

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_87986
Title of the Manuscript:	Triangulating Indigenous Place Names and Meteorological Data for Better Understanding of Climate Change in Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)												
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments														
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Introduction:</td> <td>In this section, the importance of the study has been clearly described. Also, convenient literatures have been specified.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Methodology:</td> <td>An effective methodology has been used and clarified adequately.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Results:</td> <td>In the result section, an effective way has been used.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Discussion:</td> <td>In this section together with Results, the findings have been correlated with the literature, effectively.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bibliography/References:</td> <td>There is no problem in Reference section.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Decision:</td> <td>There are some small writing mistakes. The manuscript should be re-read by the author, correct these mistakes. Manuscript can be accepted for publication after minor corrections.</td> </tr> </table>	Introduction:	In this section, the importance of the study has been clearly described. Also, convenient literatures have been specified.	Methodology:	An effective methodology has been used and clarified adequately.	Results:	In the result section, an effective way has been used.	Discussion:	In this section together with Results, the findings have been correlated with the literature, effectively.	Bibliography/References:	There is no problem in Reference section.	Decision:	There are some small writing mistakes. The manuscript should be re-read by the author, correct these mistakes. Manuscript can be accepted for publication after minor corrections.	
Introduction:	In this section, the importance of the study has been clearly described. Also, convenient literatures have been specified.													
Methodology:	An effective methodology has been used and clarified adequately.													
Results:	In the result section, an effective way has been used.													
Discussion:	In this section together with Results, the findings have been correlated with the literature, effectively.													
Bibliography/References:	There is no problem in Reference section.													
Decision:	There are some small writing mistakes. The manuscript should be re-read by the author, correct these mistakes. Manuscript can be accepted for publication after minor corrections.													
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	As a general evaluation, manuscript contain valuable results and findings for plant sciences studies in the future.													

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

## Review Form 1.6

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>M. Nuri Öner</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Çankırı Karatekin University, Turkey</b>