

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_88955
Title of the Manuscript:	ISOLATION OF LISTERIA MONOCYTOGENE FROM RAW MEAT SOLD IN NASARAWA STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy>)

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>TITLE: Authors should consider to change from upper case to lower case with the view not to capitalise names of organisms. An example (New Protein Settings to Support in vivo Antimalarial Activity in Plasmodium berghei Infected Mice after Garlic-Arteether Therapy) is taken from one the journal's latest articles.</p> <p>Abstract: Authors should endeavour to observe a space between where a sentence ends and where another begins - this occurred in lines 5 and 17.</p> <p>Keywords: Consider substituting 'Nassarawa State' with biochemical analysis.</p> <p>1.0 INTRODUCTION: (1) <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> is a pathogenic bacterium, not a disease in itself. It is necessary to recast sentence. (2) In the two sentences of lines 8 - 11, authors should look up a more recent data regarding the incidence. (3) As listeriosis caused by <i>L. monocytogenes</i> is not the most common foodborne illness, due to low presence in healthy adults, its high mortality rate could be linked to its unique virulence factors. After second paragraph, a paragraph can be created to address this with a focus on strategies to tolerate stresses encountered both during food processing and along the host's gastrointestinal tract. (4) Authors should provide references if statements in sentences 1 - 3 of paragraph 3 are theirs.</p> <p>2.0. MATERIALS AND METHODS (1) 2.3 SAMPLE COLLECTION: Authors should correct the ambiguity in the last sentence. (2) Authors should give number to each subheading under 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' Example for 2.4 Microbiological Analysis. (3) Biochemical tests: Authors should briefly describe tasks in each test, including gram staining, as in the other tasks. (4) If any of the methods for a task is adopted from a known scholar, authors should mention such before describing method.</p> <p>3.0 RESULTS (1) Table 1 should be cited at the end of sentence 2. (2) Table 2 should be cited to close sentences 5 and 6 respectively. (3) Table 3 should be cited at end of line 12 counting from after the Table 2.</p> <p>4.1 DISCUSSION (1) Table 2 should be cited at end of sentence 2. (2) Table 1 should be cited at end of sentence in line 15. (3) Tables 3 and 4 should be cited at end of sentences in line 2, 6 and 11 of paragraph 3. (4) Table 3 should be cited at end of sentence in line 1 of paragraph 4. (5) Table 5 should be cited at end of sentence 2 of paragraph 5.</p> <p>4.2 CONCLUSION (1) The section 'RECOMMENDATION' should be merged to conclusion. Hence, it is necessary to remove the title "4.3 RECOMMENDATION" and the bulletpoints used to demarcate sentences.</p> <p>REFERENCES (1) The entries should be presented in alphabetical order.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>(2) The first four entries are out of margin with the others need to be placed alphabetically. (3) As the entry CDC (2011) appeared twice in the reference section with different titles whereas it appeared once in text citation. Authors should tell if the second appearance is for CDC (2007) absent in the reference list. (4) Authors should consider that: The following entries appeared in the text but are absent in reference list: CDC (2007) Goh et al., (2012) Zhang et al., (2007)</p> <p>Also, the following entries found in reference list are absent in the text: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2009) Schilinger et al., (2001)</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Authors should consider the following:</p> <p>(1) Correct/recast texts highlighted in blue. (2) Remove texts highlighted in red. (3) Italicise all names of organisms</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peter Chikezie Ayogu
Department, University & Country	University of Minho, Portugal