

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_89811
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Seed priming with Organic-inputs on Finger millet Seedling germination and vigour
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title: OK</p> <p>Abstract: Write down the aims, experimental design and all treatments.</p> <p>Keywords: OK</p> <p>Introduction: State of the art is clear. you should add the latest research developments on the problem under study. Write down the aims of research on the last paragraph of Introduction.</p> <p>Materials and Methods: Write down the experimental design.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: Is there no post hoc test of Anova? (DMRT/LSD). Then how to determine the best treatment?</p> <p>The author tends to only explain the results, but lacks in comparing these results with the results of previous studies that are relevant or irrelevant.</p> <p>Conclusion: Because of there was not a post hoc test of Anova (DMRT/LSD), so the conclusion is invalid (?)</p> <p>Reference: Quantity and recency are good, however it should used the reference manager tool.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	The author needs to revise some important things that have been commented on.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jhon Hardy Purba
Department, University & Country	Universitas Panji Sakti, Indonesia