

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_89199
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of different Biofertilizers and Zinc levels on growth and yield of Maize (Zea mays. L)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This article could have more actual references such as for 2020, 2021, and others such as in topic Introduction</p> <p>The Result and Discussion is extremely important if compared with other actual works that literature had because it is necessary to show the real impact that this work has, and how this article could show the differences studied with other literature's work</p> <p>This article needs some changes, for showing the real impact in fertilizer research, and the most effective result that this study had when compared with actual (2020 at 2022) others, when it was compared with literature, all comments will be for increase the paper.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	The introduction could talk more detail about the discussion of results of this study	
Optional/General comments	The article showed new studies about fertilizer, but this article could be more integrated with the study of literature for maximizing its potential. For this could cite new works that used similar fertilizers for research in this area.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carina Aline Prado
Department, University & Country	Brasil