

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_89273
Title of the Manuscript:	Compost and Casing of Mushroom in Indian Perspective- A Brief Review
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>I thank the Editor for the opportunity to review this research and the authors for the work done.</p> <p>The abstract should be clearer as to the objectives and added value of the research.</p> <p>According to the penultimate paragraph of the Introduction section, it seems that the objective of the research is focused on: "study reviews the status of the composite and casing research scenario as well as potential barriers to the country's mushroom business and local producers' growth into new markets".</p> <p>Terms in which it seems to indicate a bibliometric analysis on the subject in question. It remains to indicate the importance of this review, what does the domain under analysis gain from the current study? What is its added value?</p> <p>Systematic literature reviews must comply with the Prism, which makes it possible to clearly select the most relevant publications in the area, explaining how many documents existed at the outset through the use of keywords, the elimination of duplicates, the existence of inclusion and exclusion criteria of documents.</p> <p>After obtaining a sample of the main documents to be analyzed, then bibliometric analysis is applied to qualitatively or quantitatively analyze the data. Terms in which the title should be changed, as far as being a brief revision. It seems to me a more descriptive study on the subject in question.</p> <p>It remains to indicate Table 1 in the text of the Casing section.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Maria Helena de Aguiar Pereira e Pestana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lisbon University, Portugal</b>