

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_88238
Title of the Manuscript:	" EFFECT OF NIPPING AND PLANT GROWTH REGULATOR ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF CHICK PEA(Cicer arietinum)"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title: Customize with the journal template, writing Latin names is italic.</p> <p>Abstract: Incomplete sentence. Punctuation and capital letters to be corrected please</p> <p>Keywords: Add 2 keywords that are not in the title</p> <p>Introduction: State of the art is not clear. To clarify the novelty of this research, you should add the latest research developments on the problem under study. Write down the aims of research on the last paragraph of Introduction.</p> <p>Materials and Methods: Write down the observed variables, when and how many times the treatment was given? When was variable measurement done? Is there no post hoc test of Anova? (DMRT/LSD)</p> <p>Results and Discussion: Is there no post hoc test of Anova? (DMRT/LSD). Then how to determine the best treatment?</p> <p>Please omit vertical lines on all tables</p> <p>Conclusion: Because of there was not a post hoc test of Anova (DMRT/LSD), so the conclusion is invalid.</p> <p>Reference: Add more at least 2 references, and it should used the reference manager tool.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	There should be no vertical lines in the table	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jhon Hardy Purba
Department, University & Country	Universitas Panji Sakti, Indonesia