

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_88812
Title of the Manuscript:	Mapping, Inventory and Change Detection of wetlands of Thavanur Grama Panchayath using multispectral satellite imagery
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<i>The paper is interesting and may well be applicable to land use and land cover change (LULC) detection using remote sensing and GIS platforms, as well as to water resources and environmental engineering projects. However, the language of the paper could be significantly improved. The abstract could be rewritten by clearly stating the objectives, the tools, the methodology, and the main conclusions.</i>	
Minor REVISION comments	<i>These are my suggestions: 1. The abstract could be revised and summarized by stating the objective of the study, the tools and methodology, and the main finding or final conclusion. 2. the introduction could be organized and summarized chronologically, while the gap and contributions could be highlighted more clearly and appropriately. 3. It seems that there is no difference between the results and discussion and conclusion parts in describing and analyzing the results. Therefore, it is suggested that the authors transfer the results of the conclusion to the results and discussion part and describe the conclusion and the main findings of this research succinctly, but not the results.</i>	
Optional/General comments	<i>It is suggested that authors use some services such as instatext.io for revising the language of the paper.</i>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alireza Khoshkonesh
Department, University & Country	Bu Ali Sina University of Hamedan, Iran