-1</sup>). Whereas, the lowest gross return was from the control (₹ 1,22,637 ha<sup>-1</sup>).

The highest net return (₹ 1,38,664 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and benefit cost ratio (2.74) was recorded in T<sub>8</sub> treatment which was comparable with T<sub>9</sub> (₹ 1,34,478 ha<sup>-1</sup> and 2.28) and significant over rest of the treatments indicating that T<sub>8</sub> is economically viable. Similarly, the lowest net return (Rs. 76,255 ha<sup>-1</sup> and 1.64) and benefit cost ratio was found in control.

The total cost of cultivation is greater in the treatments supplied with zinc EDTA combined with nano foliar sprays due to their higher prices, and similarly the cost of cultivation was lowest in the control treatment (no zinc). The larger net return and B:C ratio in T<sub>8</sub> compared to T<sub>9</sub> might be attributed to the higher cost of Zn EDTA compared to ZnSO<sub>4</sub>. This might be the possible reason for the higher benefit cost ratio and net return for T<sub>8</sub> though the gross return were higher for T<sub>9</sub>. The current results are in conformity with Chand *et al.* [11] and Uma *et al.* [29].

### **CONCLUSION**

It was ascertained that among the various zinc fertilization treatments studied in sweetcorn, soil application of Zn EDTA (10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) combined with two foliar sprays of nano zinc (250 ppm) at 20 and 40 DAS was more effective in improving sweetcorn yield, quality parameters and gross returns. However, the highest net return and B:C ratio was found in RDF + soil application of ZnSO<sub>4</sub> @ 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with two foliar sprays of nano zinc @ 250 ppm at 20 & 40 DAS showing that it is economically viable due to the less cost of ZnSO<sub>4</sub> compared to Zn-EDTA.



**Table 1. Green cob yield, Green fodder yield, Stover yield, Kernel protein and zinc content of sweetcorn as influenced by zinc fertilization.**

Treatments	Green cob yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Green fodder yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Stover yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Kernel Protein	Zinc Content
T <sub>1</sub> : Control (RDF)	8,009	14,388	5,004	9.67	20.33
T <sub>2</sub> : RDF + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 25 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (Soil application)	9,517	17,125	6,296	10.80	26.13
T <sub>3</sub> : RDF + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.50% at 20 and 40 DAS (Foliar spray)	9,306	16,573	6,008	10.23	24.13
T <sub>4</sub> : RDF + Zn EDTA @ 10 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (Soil application)	9,566	17,192	6,350	11.17	26.53
T <sub>5</sub> : RDF + Zn EDTA @ 0.5% (Foliar spray) at 20 and 40 DAS	9,313	16,590	6,166	10.37	24.38
T <sub>6</sub> : RDF + Nano ZnO @ 250 ppm at 20 and 40 DAS (Foliar spray)	9,439	17,088	6,282	10.46	24.93
T <sub>7</sub> : RDF + Nano ZnO @ 500 ppm at 20 and 40 DAS (Foliar spray)	12,017	19,498	7,369	12.41	33.50
T <sub>8</sub> : RDF + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 25 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (Soil) + Nano ZnO @ 250 ppm at 20 and 40 DAS (Foliar spray)	12,371	19,554	7,373	12.55	33.67
T <sub>9</sub> : RDF + Zn EDTA 10 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (Soil) + Nano ZnO @ 250 ppm at 20 and 40 DAS (Foliar spray)	12,638	19,674	7,590	12.98	34.59
SEm±	421.96	715.93	331.04	0.48	1.13
CD (p=0.05)	1277.03	2166.70	1001.86	1.45	3.43
CV (%)	7.14	7.08	8.83	7.43	7.13

**Comment [20]:** mg/kg, right!?!? Putting the unit is better than leaving the relationship.

**Comment [21]:** Was this close to the productivity that occurred in the country?

**Table 2. Cost of cultivation, Gross returns ( $\square \text{ ha}^{-1}$ ), Net returns ( $\square \text{ ha}^{-1}$ ) and B:C ratio of sweetcorn as influenced by zinc fertilization.**

Treatments	Cost of cultivation ( $\square \text{ ha}^{-1}$ )	Gross returns ( $\square \text{ ha}^{-1}$ )	Net returns ( $\square \text{ ha}^{-1}$ )	B : C ratio
T <sub>1</sub> : Control (RDF)	46,382	1,22,637	76,255	1.64
T <sub>2</sub> : RDF + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 25 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (Soil application)	47,082	1,45,908	98,826	2.10
T <sub>3</sub> : RDF + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.50% at 20 and 40 DAS (Foliar spray)	46,452	1,42,589	96,137	2.07
T <sub>4</sub> : RDF + Zn EDTA @ 10 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (Soil application)	55,382	1,46,665	91,283	1.65
T <sub>5</sub> : RDF + Zn EDTA @ 0.5% (Foliar spray) at 20 and 40 DAS	48,632	1,42,773	94,141	1.94
T <sub>6</sub> : RDF + Nano ZnO @ 250 ppm at 20 and 40 DAS (Foliar spray)	49,882	1,44,721	94,839	1.90
T <sub>7</sub> : RDF + Nano ZnO @ 500 ppm at 20 and 40 DAS (Foliar spray)	56,382	1,83,944	1,27,562	2.26
T <sub>8</sub> : RDF + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 25 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (Soil) + Nano ZnO @ 250 ppm at 20 and 40 DAS (Foliar spray)	50,582	1,89,246	1,38,664	2.74
T <sub>9</sub> : RDF + Zn EDTA 10 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (Soil)+ Nano ZnO @ 250 ppm at 20 and 40 DAS (Foliar spray)	58,882	1,93,360	1,34,478	2.28
SEm±		6383.37	6324.02	0.13
CD (p=0.05)		19318.75	19139.12	0.46
CV		7.05	10.35	10.80

## References

1. Adhikary BH, Shrestha J and Baral BR. Effects of micronutrients on growth and productivity of maize in acidic soil. *International Research Journal of Applied and Basic Sciences*. 2010; 1 (1): 8-15.
2. Alloway BJ. Zinc in soils and crop nutrition. International Zinc Association, Brussels Belgium. 2004; 2: 101-107.
3. Amanullah, Asif M, Malhi SS and Khattak RA. Effects of phosphorus fertilizer source and plant density on growth and yield of maize in North-western Pakistan. *Journal of plant nutrition*. 2009; 32 (12): 2080-2093.
4. Anonymous. District wise area, production and yield and important food and non food crops in Gujarat state. Directorate of agriculture, Gujarat state, Gandhinagar, 31; 2016.
5. Anonymous. World Health Organization, The World Health Report, Geneva; 2002.
6. AOAC. Official methods of analysis, 17<sup>th</sup> edition, Washington D.C; 2000.
7. Arunachalam P, Kannan P, Prabukumar G and Govindaraj M. Zinc deficiency in Indian soils with special focus to enrich zinc in peanut. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2013; 8 (50): 6681-6688.
8. Ashrafi M, Sarajuoghi M, Mohammadi K and Zarei S. Effect of nanosilver application on agronomic traits of soy-bean in relation to different fertilizers and weed density in field conditions. *Environmental and Experimental Biology*. 2013; 11: 53-58.
9. Asif M, Saleem MF, Anjum SA, Wahid MA and Bilal MF. Effect of nitrogen and zinc sulphate on growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2013; 51 (4): 455-464.
10. Bharti K, Pandey N, Shankhdhar D, Srivastava PC and Shankhdhar SC. Improving nutritional quality of wheat through soil and foliar zinc application. *Plant, Soil and Environment*. 2013; 59: 348-352.
11. Chand SW, Susheela R, Sreelatha D, Shanti M and Soujanya T. Quality studies and yield as influenced by zinc fertilization in baby corn (*Zea mays* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2017; 6 (10): 2454-2460.
12. El-Azab ME. Increasing Zn ratio in a compound foliar NPK fertilizer in relation to growth, yield and quality of corn plant. *Journal of Innovations in Pharmaceuticals and Biological Sciences*. 2015; 2 (4): 451-468.
13. Jackson MC. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India. Private limited, New Delhi, 498; 1973

14. Karak T, Singh UK, Das S, Das DK and Kuzyakove Y. Comparative efficacy of ZnSO<sub>4</sub> and Zn-EDTA application for fertilization of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science. 2005; 51 (3): 253-264.
15. Kumar B, Ram H, Dhaliwal SS and Singh ST. Productivity and quality of fodder corn (*Zea mays* L.) under soil and foliar zinc application. International Plant nutrition Colloquium and boron Satellite Meeting Proceeding Book. 2013; 752-753.
16. Kumar N and Salakinkop S. Agronomic Biofortification of Maize with Zinc and Iron Micronutrients. Modern Concepts & Developments in Agronomy. 2018; 1 (4): MCDA 000522.
17. Kumar R and Bohra JS. Effect of NPKS and Zn application on growth, yield, economics and quality of baby corn. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science. 2014; 60 (9): 1193-1206.
18. Lindsey WL and Norwell WA. Development of DTPA soil test for Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu. Journal of American Soil Science Society. 1978; 42: 421-428.
19. Liu X, Wang F, Shi Z, Tong R and Shi X. Bioavailability of Zn in ZnO nanoparticles-spiked soil and the implications to maize plants. Journal of Nanoparticle Research. 2015; 17: 175.
20. Mona EA. Increasing Zn ratio in a compound foliar NPK fertilizer in relation to growth, yield and quality of corn plant. Journal of Innovations in Pharmaceuticals and Biological Sciences. 2015; 2 (4): 451-468.
21. Monreal CM, DeRosa M, Mallubhotla SC, Bindraban PS and Dimkpa C. The application of nanotechnology for micronutrients in soil-plant systems, VFRC Report; 2015.
22. Panse VG and Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. ICAR, New Delhi. 1985; 100-174.
23. Remison SU. Arable and vegetable crops. Gift press Assoc. Benin city; 2005.
24. Satdev, Zinzala VJ, Chavda BN and Saini LK. Effect of nano ZnO on growth and yield of sweetcorn under South Gujarat condition. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2020; 8 (1): 2020-2023.
25. Shakoor K, Abdul APK, Feroz B and Tamana K. Growth, yield and post-harvest soil available nutrients in sweet corn (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by zinc and iron nutrition. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018; 7 (4): 2372-2374.
26. Shekhawat PS and Kumawat N. Response of zinc fertilization on production and profitability of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) under rainfed condition of

- Rajasthan. Journal of Agricultural Search. 2017; 4 (4): 251-254.
27. Singh B, Natesan SKA, Singh BK and Usha K. Improving zinc efficiency of cereals under zinc deficiency. Current Science. 2005; 88 (1-10): 36-44.
  28. Tariq A, Anjum S, Randhawa M, Ullah E, Naeem M, Qamar R, Ashraf U and Nadeem M. Influence of Zinc Nutrition on Growth and Yield Behaviour of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Hybrids. American Journal of Plant Sciences. 2014; 5 (18): 2646-2654.
  29. Uma V, Jayadeva HM, Rehaman AHM, Kadalli GG and Umashankar N. Influence of nano zinc oxide on yield and economics of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2019; 53 (4): 44-48.
  30. Vitti A, Nuzzaci M, Scopa A, Tataranni G, Tamburrino I and Sofo A. Hormonal response and root architecture in *Arabidopsis thaliana* subjected to heavy metals. International Journal of Plant Biology. 2014; 5: 5226-5232.