

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_87572
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Organic, Inorganic and Bio-fertilizers on Qualitative, Yield and Economics of Bottle-gourd ( <i>Lagenaria siceraria</i> (Molina) Standl) c.v. BB0G-3-1.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Nothing	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Nothing	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The research idea is good and worth studying.</li><li>- The use of mineral fertilizers beside organic and Bio-fertilizers in the fertilization program achieves better results than the application of each fertilizer separately, and this is what the researcher reached in his experience, where he proved in his results that the use of organic, mineral and biological fertilizers together achieved the best results in terms of lowest number of days to first harvest, highest number of days to last harvest, average fruit weight, highest total soluble solid, total sugar, reducing sugar, non-reducing sugar, and ascorbic acid.</li><li>- Also, the use of organic and biological fertilizers in addition to mineral fertilizers reduces the pollution of agricultural soils and thus the contamination of the food product and the production of a crop that is safe from chemical pollutants as much as possible.</li><li>- The manuscript is correct and of high scientific quality.</li></ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Monier Morad Wahba
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt