

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_88247
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth in area, production, and productivity of cotton crop in India: A state wise analysis.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Space between the different paras be removed, throughout the text of the article. 2. Space after the 2 Methodology section be reduced. 3. Numbering of sub-section after 3.4 Growth in area, production, productivity of cotton in Rajasthan be corrected. For example, 3.4 Growth in area, production, productivity of cotton in Gujarat be changed to 3.5 Growth in area, production, productivity of cotton in Gujarat. 4. Numbering of 3.5 Growth in area, production, productivity of cotton in Maharashtra be changed to 3.6 Growth in area, production, productivity of cotton in Maharashtra 5. Numbering of 3.6 Growth in area, production, productivity of cotton in Madhya Pradesh be changed to 3.7 Growth in area, production, productivity of cotton in Madhya Pradesh 6. In Figs 1, 2 and 3, the spelling of Gujarat be corrected. Delete h. 7. A Conclusion section be added before the "Competing Interests Disclaimer" section. 	
Minor REVISION comments	N.A.	
Optional/General comments	In the article titled: "Growth in area, production and productivity of cotton crop in India: A state wise analysis" the research question is valid, and the sample size is sufficient. The study design and methods are appropriate to answer the research question. The method applied is sufficiently detailed to reproduce the research results. Statistical tests are appropriate and correctly reported. The figures and Tables are clear, and they accurately represent the results. The previous research by the other researchers has been discussed. There are no inappropriate citations. The results support the conclusions. The limitations of the research are not reported. The abstract is an accurate summary of the study and results. The language of the article is clear and understandable. After minor revision, the paper is recommended for publication in the International Journal of Environment and Climate Change.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Devinder Pal Singh
Department, University & Country	Acoustics Research Centre, Canada