

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_88225
Title of the Manuscript:	MORPHOMETRIC ANALYSIS OF CHILENAHALLI MICRO-WATERSHED IN THE NORTH-EASTERN DRY ZONE OF KARNATAKA USING GIS AND REMOTE SENSING TECHNIQUES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>General comments: The manuscript, in general, is well mostly written and with the appropriate manuscript structure in most of cases. Nevertheless, some point must be addressed. In particular:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Please use passive voice within abstract and the whole manuscript.</li> <li>2. Some more references should be added within the introduction</li> <li>3. All the figures should be enlarged in order to be more readable. At this point a reader cannot read some fonts.</li> <li>4. A careful English editing and format editing should be done (space between words.</li> <li>5. Please separate the results-discussion sections. Each of them should be a separate section. Results should provide a concise and precise description of the experimental results, their interpretation as well as the experimental conclusions that can be drawn. Discussion should be provide the connection/review of your methodology to other works.</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kleomenis Kalogeropoulos
Department, University & Country	University of West Attica, Greece