

1 **Response of organic manure and Azotobacter on quality and leaf nutrient status of strawberry**
2 **(*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn**
3

4 **ABSTRACT**

5 **The Field-field** experiment was conducted during two subsequent years, *i.e.*, 2017-18 and 2018-19 at the
6 Department of Horticulture, Hisar with aim to study the effect of organic manures and *Azotobacter* on quality
7 and leaf nutrient status of strawberry cv. Winter Dawn under hi-tech greenhouse conditions of Haryana. In this
8 experiment, organic manures in combination with biofertilizers comprised of nine treatments, *viz.*, T₁: Sand:
9 FYM (3:1), T₂: Sand: FYM (2:1), T₃: Sand: Vermicompost (3:1), T₄: Sand: Vermicompost (2:1), T₅: Sand: FYM
10 (3:1) + *Azotobacter*, T₆: Sand: FYM (2:1) + *Azotobacter*, T₇: Sand: Vermicompost (3:1) + *Azotobacter*, T₈: Sand:
11 Vermicompost (2:1) + *Azotobacter* and T₉: Control (Sand); were tested as potting media in complete randomized
12 block design. Maximum TSS, ascorbic acid, anthocyanin content and minimum acidity content and leaf with
13 maximum N, P and K content were observed under sand: vermicompost (2:1) + *Azotobacter* in comparison to
14 the other treatments. The results of this experiment revealed that, the combination of sand: vermicompost (2:1)
15 with *Azotobacter* showed significant influence on leaf nutrient content of strawberry and produced better results
16 with respect to quality of strawberry fruits.

17 **Key words:** Strawberry. organic manure. *Azotobacter*. Quality.

18 **1. INTRODUCTION**

19 The modern cultivated strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch.), is an inter-specific hybrid of two new
20 world species, *Fragaria virginiana* Duch., a wild strawberry native to Virginia and *Fragaria chilonensis* (L.)
21 Duch., a South American strawberry, and belongs to the family Rosaceae. Fruits are popular for their distinctive
22 succulent nature, bright red colour, juicy texture, aroma and are a rich source of vitamins, minerals and phenolics.
23 Strawberry plants having shallow root system need +effective and balanced nutrient management, thus, nutrient
24 status of soil is most important factor affecting the production of strawberry. The modern-day intensive crop
25 cultivation involves bulk application of inorganic fertilizers, which are not only in short supply but also costly.
26 They also pollute the environment, soil and water. Farmyard manure and vermicompost are the main organic
27 components for horticultural crop production [2,3].

28 Biofertilization is the new concept that is being adopted in numerous agricultural and horticultural crop
29 production systems, reducing cost of production and minimizing environmental pollution [4]. Nitrogen fixing
30 bacteria (*Azospirillum*, *Azotobacter*), phosphate solubilizers (*Pseudomonas*, *Bacillus*) and phosphate absorbers
31 (*Mycorrhizal* fungi) are the most important biofertilizers applied for horticultural crop cultivation [5].
32 *Azotobacter* is a free-living heterotrophic nitrogen fixing bacteria that enhances plant growth by stimulating
33 growth promoting substances such as auxins, gibberellins and vitamins *etc.* [6,7]. Vermicompost supplies all
34 essential macro elements such as N, P, K, Ca, Mg and microelements such as Fe, Zn, Mo and Co to fulfil plant
35 requirements during the crop growth period. The application of bio-fertilizer along with organic or inorganic
36 fertilizers significantly increases fertilizer use efficiency, nutrient uptake, growth and yield of strawberry [8].

Comment [JA1]: This title ought to be paraphrased to either

1.Effect of Organic Manure and Azotobacter on quality and leaf nutrient status of strawberries (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn

2.Quality and leaf nutrient status of strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch.) cv. Winter Dawn grown under organic manure and Azotobacter

In its present form, it is not sounding academic

Comment [JA2]: This study period is a little confusing. May be the authors need to include the months

Comment [JA3]: Found in which country?

Comment [JA4]: Found in which country?

Comment [JA5]: Arrange in alphabetical order.

Also, the study site is a keyword. The authors should include it in the set of new words.

Comment [JA6]: This should have been presented first. Equally, the authors should labour to acknowledge the source from which they extracted this information.

I also suggest that the authors mention the common fruits consumed by man and include strawberries in the list. They should include sources of information cited.

Then, the authors should cascade down to a brief history of strawberries and the chief

Comment [JA7]: There is need for empirical studies on the same crop in India in relation to effects on the levels of soil fertility before the application of inorganic fertilizers is brought out.

Comment [JA8]: Where is the source of this information?

Comment [JA9]: What is farm yard manure? What is vermicompost? How are they made? What is the capacity of India to produce these? What are the enabling factors? The authors should use the latest

Comment [JA10]: What is Bio fertilization? Why is it being adopted in horticulture system? Where is it commonly applied? What does it involve? What are the components of

Comment [JA11]: Where is the source of this information?

1 Hence, the application of vermicompost and *Azotobacter* should help to produce quality berries with higher
2 yields. Keeping the above facts in view, a field trial was conducted
3 In India, strawberry cultivation is primarily confined to temperate zones of Uttarakhand, Himachal Pradesh,
4 Jammu and Kashmir, hills of West Bengal (Darjeeling), Tamil Nadu (Ooty) and the tropical and subtropical
5 zones of Haryana, Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Maharashtra (Mahabaleshwar) and Karnataka (Bengaluru) with an
6 area of 1000 hectare and production of 5000 tonne [1].
7 Keeping the above facts in view, a field trial was conducted to???? And so what was the problem be
8 addressed by this study? The authors should ensure that the aim of the study and the problem to be addressed are
9 clearly shown at this point before delving into the materials and methods section. -

10 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

11 The present investigations were carried out at Hitech- greenhouse, CCS Haryana Agricultural
12 University, Hisar, (Haryana) in which country? during the year 2017-18 and 2018-19, to study the effect of
13 organic manures with biofertilizers on quality and leaf NPK status of strawberry cv. Winter Dawn. Uniform
14 runners were selected for planting and single healthy uniform runners were planted in each pot after treating
15 with copper oxychloride (0.1%) for 10 minutes/minutes. The planting was done in the 2nd week of October in
16 both consecutive years (2017-18 and 2018-19). There are nine/were nine treatments, viz., T₁: Sand: FYM (3:1),
17 T₂: Sand: FYM (2:1), T₃: Sand: Vermicompost (3:1), T₄: Sand: Vermicompost (2:1), T₅: Sand: FYM (3:1) +
18 *Azotobacter*, T₆: Sand: FYM (2:1) + *Azotobacter*, T₇: Sand: Vermicompost (3:1) + *Azotobacter*. T₈: Sand:
19 Vermicompost (2:1) + *Azotobacter* and T₉: Control (Sand), replicated five times in complete randomized block
20 design. Each twelve inches' pot was filled with sand, FYM and vermicompost; volume by volume on basis of
21 treatment imposition. *Azotobacter* were inoculated @10 ml per plant in the form of liquid at 20 and 40 days
22 after transplanting in the pots.

23 The recommended dose of fertilizers (NPK 1.95: 0.8:2.75 g plant⁻¹) was applied in the form of water-
24 soluble fertilizers (Urea, 19:19:19 and KNO₃). Fertigation was done at weekly intervals after a week after trans
25 planting. Strawberry plants were subjected to uniform application of recommended dose of fertilizers, plant
26 protection measures and other cultural practices. Observations on various quality parameters were recorded by
27 using standard methods. Twenty fruits from each treatment were randomly selected to record the data on quality
28 parameters. TSS was measured with the help of ERMA hand refractometer (0-32 °Bx), Titrateable acidity (%),
29 anthocyanin and vitamin C (mg/100 gm) were estimated by using the method suggested by [9]. Total N was
30 determined by micro-Kjeldahl method [10]. Diacid mixture was used for wet digestion of samples to determine
31 the P and K. Phosphorus was determined by Vanadomolybo-phosphoric acid yellow colour method and
32 potassium content in leaf samples was estimated by flame photometric method [11]. The data was
33 analyzed/analysed with the help of a window-based computer package OPSTAT [12], to calculate standard error
34 of means SE(m), standard error of difference in mean SE(d), and critical difference between treatments mean
35 CD.

36 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Comment [JA12]:

The contextual aspect of India and her production potential of strawberries should appear here followed by the problem of the study and the research objective pursued by the authors.

The authors are requested to include a table showing the trend of strawberry production in these zones. This should be accessed from Bureau of Statistics or Indian Department of Agricultural Production.

Comment [JA13]: Why did the experiment take two years? Some scientific explanation needed here.

Comment [JA14]: What criteria was followed to ensure uniformity??

Comment [JA15]: Where were these sourced from and why this area?

Comment [JA16]: Which material was the pot made of and why?

Comment [JA17]: What was the essence of treating the pots with copper oxychloride?

Comment [JA18]: 10 ml or What? And why was this used? Why was 10mls used and not anything below or above? (Quote a source for this)

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [JA19]: What equipment was used in transplanting and why was it used?

Comment [JA20]: Are you meaning fertilization? Fertigation means something ...

Comment [JA21]: Why this interval?

Comment [JA22]: Such as...and why?

Comment [JA23]: Such asand why?

Comment [JA24]: Mention the methods and why they were used.

Comment [JA25]: What were these parameters?

Comment [JA26]: Why was this method used? Who recommends it?

Comment [JA27]: This is a major point. So mention the method and describe how it wa ...

Comment [JA28]: How does the method work? Describe briefly how you used it and ...

Comment [JA29]: As recommended by who. Provide details of how your study wen ...

Comment [JA30]: Provide details of how your study went about this

Comment [JA31]: Were NOT Was

1 **3.1 Total soluble solids (⁰Brix):** TSS of strawberry fruits was significantly affected by the application of
2 different ratios of organic manures and *Azotobacter* with respect to various treatments as revealed ~~in~~ by Table 1.
3 The maximum TSS were observed under Sand: Vermicompost (2:1) + *Azotobacter* (T₈), whereas the minimum
4 TSS was recorded from the control (T₉) plants during both years of investigation. An increase in TSS with plant
5 growth promoting bacteria might be due to the quick metabolic transformation of starch and pectin into soluble
6 compounds and rapid translocation of sugars from leaves to the developing fruits [13]. The results of present
7 study are in harmony with the findings of [14] who recorded maximum TSS in fruits harvested from the plants
8 grown under organic manures with biofertilizers, which might be due to the reason that biofertilizers in
9 combination with *Azotobacter* increased the accumulation of carbohydrates and metabolites which ~~were~~
10 converted into disaccharides leading to higher TSS in strawberry fruits. Similar results were also obtained by
11 authors [15,16,17,18, 16, 17, 18] in strawberry.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [JA32]: This is a major point of discussion of the results by the authors themselves by appealing to scientific principles. So they SHOULD NOT attribute their discussion to another study since the findings here are their voice. This is catered for by the following sentence

12
13 **3.2 Titratable acidity (%):** The effect of various treatments on acidity of strawberry fruits is shown in Table 1.
14 The minimum titratable acidity was recorded in sand: vermicompost (2:1) + *Azotobacter* (T₈), which was ~~at~~
15 par with the application of sand: vermicompost (3:1) + *Azotobacter* (T₇) and maximum was recorded in T₉
16 (Control) over the other treatments. The reduction in titratable acidity may be attributed to conversion of organic
17 acids and photosynthates into sugar during fruit ripening by applying biofertilizers [19]. These findings are in
18 close conformity with the results of [20] who observed that the reduction in titratable acidity may also be due to
19 utilization of acids as a substrate for respiration during the ripening and neutralization of organic acids due to
20 potassium in tissues. Similar findings were also reported [21, 22] in strawberry.

Comment [JA33]: This is a major point of discussion of the results by the authors themselves by appealing to scientific principles. So they SHOULD NOT attribute their discussion to another study since the findings here are their voice. This is catered for by the following sentence

21 **3.3 Ascorbic acid (mg/ 100 ml):** The maximum ascorbic acid content was observed under sand: vermicompost
22 (2:1) + *Azotobacter* (T₈), whereas the minimum was ~~reorded~~ recorded from the control (T₉) plants during both
23 years of investigation as shown in Table 1. The increase in ascorbic acid content might be due to the increased
24 efficiency of microbial inoculants to fix atmospheric nitrogen, increase in availability of nitrogen and excretion
25 of growth promoting hormones which accelerates the physiological process like carbohydrates synthesis, etc. An
26 increase in ascorbic acid content with *Azotobacter* and vermicompost application might be due to the quick
27 metabolic transformation of starch and pectin into soluble compounds and rapid translocation of sugars from
28 leaves to the developing fruits [14]. Similar results were found by authors [23, 24] in strawberry.

29 **3.4 Anthocyanin (mg/100 mg):** Anthocyanin significantly increased by the application of combination of
30 organic manures and bio-fertilizer over the control. The maximum anthocyanin contents were observed under
31 sand: vermicompost (2:1) + *Azotobacter* (T₈), whereas the minimum was recorded from the control (T₉) plants
32 during both years of investigation as shown in Table 1. These results may be due to the synergistic effect of
33 macronutrients supplied through organic and bio-fertilizer which improved the vegetative characteristics of the
34 plants thereby affecting and affected the synthesis of chlorophyll that enhanced the process of photosynthesis
35 and the assimilation of carbon dioxide which led to increased fruit quality [25].

Comment [JA34]: This is a major point of discussion of the results by the authors themselves by appealing to scientific principles. So they SHOULD NOT attribute their discussion to another study since the findings here are their voice. This is catered for by the following sentence

36 Discussion of the results is missing at this point.....AUTHOR SHOULD INCLUDE IT.

37
38
39
40

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

Table 1. Effect of organic manures in combination with biofertilizers on quality parameters of strawberry

Treatments	TSS (⁰ Brix)		Acidity (%)		Ascorbic acid (mg/100 ml)		Anthocyanin (mg/100 ml)	
	2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019
T ₁ : Sand: FYM (3:1)	6.58	6.70	0.82	0.82	42.48	43.04	34.48	35.65
T ₂ : Sand: FYM (2:1)	6.64	6.79	0.82	0.80	42.69	43.38	35.82	36.90
T ₃ : Sand: Vermicompost (3:1)	6.95	7.10	0.79	0.78	47.11	47.66	39.33	40.54
T ₄ : Sand: Vermicompost (2:1)	7.05	7.11	0.78	0.77	47.83	48.32	40.62	41.62
T ₅ : Sand: FYM (3:1) +Azotobacter	7.08	7.18	0.77	0.76	45.98	47.11	39.75	40.66
T ₆ : Sand: FYM (2:1) +Azotobacter	7.13	7.22	0.76	0.74	46.11	47.32	41.15	42.02
T ₇ : Sand: Vermicompost (3:1) +Azotobacter	7.45	7.56	0.73	0.71	50.22	51.39	43.52	44.15
T ₈ : Sand: Vermicompost (2:1) +Azotobacter	7.59	7.73	0.70	0.68	51.01	52.15	45.27	45.92
T ₉ : Control: (Sand only)	6.03	6.08	0.83	0.82	40.17	40.70	31.24	32.07
CD at 5%	0.62	0.60	0.05	0.07	2.43	2.28	1.99	1.79

Comment [JA35]: We have not lived this year yet.....So correct to 2019

3.5 N, P and K status of leaf: The leaf nutrient content was influenced significantly by the organic manure in combination with biofertilizer (Table 2). The maximum leaf N, P and K content were recorded under (T₈) sand: vermicompost (2:1) + Azotobacter treatment followed by T₇, T₆ and T₅ and minimum in the control (T₉). Plants fertilized with vermicompost have shown greater ability to assimilate essential macronutrients as also observed by other researchers [26]. The higher uptake of nitrogen and phosphorous may be due to improved symbiotic N₂ fixation and also due to improved phosphatase activity, thereby improving phosphorous mobilization and uptake from the root zone. The increase in uptake of nutrients may be due to extra amount of nutrients supplied by the organic fertilizers and provision of conducive physical environment which helps in better root growth and absorption of nutrients from the soil [27]. [The results of present study are in line with the findings of [4] who observed that maximum contents of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were observed in guava with recommended dose of fertilizers along with vermicompost in guava cv. Sardar; and [28] who recorded higher leaf macro nutrient content in strawberry plants fertilized with Azotobacter in combination with organic fertilizers in comparison to control.

Comment [JA36]: Content best fits here before the table

Comment [JA37]: This is a major point of discussion of the results by the authors themselves by appealing to scientific principles. So they SHOULD NOT attribute their discussion to another study since the findings here are their voice. This is catered for by the following sentence

Comment [JA38]: Mention the name of the author here

Table 2. Effect of organic manures in combination with biofertilizers on leaf N, P and K content of strawberry

Treatments	N (%)		P (%)		K (%)	
	2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019
T ₁ : Sand: FYM (3:1)	2.54	2.61	0.34	0.41	1.23	1.39

T ₂ : Sand: FYM (2:1)	2.65	2.72	0.39	0.46	1.38	1.46
T ₃ : Sand: Vermicompost (3:1)	2.75	2.78	0.41	0.50	1.41	1.51
T ₄ : Sand: Vermicompost (2:1)	2.81	2.85	0.48	0.57	1.53	1.59
T ₅ : Sand: FYM (3:1) +Azotobactor	2.70	2.74	0.40	0.51	1.40	1.52
T ₆ : Sand: FYM (2:1) +Azotobactor	2.77	2.86	0.49	0.57	1.51	1.63
T ₇ : Sand: Vermicompost (3:1) +Azotobactor	2.96	3.01	0.50	0.60	1.56	1.62
T ₈ : Sand: Vermicompost (2:1) +Azotobactor	3.06	3.08	0.60	0.69	1.69	1.71
T ₉ : Control: (Sand only)	1.98	2.04	0.24	0.31	1.05	1.13
CD at 5%	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.12	0.07

3.5 N, P and K status of leaf: The leaf nutrient content was influenced significantly by the organic manure in combination with biofertilizer (Table 2). The maximum leaf N, P and K content were recorded under (T₈) sand: vermicompost (2:1) + *Azotobactor* treatment followed by T₇, T₆ and T₅ and minimum in the control (T₉). Plants fertilized with vermicompost have shown greater ability to assimilate essential macronutrients as also observed by other researchers [26]. The higher uptake of nitrogen and phosphorous may be due to improved symbiotic N₂ fixation and also due to improved phosphatase activity, thereby improving phosphorous mobilization and uptake from the root zone. The increase in uptake of nutrients may be due to extra amount of nutrients supplied by the organic fertilizers and provision of conducive physical environment which helps in better root growth and absorption of nutrients from the soil [27]. The results of present study are in line with the findings of [4] who observed that maximum contents of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were observed in guava with recommended dose of fertilizers along with vermicompost in guava cv. Sardar; and [28] who recorded higher leaf macro nutrient content in strawberry plants fertilized with *Azotobactor* in combination with organic fertilizers in comparison to control.

4. Conclusion

From the results, it may be revealed is that concluded that quality strawberry plants raised are raised with organic manures in combination with fortified by biofertilizers recorded better quality parameters. This was shown by the aApplication of Sand: vermicompost (2:1) along with the combination of *Azotobactor* which showed significantly increased quality parameters as well as maximum leaf NPK content, compared to the other treatments. Then what is the most plausible recommendation arising from your study that can inform farmers, decision makers, organizations biased on promoting strawberries and other fruits as well as firms dealing in production of vermicompost and bio fertilizers????

References

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [JA39]: Observe the citation and referencing protocols of the journal as you add more references following the recommendations and suggestions made by the reviewer.

1. Sexana M, Gandhi PC. Indian Horticulture Data base. National horticultural board, Ministry of Agriculture and Family Welfare, New Delhi, India. 2018.
2. Hedley MJ, White RE, Nye PH. Plant- induced changes in the rizosphere of rape (*Brassica napus* var. Emerald) seedlings: iii. changes in L value, soil phosphate fractions and phosphatase activity. New phytology 1982; 91(1): 45-5.
3. Seneviratne G, Henakaarchchi MPNK, Weerasekara MLMAW, Nandasena KA. Soil organic carbon and nitrogen pools as influenced by polyphenols in different particle size fractions under tropical conditions. Journal of National Science Foundation of Sri Lanka. 2009; 37(1) 205-208.
4. Singh A, Singh JN. Effect of biofertilizers and bioregulators on growth, yield and nutrient status of strawberry cv. Sweet Charlie. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 2009; 66(2): 220-224.
5. Cheng GW, Breen PJ. Activity of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) and concentrations of anthocyanins and phenolics in developing strawberry fruit. Journal of American Society of Horticulture Sciences. 1991;116(5): 865-869.
6. Indian Horticulture Data base. National horticultural board, Ministry of Agriculture and Family Welfare, New Delhi, India. 2018.
7. Sindhu SS, Nisha V, Seema D, Deepika C. Biofertilizer application for growth stimulation of horticultural crops. Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2010; 39 (1&2); 48-70.
8. Karma B, Kumar S, Gupta AK, Syamal MM. Effect of organic, inorganic and bio-fertilizer on growth, flowering, yield and quality of strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.) cv. Chandler. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(5): 2932-2939.
9. A.O.A.C. Official Methods of Analysis, 15th Edn. Association of Official Analytical Chemist, Washington, D.C. 1990.
10. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi. 1967. p. 498.
11. Jackson D. Temperate and sub-tropical fruit production. Academic Press. NY. 1985. pp. 114-115.
12. Sheoran OP. Statistical Package for Agricultural Research Workers. CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar. (2004) <http://hau.ernet.in/>.
13. Yadav SK, Khokhar UU, Sharma SD, Kumar P. Response of strawberry to organic versus inorganic fertilizers. Journal of Plant Nutrition. 2016; 39(2): 194-203.
14. Tripathi VK, Sanjeev K, Kaushal K, Sarvesh K, Vishal D. Influence of *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum* and PSB on vegetative growth, flowering, yield and quality of strawberry cv. Chandler. Progressive Horticulture. 2016; 48(1): 48-52.
15. Tonutare T, Moor U, Szajdak L. Strawberry anthocyanin determination by pH differential spectroscopic method- how to get true results. Acta Scientific Polonium, Horticulture. 2014; 13(3): 35-47.
16. Hassan AH. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer in the form of organic, inorganic and bio fertilizer applications on growth, yield and quality of Strawberry. Middle East Journal of Applied Sciences. 2015; 5(2): 604-617.

Comment [JA40]: What is the difference between this citation and Number 6? There is some disorder here that MUST be thoroughly addressed

- 1 17. Mahavir S, Devi SK, Sandeep S, Mahendra B. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth,
2 yield and quality traits of strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch.) cv. Chandler. Journal of
3 Pharmacognacy and Photochemistry. 2018;1: 712-715.
- 4 18. Motsara MR. Fertilizer development and consultancy organization. In: Biofertilizer technology
5 marketing and uses-a source book cum, glossary, New Delhi. 1995; 184.
- 6 19. Ghosh B, Irenaeus TKS, Kundu S, Datta P. Effect of organic manuring on growth, yield and quality of
7 sweet orange. Acta Horticulture. 2011;1024: 121-125.
- 8 20. Ahmed R, Karim MR, Quddus MA, Ahmed S, Siddiky MA. Response of strawberry to NPKS on yield
9 in terrace soil. Bangladesh Journal of Agriculture Research. 2018; 43(1): 89-97.
- 10 21. Tomic JM, Milivojevic JM, Pesakovic MI. The response to bacterial inoculation is cultivar-related in
11 strawberries. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry. 2015; 39(2): 332-341.
- 12 22. Kumar N, Ram RB, Mishra PK (2015) Response of vermicompost and *Azotobacter* on growth and
13 yield of Sweet Charlie strawberry. Int J Agri Sci Res 5(4): 13-20
- 14 23. Hazarika BN, Ansari S. Biofertilizers in fruit crops - A review. Agriculture Review. 2007; 28(1): 69-
15 747.
- 16 24. Singh R, Sharma RR, Kumar SI, Gupta RK, Patil RT. Vermicompost substitution influence growth,
17 physiological disorders, fruit yield and quality of strawberry. Bio resource Technology. 2008; 99:
18 8507-8511
- 19 25. Umar I, Wali VK, Kher R, Jamwal M. Effect of FYM, urea and *Azotobacter* on growth, yield and
20 quality of strawberry cv. Chandler. Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobot Cluj-Napoca. 2009; 37(1): 139-
21 143.
- 22 26. Singh SR, Zargar MY, Najar GR, Ishaq MI, Hakeem SA (2012) Effect of integrated nutrient supply on
23 yield, fertility and quality of strawberry under rain fed temperate conditions. Journal of Indian Society
24 of Soil Science 60(1): 79-82.
- 25 27. Yadav SK, Khokhar UU, Sharma SD, Kumar P. Response of strawberry to organic versus inorganic
26 fertilizers. Journal of Plant Nutrition. 2016; 39(2): 194-203.
- 27 28. Amir K, Fouzia I. Chemical nutrient analysis of different composts and their effect on the growth of a
28 vegetative crop *Pisum sativum*. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences and Research. 2011; 1(1): 116-130.
- 29
30

Comment [JA41]: There is inconsistency here. Look at (2012) in 26 and 2016 in 27. The authors are not attending to detail in punctuating the citations in the list of references. We must observe uniformity.

Check up again, the same digression is appearing in number 15, 22, 12