

## Screening of lentil genotypes against highly aggressive strain of ~~fusarium~~ *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lentis*

### **Abstract:**

Lentil [*Lens culinaris* Medikus ~~Sub-sub~~ sp. *Culinaris-culinaris* (2x=2n=14)] is one of the most nutritious pulse crops known as masur and grown as a rainfed crop throughout the world in winter season. It is rich in protein, starch, micronutrients and dietary fiber. In the global scenario, India ranked first in the area and second in the production with Bihar being one the major lentil growing state. The majority of the lentil crop is grown in Tal area of the state. As it is cultivated as a rainfed crop, it gets severely affected by several biotic and abiotic stresses. Among the biotic stresses, Fusarium wilt, caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lentis* (*Fol*) is one of the major fungal diseases and remarkably causes severe crop damage from vegetative to reproductive stage causing significant yield reduction. *Fol* isolate exhibit great variability and aggressiveness based on agro-climatic conditions. AGLF-11 isolate of *Fol* collected from Tal area of Bihar was found to be highly aggressive based on previous studies. For this, 50 diverse genotypes were screened against this isolate under greenhouse condition, out of which 14 genotypes showed high susceptibility, 29 genotypes showed moderate susceptibility, 5 genotypes exhibited moderately resistance and only 2 genotypes (L 7920 and DPL 58) exhibited resistance reaction.

**Comment [Dr.1]:** No need to enter here, as already mentioned in intro section

**Comment [Dr.2]:** Pl rephrase...using word causes/causing twice

### **Introduction:**

Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medikus subsp. *culinaris*), also known as masur and grown as a rainfed crop throughout the world in winter season. It is herbaceous, annual, self-pollinated pulse crop of Fabaceae family with erect / sub-erect growth habit. It is diploid (2x=2n=14) with genome size 4063 Mbp. It is rich in protein (24-32 %), starch (63 %), micronutrients and dietary fiber (11 %). It is one of the oldest domesticated pulse crops grown for over 8,000 years. This crop is believed to be originated in Mediterranean region (Turkey-Syria-Iraq region)- having the position of 6<sup>th</sup> major pulse crop grown in more than 50 countries of the ~~World~~ world, with 39.79 per-cent and 22.79 per-cent of the world area and production, respectively, India ranked first in terms of area and second in volume with Bihar being one the major lentil growing state with 0.15

**Comment [Dr.3]:** INTRODUCTION OVER ALL COMMENTS:  
Poorly written; Several grammatical mistakes; No proper References/ citations used; Cited too old studies; No recent study has been cited

**Comment [Dr.4]:** Grammatical mistake

**Comment [Dr.5]:** Reference?

**Comment [Dr.6]:** Reference?

m hectare area with production of 0.12 m tones having productivity of 793 kg/hectare (Directorate of

Economics & Statistics (2019-20). The majority of the lentil crop is grown in Tal area of the state. Lentil can withstand harsh environmental conditions including drought and high temperature and can be cultivated in semi-arid areas without irrigation. It may grow in a variety of soil types. Moreover, the crop can be cultivated in rotation with cereal crops to help reduce soil erosion, weed management, and nitrogen fertiliser requirements. Cultivation of lentil is more profitable than other crops under rain-fed conditions.

Comment [Dr.7]: ???

Comment [Dr.8]: No reference?

Production of lentil crop is constrained by a number of abiotic and biotic stresses. Abiotic factors including drought, terminal heat, and salt susceptibility cause considerable yield loss. Among biotic stresses fungal diseases are most common which greatly reduces the crop production and productivity. Among fungal diseases, ~~aseochyta~~ *Ascochyta* blight and ~~fusarium~~ *Fusarium* wilt are the major diseases, which reduces its yield remarkably. Wilt in lentil, caused by *Fusarium oxysporum f.sp lentis* (*Fol*), is a one of the major cause of crop loss on every continent except Australia where lentils are produced. It infects its host by entering through the root and blocking the vascular system (xylem and phloem), preventing water and nutrient transfer to the plant, causing wilting, discoloration, and eventually causing death. Wilt disease occurs at both pre-emergence as well as ~~post-post~~ emergence stages. In case of post emergence infection, plants are affected at seedling stage (Vasudeva and Srinivasan, Claudius and Mehrotra, 1973a; Khare et al. 1979). If it infects during seedling to pod filling stage it can cause yield loss up to 50-78% and infection during seedling stage can cause yield loss up to 100 % (Choudhary and Kumar, 2013). Disease management is mandatory to ensure the stable lentil production. Development of resistant cultivars is the most long-term and cost-effective solution to this challenge— (Bayaa et al., 1995; Kraft et al., 2000). *Fol* isolate exhibit great variability and aggressiveness based on agro-climatic conditions. Studies for genetic diversity have been done by researcher in several countries. According to experiment conducted in lab of department of Molecular Biology and Genetic Engineering, Bihar Agricultural University Sabour, Bhagalpur in which 12 *Fol* isolates, collected from major lentil growing areas in Bihar were evaluated for its aggressiveness on two identified wilt resistant (PL-6) and susceptible (JL-3) checks. Among the 12 isolates, AGLF-11 isolate was found to be highly aggressive. (Kumari J., 2020). The released

Comment [Dr.9]: Both words have same meaning

Comment [Dr.10]: Check your grammar pl.

Comment [Dr.11]: Pl Rephrase; there is repetition of words; may make two sentences

Comment [Dr.12]: Too old reference

Comment [Dr.13]: Check your Journal format pl

varieties exhibit variation for resistance, there hasn't been any evidence of a high level of wilt resistance. Therefore, stable sources are essential for breeding wilt resistant varieties. Keeping that in view, the present experiment was carried out to identify lentil genotypes resistant against this highly aggressive strain of *Fol* under greenhouse screening.

**Comment [Dr.14]:** Check your grammar pl

## Materials and Methods:

**Comment [Dr.15]:** MATERIALS AND METHODS: OVER ALL COMMENTS: Poorly written; Several grammatical mistakes; No proper References/ citations used for procedures;

Fifty lentil genotypes procured from various parts of country and ICARDA, Lebanon and screened against highly aggressive *Fusarium-F. oxysporium f.sp. lentis* AGLF-11 / *Fol-11* isolate collected from Mokama (Tal) area in Bihar, India during 2020-2021 crop season under controlled condition using sick soil micro pots. Screening was carried out in **Greenhouse greenhouse** of Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour (Bhagalpur), Bihar, India. The effect of this isolate on pre & post germination mortality of these 50 genotypes was studied. The genotypes used in this experiment along with their origin are enlisted in Table 1. Screening for lentil wilt resistance must account for two factors: the varying time of symptom development among genotypes and the disease's uneven and patchy distribution in the field. Simulation of natural soil and ambient conditions, as well as consistent inoculum load across all samples, are required for successful and efficient screening for resistance to soil borne pathogens such as *Fusarium* spp.

**Comment [Dr.16]:** Pl mention the original reference of the study in which isolate was studied and proved highly aggressive. Also, provide the isolate Accession Number, if sequenced and submitted in GenBank

**Comment [Dr.17]:** Use appropriate and same format as per journal

## Detail of *Fol* isolate used:

**Comment [Dr.18]:** Check your grammatical mistakes pl

Highly aggressive *Fol* isolate, AGLF-11/*Fol-11* was collected from major lentil growing region mainly collected from Tal area of Barh, Patna, Bihar, India in year 2019. Different *Fol* isolates have different morphological characteristics viz. white, light pink, pink and dark pink colony colour and sparse, fluffy, centrally fluffy and crystal growth patterns. The colony colour of this isolate is mainly pink with sparse growth pattern.

**Comment [Dr.19]:** If isolate has been characterized morpho-molecularly in this study; then pl provide the detail accordingly i.e. morphological, microscopic and molecular characterization

**Comment [Dr.20]:** Above, you have entered 2020-21, and here 2019???

**Comment [Dr.21]:** Repetition; If already mentioned above, then no need to enter again

**Comment [Dr.22]:** No reason to add

**Comment [Dr.23]:** Pl provide the picture of the isolate colony

**Table.1** The list of materials used in the study along with its source

**Comment [Dr.24]:** Use appropriate words

S.No	Genotypes	Source
1.	JL 3	JNKV, Jabalpur
2.	P-13108	Procured from IARI, New Delhi
3.	Noori	IIPR, Kanpur
4.	GP-3221	IIPR, Kanpur

5.	LKH-I	Collected from Lakhisarai, Bihar
6.	Moitree	BCKV, West Bengal
7.	Titua	Local collection
8.	BRL-2	Selection from landrace of Rajoun block, Banka
9.	VL 138	VPKAS, Almora
10.	DBGL 105	ICAR-Research complex for Eastern Region, Patna
11.	Pusa Vaibhav	IARI, New Delhi
12.	DBGL-62	ICAR-Research complex for Eastern Region, Patna
13.	DBGL-135	ICAR-Research complex for Eastern Region, Patna
14.	IG -195	Procured from IARI, New Delhi
15.	IG- 122133	Procured from IARI, New Delhi
16.	P-15115	Procured from IARI, New Delhi
17.	JL-1	JNKV, Jabalpur
18.	JL-7	JNKV, Jabalpur
19.	P 3236	Procured from IARI, New Delhi
20.	P 43120	Procured from IARI, New Delhi
21.	DKL 37	Procured from IARI, New Delhi
22.	IG 559831	Procured from IARI, New Delhi
23.	NDL-I	NDUAT, Faizabad
24.	MC 6	Procured from IARI, New Delhi
25.	Flip 96-51	ICARDA, Lebnon
26.	PL-6	GBPUAT, Pantnagar
27.	PL-8	GBPUAT, Pantnagar
28.	PL-27	GBPUAT, Pantnagar
29.	DPL-62	IIPR, Kanpur
30.	DPL-316	IIPR, Kanpur
31.	IPL 526	IIPR, Kanpur
32.	KLS-218	CSAU, Kanpur
33.	HUL-57	BHU, Varanasi
34.	Pusa Ageti	IARI, New Delhi

35.	BRL-3	Selection from SL-2-24
36.	Shivalik	IARI, New Delhi
37.	IPL 406	IIPR, Kanpur
38.	IPL 315	IIPR, Kanpur
39.	DPL 58	IIPR, Kanpur
40.	DPL 15	IIPR, Kanpur
41.	PL-4	GBPUAT, Pantnagar
42.	PL- 639	GBPUAT, Pantnagar
43.	PL- 406	GBPUAT, Pantnagar
44.	IPL-321	IIPR, Kanpur
45.	K-75	CSAU, Kanpur
46.	PL-05	GBPUAT, Pantnagar
47.	L-4717	IARI, New Delhi
48.	L-4147	IARI, New Delhi
49.	L-4771	IARI, New Delhi
50.	L-7920	IARI, New Delhi

### Greenhouse screening:

AGLF-11 isolate was maintained in laboratory using potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium supplemented with streptomycin sulphate and stored in a refrigerator (4°C). Sub culturing of isolate was done time to time. The isolate was mass multiplied as per the protocol of Kamdi et al. (2012) with necessary modifications. Initially, sorghum grains were soaked in water overnight. Excess water was drained out and seeds were soaked in dextrose water @ 100g in 1 litre water (1-1.5 hrs). It is then spread and air dried on the clean blotting paper. Moistened grains (about 150 g) were filled in each autoclavable poly bags and autoclaved for 30 minutes at 15 lbs. psi pressure. The mycelium bit of pure culture of AGLF-11 isolate was inoculated under aseptic condition in the poly bags containing grains and incubated for 12-14 days at 25±2 °C. Meanwhile, polybags were shaken regularly to facilitate early growth of the fungus or to avoid clumping of grains. Due to mycelial growth of the test fungus, the grains turned whitish.

Comment [Dr.25]: Reference?

Comment [Dr.26]: Reference?

Comment [Dr.27]: ?

To prepare sick soil micropots, the grains colonized by isolate were mixed in the soil (Kamdi et al. 2012). Fusarium wilt susceptibility of each genotype was tested in infected soil. For this, sterilized sandy-loam soil was mixed with mass multiplied culture of AGLF-11 @ 100g / kg soil. Seeds of 50 lentil genotypes were rinsed with distilled water. 10 Seeds of each lentil genotypes were sown in each well of the infected soil following Completely Randomized Design (CRD). The experiment was carried out in three replications for each genotype. Controlled soil micropot was also used without AGLF-11 isolate infection with each genotype. Observations on pre-germination mortality and post--germination mortality percentage were recorded up to 15 days. The post emergence mortality was recorded time to time and the final data was recorded at the end of the experiment on 15th day.

The pre germination mortality and post germination mortality percentage were recorded using following formula:

$$\text{Pre germination mortality (\%)} = \frac{\text{Total germination of healthy seeds} - \text{Total germination of treated seeds}}{\text{Total germination of healthy seeds}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Post germination mortality (\%)} = \frac{\text{Total germination of treated seeds} - \text{Plant survived in treated seeds}}{\text{Total germination of treated seeds}} \times 100$$

Where, complete yellowing and dropdown of the plants were considered complete mortality of the plants.

The genotypes on the basis of mortality percentage recorded were categorized in to different categories viz. immune resistant, moderately resistant, moderately susceptible and highly susceptible on a scale of 1 to 9 (Table.2).

**Table 2: Disease rating scale for Fusarium wilt:**

S.No.	Rating	Mortality (%)	Disease reaction
1.	1	≤ 1 %	Immune (I)
2.	3	2-10 %	Resistant (R)
3.	5	11-20 %	Moderately resistant (MR)
4.	7	21-50 %	Moderately susceptible (MS)
5.	9	>50 %	Highly susceptible (HS)

Comment [Dr.28]: Reference?

Comment [Dr.29]: Reference?

## Results and Discussion:

In this study, fifty lentil genotypes were screened against fusarium wilt in control condition using sick soil micropot technique under controlled condition. The recorded pre-germination mortality and post-germination mortality percentage data are enlisted in Table 3. A perusal of data in the table-3 reveals that, a significant wide range of pre and post germination mortality was observed among all the genotypes of lentil. The pre-germination mortality among all the genotypes ranged between 9.09 to 80.23 %. The highest pre-germination mortality was observed in Titua genotype treatment which was about 80.23 % and the lowest pre-germination mortality was observed in the treatment of L7920 genotype having only 9.09 %. Other genotypes also exhibited significant pre-germination mortality percentage that ranges from 9.62 to 73.58 %. This highly aggressive isolate of *Fol* not only affected the germination of seed but also caused significant post-germination mortality in some genotypes. Post-germination mortality was ranged between 12.01 to 30.56 %. The highest post germination mortality was observed in treatment of Titua genotype which was 30.56% while, genotypes L7920 showed lowest post germination mortality percentage which was 12.01 %. Several genotypes with high level of resistance under controlled condition were identified.

**Comment [Dr.30]:** RESULTS & DISCUSSION OVER ALL COMMENTS:  
Grammatical mistakes; Not properly discussed, only showed results; results not compared with previous studies

**Table.3: Effect of AGLF-11 isolate on pre and post-germination mortality on various lentil genotypes**

S.No.	Genotypes	Pre-germination mortality (%)	Post-germination mortality (%)
1	JL-3	48.04	23.15
2	P-13108	39.96	18.28
3	Noori	32.65	16.24
4	GP 3221	48.04	23.15
5	LKH-I	61.27	15.87
6	Moitree	42.77	21.48
7	Titua	80.23	30.56
8	BRL-2	37.69	18.28
9	VL-138	58.39	13.69
10	DBGL-105	41.50	19.39
11	P. Vaibhav	52.64	22.41
12	DBGL-62	72.61	20.56
13	DBGL-135	47.92	23.15
14	IG-195	28.56	15.02
15	IG 122133	30.96	15.02

**Comment [Dr.31]:** Only results are shown; no discussion; no previous studies mentioned

16	P-15115	33.89	24.36
17	JL-1	30.17	16.24
18	JL-7	49.02	23.15
19	P3236	58.19	13.10
20	P43120	30.17	16.24
21	DKL 37	53.52	23.15
22	IG 559831	40.74	18.79
23	NDL I	24.02	23.72
24	MC-6	22.66	14.65
25	Flip-96-51	30.31	15.45
26	PL-6	43.36	20.13
27	PL-8	26.36	15.45
28	PL-27	26.71	14.65
29	DPL-62	73.09	21.67
30	IPL-316	73.41	23.33
31	IPL-526	73.53	21.67
32	KLS-218	37.04	17.68
33	HUL-57	17.22	15.81
34	Pusa Ageti	46.94	23.15
35	BRL-3	62.54	15.87
36	Shivalik	50.87	12.04
37	IPL-406	44.23	20.74
38	IPL-315	62.48	14.48
39	DPL-58	9.31	12.78
40	DPL-15	46.08	10.74
41	PL-04	53.52	23.15
42	PL-639	19.15	13.06
43	PL-406	42.59	19.39
44	IPL-321	25.87	15.02
45	K-75	28.70	16.24
46	PL-05	47.27	20.74
47	L4717	18.85	13.97
48	L4147	19.69	13.37
49	L4771	16.28	13.06
50	L7920	9.06	12.01
<hr/>			
	<b>SE(m)</b>	<b>±2.35</b>	<b>±0.923</b>
	<b>CD</b>	<b>6.60</b>	<b>2.63</b>
	<b>CV</b>	<b>9.85</b>	<b>8.9</b>

## Reactions of lentil genotypes against AGLF-11 isolate of *Fusarium oxysporium* f. sp. *lentis*

At the end of the experiment, the screening of genotypes was based on reaction of genotypes against AGLF-11 isolate. A perusal of data in Table -4 reveals the same. The result classified the studied lentil genotypes in four groups viz. resistant, moderately resistant, moderately susceptible and highly susceptible reaction. Out of fifty screened genotypes, L 7920, DPL-58 genotypes exhibited resistance reaction, HUL -57, PL-639, L 4717, L 4147, L 4771 genotypes expressed moderate resistance reaction, genotypes JL-3, P-13108, Noori, GP 3221, Moitree, BRL-2, DBGL-105, DBGL-135, IG-195, IG 122133, P-15115, JL-1, JL-7, P 43120, IG-55983, NDL-I, MC-6, Flip-96-51, PL-6, PL-8, PL-27, KLS-218, Pusa Ageti, IPL-406, DPL-15, PL-406, IPL-321, K-75, PL-05 exhibited moderate susceptibility, while LKH-I, Titua, VL-138, Pusa Vaibhav, DBGL-62, P-3236, DKL-37, DPL-62, IPL-316, IPL-526, BRL-3, Shivalik, IPL-315, PL-04 genotypes expressed high susceptibility reaction against the isolate. None of the genotypes exhibited immune reaction.

**Comment [Dr.32]:** Not discussed in the light of previous studies

**Table 4. Reactions of lentil genotypes against Fusarium wilt (AGLF-11 isolate) in controlled conditions**

Rating scale	Reaction	Mortality (%)	Genotypes
1	Immune (I)	≤ 1 %	Nil
3	Resistant (R)	2-10 %	L 7920, DPL-58
5	Moderately resistant (MR)	11-20 %	HUL -57, PL-639, L 4717, L 4147, L 4771
7	Moderately susceptible (MS)	21-50 %	JL-3, P-13108, Noori, GP 3221, Moitree, BRL-2, DBGL-105, DBGL-135, IG-195, IG 122133, P-15115, JL-1, JL-7, P43120, IG-55983, NDL-I, MC-6, Flip-96-51, PL-6, PL-8, PL-27, KLS-218, Pusa Ageti, IPL-406, DPL-15, PL-406, IPL-321, K-75, PL-05

9	Highly susceptible (HS)	>50 %	LKH-I, Titua, VL-138, Pusa Vaibhav, DBGL-62, P-3236, DKL-37, DPL-62, IPL-316, IPL-526, BRL-3, Shivalik, IPL-315, PL-04
---	-------------------------	-------	--

### Conclusion

For successful screening, important information about host-pathogen biology and interaction is required. The use of well-established assays in the field and in the greenhouse aids in the identification and selection of wilt resistant donors. In future research programmes, the screened resistance genotypes could be used as resistance sources for breeding wilt resistant lentil varieties and cloning of the resistant genes via differential display expression analysis.

**Comment [Dr.33]:** Conclude findings of your study properly

### References

**Comment [Dr.34]:** Check according to journal format pl

1. Adan F, Kumar A, Kumar A, Kishore C, and Kumar R R , (2020). Study of Genetic Diversity on Yield and Yield Attributing Components in Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.) Under Normal and Late Sown Conditions Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology 39(2): 57-62
2. Bayaa B and Erskine W (1990) A screening technique for resistance to vascular wilt in lentil. Arab. J. Plant Prot. 8:30–33.
3. Bayaa B, Erskine W and Hamdi A (1995). Evaluation of a wild lentil collection for resistance to vascular wilt. Genet. Res. Crop Ev. 42:231–235.
4. Beniwal S Bayaa B Weigand S Makkouk K, Saxena MC (1993) Field Guide to Lentil Diseases and insect Pests. International Centre for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas. Aleppo, Syria, pp. 106.
5. Bhat NA, Beigh MA, Maheshwari SK, Masoodi SD (2006) Screening and yield of Lentil (*Lens esculenta* Moench.) germplasms as influenced by Fusarium Wilt. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences, 14(1):139-41.
6. Chandra S, Rajvanshi NK, Kumar A (2019) Evaluation of lentil genotypes against *Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lentis* under artificial epiphytotic condition.
7. Choudhary AK, Kumar S, Patil BS, Sharma M, Kemal S, Ontagodi TP, Datta S, Patil P, Chaturvedi SK, Sultana R, Hegde VS (2013) Narrowing yield gaps through genetic improvement for Fusarium wilt resistance in three pulse crops of the semi-arid tropics. SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics, 45(03):341-70.
8. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation. Area, Production and Yield of Lentil (Masur) during 2019-20 in major producing states, 2020.

9. Infantino A, Kharrat M, Riccioni L, Coyne CJ, McPhee KE, Grünwald NJ (2006) Screening techniques and sources of resistance to root diseases in cool season food legumes, 147(1):201-21.
10. Kamdi DR, Mondhe MK, Jadesha G (2012) Efficacy of botanicals, bio-agents and fungicides against *Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. ciceri*, in chickpea wilt sick plot. Annals of Biological Research, 3(11):5390-5392.
11. Kumar A, Kumari A, Kumar S, Kumar A, Kumar R R, and Singh P.K (2017). Exploring Genetic Diversity for Heat Tolerance among Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.) Genotypes. Indian Journal of Ecology, 44(4): 90-94
12. Kumar R, Jha DK, Dubey SC and Kumar R (2003) Screening of lentil varieties against fusarium wilt. Journal of Research-Birsa Agricultural University, 15(1):129.
13. Meena JK, Singh A, Dikshit HK, Mishra GP, Aski M, Srinivasa N, Gupta S, Singh D, Tripathi A (2017) Screening of Lentil (*Lens culinaris Medikus sub sp. culinaris*) Germplasm against Fusarium Wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lentis*). Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci, 6(11):2533-41.
14. Stoilova T, Chavdarov P (2006) Evaluation of lentil germplasm for disease resistance to Fusarium wilt(*Fusarium oxysporum f.sp lentis*). Journal of Central European Agriculture, 7(1):121-6.
15. Vasudeva RS, Srinivasan KV (1952) Studies on the wilt disease of lentil (*Lens esculenta* Moench.). Indian Phytopathology, 5:23-32.