

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_88302
Title of the Manuscript:	Grewia tenax for Sustainable Agroforestry Farming in Saline Soils of Khartoum State of Sudan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript about Grewia tenax for Sustainable Agroforestry Farming in Saline Soils of Khartoum State of Sudan could be a useful addition to the literature. However, numerous changes will have to be made first before it can be published. The study itself is very descriptive. The authors provide some aims but these are very general.</p> <p>I recommend that the authors re-write the aims so that they are more detailed and specific to the topic. In addition, there is enough information for the authors to generate and test several hypotheses, which should also be clearly stated and precise for the topic. Such information "Sustainable Agroforestry Farming in Saline Soils" needs to be given more information in introduction and discussion. Second, the authors have a tendency to repeat simply information in the discussion that is available in either the tables.</p> <p>The authors make some good points but I feel that they could expand the discussion about some of these. The table captions need to be more informative. A reader should be able to understand the relevant information without having to read the text. Therefore, I recommend that the authors should re-submit the manuscript after having it proofread.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Puchang Wang
Department, University & Country	Guizhou Normal University, China