

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_87932
Title of the Manuscript:	In Vitro Evaluation of Antimicrobial Activity of Bee Honey against Gram-Positive Cocci Bacteria Isolated from Clinical Specimen in Shendi Town
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article considers the relevant subject of antimicrobial resistant bacteria and assays were performed for the evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of a sample of bee honey.</p> <p>It is convenient at the last phrase of the Introduction to describe the aim of the work.</p> <p>Details about characterization of the honey used were not included. For example, it is possible that the composition of the honey sample affected the results and reproduce them will not be possible if a different honey was used. For example, a microscopic characterization of the pollen particles into the honey sample can orient about the vegetable species from where the bees picked-up the food. Composition of the honey is strongly dependent of the geographic region where it comes from because vegetable species are different. Could be compared honey samples from different regions from the same country.</p> <p>Details about characterization of the bacteria can be useful.</p> <p>In the abstract were mentioned April-August 2021, but in the Experimental section was mentioned October-November 2021.</p> <p>The samples were consecutive? Or other criteria were used for their selection?</p> <p>In the results can be clearer to display all the results for the 3 dilutions of honey and vancomycin in one figure, maybe a bars chart.</p> <p>Was not specified the concentration of vancomycin used for comparison.</p> <p>Honey is sterile? What about fungic contamination? Can it be discarded?</p> <p>How can be explained the different results compared to previous related works?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Some corrections on English are necessary. PH is not correct, pH yes is correct.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>Ethical issues were taken into account, as a Ethics Committee gave approval</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Iris Graciela Miraballes Martinez
Department, University & Country	Universidad De La Republica(Udelar), Uruguay