

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_89475
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF PARTIAL ROOT DRYING AND DEFICIT IRRIGATION ON YIELD AND WATER USE EFFICIENCY OF TOMATO GROWN UNDER GREENHOUSE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The subject of the manuscript is of great interest. However, the language of the manuscript must be revised by native English-speaking person because it is difficult to understand some parts of the manuscript. This should be done mainly in the material and methods and Results and discussion items.</p> <p>ABSTRACT: Different sub-sections should be used as in the instructions to the authors.</p> <p>Keywords: should not be the same as in the title.</p> <p>MATERIAL AND METHODS: 2.2 Irrigation treatments – I suggest using the title <i>Transplanting and Irrigations treatments</i>. Therefore, the paragraph “The field was ready in Table 3.” should be moved here.</p> <p>It is difficult to understand how the plant root system was differently irrigated. Example: “For FI and DI water was applied on both sides of what? and in PRD on either How? from one side of the plant.”</p> <p>Figure and Table captions should be explanatory enough to be understandable.</p> <p>Equation (2): In the description appears u_2 instead of μ_2; “e_a” appears twice, different in the equation.</p> <p>The methods for obtaining LAI were not presented.</p> <p>Statistical analysis should be presented.</p> <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Improve the description of results and discussion.</p> <p>THE LIST OF REFERENCES List of references should follow the instructions to the authors. Attention with scientific names.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Inês Cechin
Department, University & Country	Sao Paulo State University (UNESP), Brazil

Review Form 1.6