

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_89642
Title of the Manuscript:	Mean performance of brinjal (<i>Solanum melongena</i> L.) genotypes for high yield and fruit and shoot borer tolerance
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The title should be modified as; "Evaluating the performance of brinjal (<i>Solanum melongena</i> L.) genotypes for high yield and fruit and shoot borer tolerance</p> <p>2. The abstract should contain</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General information about <i>Solanum melongena</i> L. • The statement of the problem • Objective of the study • Methodology • Major findings • summary <p>3. Justification of the study should be stronger</p> <p>4. Data collection and Analysis part</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • you should indicate the technique, instrument to collect data and unit of measurement for the parameter. • under analysis you must indicate the type of software you used for analysis and the type mean separation you used if the analysis results become significant at certain significance level <p>4. Result and discussion part</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Before declaring the result, you must indicate whether the ANOVA indicated significant or not for each parameter and should refer ANOVA Table. After that you can present your result and inter in to discussion. The way you present and discuss your result need too much correction. <p>5. Summary Part</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • it will also be better if you forward your recommendation 	
Minor REVISION comments	The report should be in passive form. So, you need to check for the grammar.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alemu Dugassa Jorgo
Department, University & Country	Ambo University, Ethiopia