

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_81816
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus sciuri Isolated From Apparently Healthy and Hospitalized Patients In Ekiti State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Must be attentive to the search language (weak). ○ the literature review must be added to the introduction ○ Focus on the purpose or aims of the research at the end of the introduction. ○ Arranging the research in the scientific and academic format followed by scientific journals. <p>The abstract is reformulated linguistically and scientifically, and the objective of the research, the method and some of the results obtained must be clarified.</p> <p>In part (introduction)</p> <p>The purpose of the research should be added at the end of the introduction and briefly.</p> <p>In part (Specimen Collection and Transport) It is important to add a table showing (quantity, number and numbering) of the samples obtained, in addition to placing pictures of some samples to clarify them.</p> <p>In part (Sample Analysis)- Isolation of staphylococci</p> <p>Add, how or the mechanism of the subcultured onto Mannitol salt agar (MSA) and Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA) for isolation of S. aureus and S. sciuri respectively.</p> <p>In part (Molecular Analysis)- DNA Extraction In general, the research is very poor in scientific evidence (microscopic images and analyzes), please add it or refuse the research. Adding a chart or path that explains it ... How it was done (must be clarified) Amplification of nuc, mecA and aac-genes.</p> <p>In the results, the analyzes must first be added to each sample or sample, or a chart showing the proportions must be made</p> <p>Abbreviations of figures and tables must be written and numbered in the correct scientific format for journals.</p> <p>Discussion and results should be combined into one topic.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naser korde zedin
Department, University & Country	University of Technology, Iraq