

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_88428
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS OF PROCESSING OF SESAME (EDIBLE OIL) IN NORTHERN TELANGANA ZONE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Aim: To assess the financial feasibility analysis of sesame cold pressed and refined oil units in Northern Telangana Zone</p> <p>Methodology: The study was conducted in the northern Telangana Zone of Telangana state . The data was collected from three districts from NTZ where sesame is grown extensively. Random sampling method is used to select the sesame processors in the study area. The data has been collected from 15 sesame processors from three districts through pre schedules. The data was analysed by using discounted cash flow techniques involving Internal Rate of Return (IRR), Net Present Worth (NPW), Benefit cost Ratio (BCR) were employed.</p> <p>Result: The Net Present Worth of the processing units in the study area was positive and the results revealed that the sesame processing units higher IRR and BCR greater than one.</p> <p>Conclusion: The study showed that sesame processing units in the study area are financially feasible and profitable.</p> <p>1 - The overall idea presented by the paper is well acceptable. 2- English should be improved in all the papers. 3- should be Added to other References on the list. 4- The organization of the paper is convenient in general.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1- The proofreading is required because there are some grammatical mistakes. 2- References could be reinforced by published papers for enriching.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>1- should be Added to other References on the list.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moeid Mohamed Elsokah
Department, University & Country	College of Electronic Technology, libya