

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_80987
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-Tuberculosis Treatment Induced DRESS Syndrome – A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijmPCR.com/index.php/IJMPCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It should be emphasized that steroid therapy must be done for a long time with a gradual reduction over a period of 2-3 months, also because too rapid steroid transfer could cause the disease to recover.</p> <p>on the other hand, it is known that systemic corticosteroids can facilitate opportunistic infections and be responsible for many complications, but in a potentially deadly disease such as DRESS, the balance between disadvantages and benefits leads to justify the prolonged use of steroid therapy.</p> <p>Any space, discussed, of antiviral therapy should be inserted at the end of the treatment chapter. It is known that antiviral drugs, such as Ganciclovir, have been proposed in addition to steroids and IVIGs, in the most severe cases and / or in the forms with documented viral reactivation.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Check the numbering of the references. Reference n. 9 must be written in English</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>This scientific article repeats other publications, but the scientific accuracy is Good. The introduction clearly sum up the aim of the study. The study has been structured and carried out correctly. The references are adequate.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sergio Manieri
Department, University & Country	San Carlo Hospital, Italy