

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_73041
Title of the Manuscript:	Assess the financial viability of Sapota orchard in South Gujarat region.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It is good that the previous work was presented from 1990 to 2007, but it would be better to present the previous work in a table presenting the work, the agricultural techniques used, the results of this work and the economic and scientific impact. for better reading; - research and specify the real values of the nutritional loss due to the lack of storage of sapota or during the processing of certain products. Specify in a table; - It would also be better to assess and integrate the cost of average scientific storage facilities to conserve the sapota in order to give an idea to future operators to improve production and better understand the policy implications; -The author has spent a lot of time on economic investment and different returns; the investment cost, the use of chemical inputs, the cultivation technique, the use of agricultural equipment. 	
Minor REVISION comments	The review of the literature in the paragraph that talks about Mali et al. (2001) and Sundarevarodarayan Ramanathan (2003) is very long. Commas and periods must be included.	
Optional/General comments	The cultivation of sapota is more prolific at low altitudes. Highlight the factors that improve low-level performance. If possible, indicate how to improve high altitude performance for those living at high altitudes.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Makalao Mouti Marceline</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Normal Higher School Of Bongor, Chad</i>