Original Research Article

IMPACT OF DRONE SPRAYING OF NUTRIENTS ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF MAIZE CROP

Abstract

This study aimed to see if an unmanned aerial application device could be utilized in place of a backpack sprayer for the foliar application of crop boosters. The effect of booster spray on the crop growth and yield of the maize was also studied at the Agricultural Research Station, Bhavanisagar. The statistical study used was a randomized block design with 9 treatments, each with 3 replications. As a part of the study, the spray mixture of NPK 19:19:19 along with liquid micronutrient, humic acid, and TNAU Maize maxim was sprayed at two intervals *viz.*, 50% Tasselling, and Cob filling stage. Biometric observations such as plant height, leaf area, dry matter accumulation, and yield parameters such as cob yield and number of grains per cob were all significantly affected by drone spraying treatments when compared to the traditional knapsack spraying approach. TNAU Maize maxim applied using the fuel-operated drone with an atomizer nozzle (T_7) with 30 lit/ac spray fluid recorded the maximum biometric and yield attributes than other treatments. The treatment T_7 showed the results of increased biometric attributes like plant height of 261.2 and 270.32, LAI of 4.14 and 5.15, and DMP of 12354 kg/ha and 18564 kg/ha at 60 DAS and 90 DAS, respectively. It also showed the increased yield attributes like cob length and girth of 24.8 cm and 17.9 cm, respectively, and grain and stover yield of 7195 kg/ha and 10942 kg/ha, respectively.

Keywords: *Maize, Drone, Maize maxim, Foliar spray*

Formatted: Font: Italic

Introduction

Comment [DS21]: support it with references

Maize survives in several agricultural environments, and its capability to accommodate different habitats sets it apart from other crops. Maize is among the most widely consumed grains in the world, and it is a food source in many nations, hence it is considered the “Queen of Cereals”. It is a good source of vitamins A, B, and E, and a variety of minerals, as well as providing the essential calories for everyday metabolic activities. As

the industrial revolution has begun, the localized usage of maize has shifted towards industrialized usage. Because of its high protein, oil, and carbohydrate content, maize are~~is~~ a superior choice for animal feed to other crops (66%).

Most industrialized countries have implemented cutting-edge technology like photogrammetry and remote sensing (RS) for precision agriculture with Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) to create a good agriculture farm with less infection. Aerial spraying by UAVs is not only used for crop protection, but also for agricultural fertilization. It will benefit farmers by increasing agricultural output, and quality, and~~,~~ most crucially, reducing their workload. With the rising scarcity of agricultural workers, finding a good opportunity to finish a high-quality spraying operation through a traditional knapsack sprayer is becoming increasingly challenging.

Plant growth regulators have been extensively used in the latest days to mitigate physiological limits, resulting in increased output in a wide range of crops. The plant uses micronutrients not just to optimize its development and output, but also to increase its crude protein and fiber content. Nutrient application via foliar spray at critical stages of growth is becoming increasingly vital to effective nutrient utilization and improved crop production. With this in consideration, the current research was carried out with the objective of knowing the impact of spraying using drones with different nozzles and knapsack sprayers and also reading the biometric and yield parameters of the maize crop.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Site

The field analysis was carried out in the summer of February 2022 at the Agricultural Research Station, Bhavanisagar with a latitude of 11° 48’ N and a longitude of 77° 13’ E and 256 m above mean sea level. The type of soil is largely Irugur or Chikkarasampalayam series, ranging from medium to deep reddish-brown.

Experimental design and treatment details

The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with 9 treatments and 3 replications. The test crop used was maize hybrid COH (M) 8 with a spacing of 60 x 30 cm. The treatment details are as follows: T_1 - Drone spray (Battery operated)- Jet type nozzle: All 19 (NPK) + Liquid Micro Nutrient +Humic Acid (1%) T_2 - Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Jet type nozzle: All 19 (NPK) + Liquid Micro Nutrient +Humic Acid (1%) T_3 - Drone spray

(Fuel operated)- Atomizer nozzle: All 19 (NPK) + Liquid Micro Nutrient +Humic Acid (1%) T_4 - Knapsack sprayer: All 19 (NPK) + Liquid Micro Nutrient +Humic Acid (1%) T_5 - Drone spray (Battery operated)- Jet type nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac T_6 - Drone spray (Fuel operated) - Jet type nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac T_7 - Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Atomizer nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac T_8 - Knapsack sprayer: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac T_9 - Control (Water Spray). The spray mixture of All 19 along with liquid micronutrient, humic acid, and TNAU Maize Maxim was sprayed twice at 50% tasselling and cob filling stage using drones with two types of nozzles *viz.*, flat jet type and atomizer type and knapsack sprayer.

Characteristics of spraying devices

Drone Parameters

The fuel and battery-operated drone with two types of nozzles namely flat jet and atomizer type was used for the spraying of boosters. The technical parameters of the drones were given in Table 1.

Table 1: Technical parameters of the fuel and battery-operated drones

Fuel Operated		**Battery operated**	
Classification	**Parameters**	**Classification**	**Parameters**
Dimensions(mm)	2160×2250×600	Dimensions(mm)	1520×1520×590
Nozzle type	Flat Jet & Atomizer	Nozzle type	Flat Jet
Tank capacity (L)	16	Tank capacity (L)	10
Fuel tank capacity (L)	4	Battery capacity	16000 MAh
Spraying width	4 m	Spraying width(m)	3.5 m
Flying height (Above crop canopy)	0.75 to 1 m	Flying height(m)	0.75 to 1 m
No. of nozzles	4	No. of nozzles	4

Knapsack Sprayer Parameters

Foliar nutrients were manually sprayed using a knapsack sprayer with a hollow cone nozzle. The knapsack sprayer had a loading capacity of 15 litres. The technical parameters of the knapsack sprayer were given in Table 2.

Table 2: Technical parameters of knapsack sprayer

Classification	Parameters
Dimension	41.9 cm × 17.8 cm × 53.3 cm
Nozzle type	Hollow cone
Tank capacity	15 liters
Spraying width	0.75 to 1 m
Spraying height	20 to 30 cm above the crop canopy
No. of nozzle	1

Observations

In all the 9 treatments randomly, 5 plants were selected in each replication and tagged for observing the biometric parameters like plant height and LAI, and dry matter production (DMP) at 30 days intervals. DMP was calculated by cutting the plants that fell inside a 1m × 1m quadrat in each replication of 9 treatments and recorded the fresh weight. Then these plants were oven-dried at 80°C ± 5°C until they reached a stable weight and were given in kg/ha. The yield parameters like length and girth of the cob, number of rows/cob, number of grains/row, number of grains/cob, grain yield, and stover yield were recorded.

Statistical Analysis

According to Gomez & Gomez, the data acquired throughout the investigation were~~was~~ statistically analysed (1984). If the critical difference was calculated at a confidence threshold of 5%, the variations in treatment were considered significant. The results were given in tables.

Results and Discussion

Growth parameters

Plant growth and development are the effects of superb coordination of multiple mechanisms working at various phases of plant growth. Different treatments led to considerable differences in plant height, which is an important component of maize growth. In 30 DAS, before spraying of crop booster and micronutrients the taller plants were recorded at the treatment T1 - Drone spray (Battery operated)- Jet type nozzle: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%) with 98.51 cm. But after the application of the crop booster, fuel-operated drone spray with atomizer nozzle T7 has recorded the soaring plant heights 261.2 and 270.3 at 60 DAS and 90 DAS, respectively. This is because rapid cell division and cell elongation are

strongly associated with micronutrients' favorable influence on crop development. Raghuramakrishnan *et al.,* (2021) published a report with a similar conclusion with a plant height of 287.31 cm. The plants were shorter in control (T_9) than in other treatments. The plant height values are given in Table 3.

Comment [DS22]: Please rewrite it

Table 3–: Effect of foliar application of TNAU Maize maxim through drone on plant height (cm) of maize

Treatments	Plant Height (cm)		
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
T1 Drone spray (Battery operated)- Jet type nozzle: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	98.51	231	236.93
T2 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Jet type nozzle: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	96.37	219.8	226.31
T3 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Atomiser nozzle: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	94.72	243	246.69
T4 Knapsack sprayer: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	98.45	206	214.71
T5 Drone spray (Battery operated)- Jet type nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	95.67	249	257.36
T6 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Jet type nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	98.54	259.33	269.33
T7 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Atomiser nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	96.32	261.2	270.32
T8 Knapsack sprayer: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	98.31	208	215.29
T9 Control (Water Spray)	98.29	192	197.32
SE.d	1.823	4.465	4.581
CD (0.05)	3.865	9.465	9.712

The leaf area index is a favorable indicator that has a major impact on maize plant growth. The number of photosynthetic pigments produced does not have to be a role in higher yield; rather, the distribution of those photosynthetic pigments to the shoot and root is crucial and is determined by the leaf area index and other physiological characteristics. The foliar application of nutrients and crop boosters had a considerable impact on the leaf area index (LAI) at 60 DAS and 90 DAS. This could be because of the greater number of leaves, leaf area, and tillers. Among the treatments, the foliar spraying of TNAU Maize maxim twice using the fuel-operated drone with atomizer nozzle of spray volume 30 lit/ac has recorded the very high LAI value of 4.14 and 5.15 at 60 DAS and 90 DAS, respectively. The treatment,

Comment [DS23]: Please, should be simplifided

control (T_9) recorded the lowest LAI value of 1.95 and 2.8, where only water spray was given. The LAI values are given in Table 4.

Table 4: Effect of foliar application of TNAU Maize maxim through drone on leaf area index (LAI) of maize

Treatments	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
T1 Drone spray (Battery operated)- Jet type nozzle: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	1.69	3.51	4.39
T2 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Jet type nozzle: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	1.6	3.12	4.18
T3 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Atomiser nozzle: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	1.79	3.69	4.60
T4 Knapsack sprayer: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	1.48	2.69	3.95
T5 Drone spray (Battery operated)- Jet type nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	1.89	3.93	4.82
T6 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Jet type nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	2.01	4.12	5.13
T7 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Atomiser nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	2.03	4.14	5.15
T8 Knapsack sprayer: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	1.49	2.71	3.96
T9 Control (Water Spray)	0.97	1.95	2.8
SE.d	0.033	0.067	0.085
CD(0.05)	0.070	0.143	0.180

Dry matter production (DMP) of a crop measures, how well it uses the resources it has. Noticeable changes in dry matter accumulation could be related to differences in general growth and development, as reflected by observations of several growth indices such as plant height and LAI. The dry matter was accumulated mostly~~most~~ in the treatment T_7 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Atomiser nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac with 12354 and 18564 with 30 lit/ac and the lowest dry matter accumulation was noticed in the treatment T_9 Control (Water Spray) with 7482 and 9645 at 60 DAS and 90 DAS, respectively.

Table 5: Effect of foliar application of TNAU Maize maxim using an agricultural drone on dry matter production (DMP) (kg/ha) of maize

Treatments	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS
T1 Drone spray (Battery operated)- Jet type nozzle: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	3258	10594	16017
T2 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Jet type nozzle: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	3296	10098	15182
T3 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Atomiser nozzle: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	3258	11081	16742
T4 Knapsack sprayer: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	3085	9598	11863
T5 Drone spray (Battery operated)- Jet type nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	3325	11592	17695
T6 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Jet type nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	3296	12146	18459
T7 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Atomiser nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	3314	12354	18564
T8 Knapsack sprayer: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	3208	9611	12134
T9 Control (Water Spray)	3307	7482	9645
SE.d	61.533	205.092	303.3815
CD(0.05)	130.451	434.794	643.168

Yield parameters

The results on yield parameters of maize were greatly affected by the spray of micronutrients and crop boosters. The maximum cob length and cob girth were observed in treatment T_7 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Atomiser nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac 24.8 cm and 17.9 cm, respectively, using 30 lit/ac spray fluid, and the lowest was observed in treatment T_9 Control with 15.8 cm and 13.1 cm, respectively. The test weight was also high in the treatment T_7 (27.86 g).

Table 6: Effect of foliar application of TNAU Maize maxim using an agricultural drone on yield attributes of maize

Treatments	Cob Length (cm)	Cob Girth (cm)	No. of cobs/ plant	Test Weight (g)
T1 Drone spray (Battery operated)- Jet-type nozzle: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	21.6	15.6	1.33	26.97
T2 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Jet type nozzle:	20.6	14.9	1.67	26.52

All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)				
T3 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Atomiser nozzle: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	22.6	16.3	1.59	27.41
T4 Knapsack sprayer: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	19.1	13.9	1.67	25.63
T5 Drone spray (Battery operated)- Jet-type nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	23.7	17.1	1.33	27.74
T6 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Jet type nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	24.1	17.8	1.00	27.8
T7 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Atomiser nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	24.8	17.9	1.67	27.86
T8 Knapsack sprayer:Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	19.6	14.1	1.63	26.08
T9 Control (Water Spray)	15.8	13.1	0.97	25.14
SE.d	0.415	0.302	0.03	0.507
CD(0.05)	0.880	0.642	0.064	1.076

The highest grain and stover yield was achieved in treatment T_7 which sprayed 30 lit/ac spray fluid using the Drone (Fuel operated)- Atomiser nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac with 7195 kg and 10942 kg per hectare, respectively, while treatment T_9 Control (Water Spray) with 3049 kg and 6623 kg per hectare of grain and straw yield recorded the lowest. The yield in drone spray when compared with the conventional knapsack sprayer was high due to the high absorption of TNAU Maize maxim. The geometry of maize plants, as well as the drone's downward airstream, provides the ideal circumstances for droplet deposition. The improvement in the growing season, active absorption, and transfer from source to sink as a result of physiological and biochemical processes.

Comment [DS24]: Please, should be simplified

Table 7: Effect of foliar application of TNAU Maize maxim using an agricultural drone on grain and straw yield (kg/ha) of maize

Treatments	Grain yield	Straw yield
T1 Drone spray (Battery operated)- Jet-type nozzle: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	6013	9304
T2 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Jet type nozzle: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	5692	8868
T3 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Atomiser nozzle: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	6294	9743
T4 Knapsack sprayer: All 19 (NPK) + LMN +HA (1%)	5271	8382
T5 Drone spray (Battery operated)- Jet-type nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	6619	10197

T6 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Jet type nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	6912	10657
T7 Drone spray (Fuel operated)- Atomiser nozzle: Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	7195	10942
T8 Knapsack sprayer:Maize Maxim @ 6 kg/ac	5389	8418
T9 Control (Water Spray)	3049	6623
SE.d	117.118	180.879
CD(0.05)	248.291	383.465

Conclusion

According to the findings of this study, physiological features were modified by foliar application of nutrients and plant growth regulators. Foliar spray of TNAU Maize maxim using the fuel-operated drone with atomizer nozzle (T_7) with the spray fluid of 30 lit/ac has set down the best outcome in terms of biometric attributes viz., plant height, LAI, DMP, and yield attributes viz., cob length and girth, number of rows per cob, number of grains per row and cob. Hence, this method can be recommended to alleviate the labor inadequateness and also for the emerging environmental quality degradation issues.

Acknowledgment

ORCID

References

1. Balaji, P., S. R. Vinod Kumar, G. Srinivasan, and Kancheti Mrunalini. "Effect of foliar nutrition on yield maximization strategies for irrigated black gram cv. ADT 3." *J. Pharm. Phytochem* 8 (2019): 2884-2886.
2. Chen, Pengchao, Fan Ouyang, Guobin Wang, Haixia Qi, Weicheng Xu, Weiguang Yang, Yali Zhang, and Yubin Lan. "Droplet distributions in cotton harvest aid applications vary with the interactions among the unmanned aerial vehicle spraying parameters." *Industrial Crops and Products* 163 (2021): 113324.
3. Dayana, K., T. Ramesh, S. Avudaithai, S. Paul Sebastian, and S. Rathika. "Foliar application of nutrients using agricultural drone on yield and quality of green gram." (2021).
4. del Cerro, Jaime, Christyan Cruz Ulloa, Antonio Barrientos, and Jorge de León Rivas. "Unmanned aerial vehicles in agriculture: A survey." *Agronomy* 11, no. 2 (2021): 203.

Comment [DS25]: Follow pattern of journal. Correct the all references according to journal patern.
Check all references are traceable to put on the DOI at the end of each reference.
All references not found in the search context except for source number 9

5. KS, SUBRAMANIAN, and Pazhanivelan Sellaperumal. "Drones in Insect Pest Management." *Frontiers in Agronomy*: 93.
6. Kumar, A. Sathish, N. Sakthivel, E. Subramanian, R. Kalpana, P. Janaki, and P. Rajesh. "Influence of foliar spray of nutrients and plant growth regulators on physiological attributes and yield of finger millet (Eleusine coracana (L.) Gaertn.)." *Inter J of Chem Studies* 6, no. 3 (2018): 2876-2879.
7. Nirere, D., S. R. Mbaraka, F. X. Rucamumihigo, F. Murorunkwere, F. R. Musana, and H. Rukangantambara. "Effect of foliar application of nitrogen-phosphorus-potassium fertilizers on nutrient uptake and protein content of maize." *African Journal of Biotechnology* 20, no. 12 (2021): 465-469.
8. Qin, Wei-Cai, Bai-Jing Qiu, Xin-Yu Xue, Chen Chen, Zhu-Feng Xu, and Qing-Qing Zhou. "Droplet deposition and control effect of insecticides sprayed with an unmanned aerial vehicle against plant hoppers." *Crop Protection* 85 (2016): 79-88.
9. Raghuramakrishnan, M., V. M. Sankaran, E. Somasundaram, and P. T. Ramesh. "Effect of micronutrients and STCR based macronutrients on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of hybrid maize." (2021).
10. Rejeb, Abderahman, Alireza Abdollahi, Karim Rejeb, and Horst Treiblmaier. "Drones in agriculture: A review and bibliometric analysis." *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 198 (2022): 107017.
11. Saleh, Heba S., R. A. Dawood, I. A. El-Far, and G. R. El-Nagar. "Impact of some Micro-Nutrients Foliar Application on Two Maize Hybrids Productivity."
12. Supriya, C., P. Murali Arthanari, R. Kumaraperumal, and A. P. Sivamurugan. "Optimization of Spray Fluid for Herbicide Application for Drones in Irrigated Maize (Zea mays L.)." (2021).