

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_86468
Title of the Manuscript:	Recent Advancements in Developing Animal Models for COVID-19
Type of the Article	Commentary

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The 'Commentary' is very interesting and technically sound. It provides a good information on how vaccine development can be improved and how effective vaccines can be developed.2. The authors have written the commentary in a clear and comprehensible language.3. Table 1 has unnecessarily been too lengthy consisting of 10/11 pages of the 19-page commentary. Thus, effort must be taken to systematically reduce its length, for example, by preparing the table in a 'Landscape' format, eliminating the 'Route' column, because the route of almost all of the doses is intramuscular/intranasal and this can be explained in the text. Moreover, the 'References' column can also be avoided to leave extra space for the 'Results' column and the references can be included numerically. Avoiding these columns and preparing the table in a Landscape format, can reduce its size and the length of the paper, making it more elegant.4. Authors may also consider reformatting Table 2 using the above comments.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Some information on the vaccines developed and which are in use in several countries of the world may have enriched the paper substantially.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tadesse Mehari
Department, University & Country	University of Asmara, Eritrea