

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJECC_88241
Title of the Manuscript:	CORRELATION AND PATH COEFFICIENT ANALYSIS STUDIES IN RICE HYBRIDS (ORYZA SATIVA L.)
Type of the Item	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVIEW comments	<p>Theme:Original court theme for his treatise.</p> <p>Summary:The objective is in relation to the proposed research. It would be important to describe the methodology used. The conclusions are well detailed in terms of the proposed study.</p> <p>Keywords:The words found in the UNESCO Thesaurus allow a better location of the article due to its universal language. Biotechnology - Agriculture – rice cultivation ...</p> <p>Introduction:The description of the problem is very elementary, it would be good to expand this information. It is not indicated if there are data on the planning of the problem if it was solved or not, therefore, it is necessary to reference this problem. In the same way, the justification is weak and it would be good to expand this section with the recommendations given.</p> <p>Materials and methods:The author(s) show the design of the experimentation carried out, in this case controlled; the population where the study was carried out as well as the sample taken is well described. Statistical methods are well mentioned and referenced.</p> <p>Results and Discussion:The results show a serious investigation that correlates with the stated objective; describes the methodology used in a broad and sustained manner; It does not make comparisons with results obtained previously, this would indicate that it is an original investigation. It would be good to indicate the limitations found in this study and the possible lines of research.</p> <p>Conclusions:The results denote an important contribution to be applied in the study area, while their contributions meet the stated objective.</p> <p>Bibliographic references:The references are appreciable and support the investigation. They would have greater weight when expanding with the suggestions given in the introduction.</p>	
Minor REVIEW comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jverson Santiago Quishpe Gaibor
Department, University & Country	Salesian Polytechnic University, Ecuador