

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_87540
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Nitrogen and Iron on growth and yield attributes of chickpea ( <i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The objectives of the study should be mentioned which is missing in the manuscript.</li><li>2. The individual yield attributes should be discussed in form of paragraph in discussion chapter and the existing discussion is not sufficient.</li><li>3. The treatments are not compared scientifically. Only the highest value recorded treatment is mentioned and this should be revised.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1.The article should be revised as suggested in the tracker correction mode of the manuscript.</li><li>2.All corrections suggested should be incorporated.</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In the study, there are only nine treatments but control is not included.. The statistical comparison of the treatments for their effects is incomplete without control. Hence the study becomes incomplete	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harish Shenoy
Department, University & Country	Karnataka Veterinary Animal and Fisheries Sciences University, India